

ON A NEW HILBERT-TYPE INEQUALITY IN THE WHOLE PLANE WITH THE GENERAL HOMOGENEOUS KERNEL*

Bicheng Yang¹, Yanru Zhong^{2,†} and Aizhen Wang¹

Abstract By means of the weight coefficients and the idea of introducing parameters, a new discrete Hilbert -type inequality in the whole plane with the general homogeneous kernel is given, which is an extension of Hardy-Hilbert's inequality. The equivalent form is obtained. The equivalent statements of the best possible constant factor related to several parameters, the operator expressions and a few particular cases are considered.

Keywords Weight coefficient, Hilbert-type inequality, equivalent form, equivalent statement; parameter, operator expression.

MSC(2010) 26D15.

1. Introduction

Suppose that $p > 1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $a_m, b_n \geq 0$, $0 < \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m^p < \infty$ and $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^q < \infty$. We have the following Hardy-Hilbert's inequality with the best possible constant factor $\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)}$ (cf. [4], Theorem 315):

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n} < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (1.1)$$

For $p = q = 2$, inequality (1.1) reduces to the well known Hilbert's inequality.

If $f(x), g(y) \geq 0$, $0 < \int_0^{\infty} f^p(x) dx < \infty$ and $0 < \int_0^{\infty} g^q(y) dy < \infty$, then we have the following integral analogous of (1.1) named in Hardy-Hilbert's integral inequality:

$$\int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x+y} dx dy < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left(\int_0^{\infty} f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^{\infty} g^q(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (1.2)$$

with the best possible constant factor $\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)}$ (cf. [4], Theorem 316).

In 1998, by introducing an independent parameter $\lambda > 0$, Yang [32, 33] gave an extension of (1.2) (for $p = q = 2$) with the kernel as $\frac{1}{(x+y)^\lambda}$ and the best possible

[†]The corresponding author. Email: 18577399236@163.com(Y. Zhong)

¹Department Of Mathematics, Guangdong University of Education, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510303, China

²School of Computer Science and Information Security, Guilin University of Electronic Technology, Guilin, Guangxi 541004, China

*The authors were supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (62033001) and Characteristic Innovation Project of Guangdong Provincial Colleges and Universities in 2020 (2020KTSCX088).

constant factor $B(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2})$ ($B(u, v)$ ($u, v > 0$) is the beta function). Inequalities (1.1) and (1.2) with their extensions play an important role in analysis and its applications (cf. [1, 2, 5, 6, 15, 20, 25–27, 34, 35, 41]).

The following half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality was provided in 1934 (cf. [4], Theorem 351): If $K(x)$ ($x > 0$) is decreasing, $p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, 0 < \phi(s) = \int_0^\infty K(x)x^{s-1}dx < \infty, a_n \geq 0, 0 < \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n^p < \infty$, then

$$\int_0^\infty x^{p-2} \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty K(nx)a_n \right)^p dx < \phi^p\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n^p. \quad (1.3)$$

Some new extensions and applications of (1.3) were considered by [7, 21–23, 30, 40] in recent years.

In 2016, by the use of the technique of real analysis, Hong et al. [8] provided some equivalent statements of the extensions of (1.1) with the best possible constant factor related to several parameters. The other similar results about the extensions of (1.1)–(1.3) were given by [9–14, 18, 19, 24, 28, 31, 36–39].

In this paper, following the way of [8], by means of the weight coefficients and the idea of introducing parameters, a new discrete Hilbert-type inequality in the whole plane is given as follows: for $r > 1, \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$,

$$\sum_{|m|=1}^\infty \sum_{|n|=1}^\infty \frac{a_m b_n}{|m| + |n|} < \frac{2\pi}{\sin(\pi/r)} \left(\sum_{|m|=1}^\infty |m|^{\frac{p}{r}-1} a_m^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{|n|=1}^\infty |n|^{\frac{q}{s}-1} b_n^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (1.4)$$

which is an extension of (1.1). The more general forms as well as the equivalent forms are obtained. The equivalent statements of the best possible constant factor related to several parameters, the operator expressions and a few particular cases are considered.

2. Some lemmas

In what follows, we suppose that $p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \lambda, \lambda_i \in \mathbf{R} := (-\infty, \infty), \lambda - \lambda_i, \lambda_i \leq 1$ ($i = 1, 2$), $k_\lambda(x, y) (\geq 0)$ is a homogeneous function of degree $-\lambda$, satisfying

$$k_\lambda(ux, uy) = u^{-\lambda} k_\lambda(x, y) \quad (u, x, y > 0),$$

and $k_\lambda(x, y)$ is strictly decreasing with respect to $x > 0$ (resp. $y > 0$), such that

$$k_\lambda(\gamma) := \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(1, u)u^{\gamma-1}du \in \mathbf{R}_+ := (0, \infty) \quad (\gamma = \lambda_2, \lambda - \lambda_1). \quad (2.1)$$

We assume that $\hat{\lambda}_1 := \frac{\lambda - \lambda_2}{p} + \frac{\lambda_1}{q}, \hat{\lambda}_2 := \frac{\lambda - \lambda_1}{q} + \frac{\lambda_2}{p}, \alpha, \beta \in (-1, 1), a_m, b_n \geq 0$ ($|m|, |n| \in \mathbf{N} := \{1, 2, \dots\}$), satisfying

$$0 < \sum_{|m|=1}^\infty (|m| + \alpha m)^{p(1-\hat{\lambda}_1)-1} a_m^p < \infty \text{ and } 0 < \sum_{|n|=1}^\infty (|n| + \beta n)^{q(1-\hat{\lambda}_2)-1} b_n^q < \infty,$$

where, $\sum_{|j|=1}^\infty \dots = \sum_{j=-1}^{-\infty} \dots + \sum_{j=1}^\infty \dots$ ($j = m, n$).

Lemma 2.1. For $\gamma > 0$, we have the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\gamma}[(1 - \alpha)^{-\gamma-1} + (1 + \alpha)^{-\gamma-1}] \\ & < \sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} (|m| + \alpha m)^{-\gamma-1} < \frac{1}{\gamma}[(1 - \alpha)^{-\gamma-1} + (1 + \alpha)^{-\gamma-1}](\gamma + 1). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. By the decreasing property of series, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} (|m| + \alpha m)^{-\gamma-1} &= \sum_{m=-1}^{\infty} [(1 - \alpha)(-m)]^{-\gamma-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [(1 + \alpha)m]^{-\gamma-1} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [(1 - \alpha)m]^{-\gamma-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [(1 + \alpha)m]^{-\gamma-1} \\ &= [(1 - \alpha)^{-\gamma-1} + (1 + \alpha)^{-\gamma-1}](1 + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} m^{-\gamma-1}) \\ &< [(1 - \alpha)^{-\gamma-1} + (1 + \alpha)^{-\gamma-1}](1 + \int_1^{\infty} x^{-\gamma-1} dx) \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma}[(1 - \alpha)^{-\gamma-1} + (1 + \alpha)^{-\gamma-1}](\gamma + 1), \\ \sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} (|m| + \alpha m)^{-\gamma-1} &= [(1 - \alpha)^{-\gamma-1} + (1 + \alpha)^{-\gamma-1}] \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-\gamma-1} \\ &> [(1 - \alpha)^{-\gamma-1} + (1 + \alpha)^{-\gamma-1}] \int_1^{\infty} x^{-\gamma-1} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma}[(1 - \alpha)^{-\gamma-1} + (1 + \alpha)^{-\gamma-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have (2.2).

The lemma is proved. □

Definition 2.1. We set

$$k(m, n) := k_{\lambda}(|m| + \alpha m, |n| + \beta n) \quad (|m|, |n| \in \mathbf{N}),$$

and define the following weight coefficients:

$$\omega(\lambda_2, m) := (|m| + \alpha m)^{\lambda-\lambda_2} \sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} k(m, n)(|n| + \beta n)^{\lambda_2-1} \quad (|m| \in \mathbf{N}), \tag{2.3}$$

$$\varpi(\lambda_1, n) := (|n| + \beta n)^{\lambda-\lambda_1} \sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} k(m, n)(|m| + \alpha m)^{\lambda_1-1} \quad (|n| \in \mathbf{N}). \tag{2.4}$$

Lemma 2.2. The following inequalities are valid:

$$\omega(\lambda_2, m) < \frac{2}{1 - \beta^2} k_{\lambda}(\lambda_2) \quad (|m| \in \mathbf{N}), \tag{2.5}$$

$$\varpi(\lambda_1, n) < \frac{2}{1 - \alpha^2} k_{\lambda}(\lambda - \lambda_1) \quad (|n| \in \mathbf{N}). \tag{2.6}$$

Proof. For $|m| \in \mathbf{N}$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} k^{(1)}(m, y) &:= k_\lambda(|m| + \alpha m, (1 - \beta)(-y)), y < 0, \\ k^{(2)}(m, y) &:= k_\lambda(|m| + \alpha m, (1 + \beta)y), y > 0, \end{aligned}$$

wherefrom, $k^{(1)}(m, -y) := k_\lambda(|m| + \alpha m, (1 - \beta)y), y > 0$. We find

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\lambda_2, m) &= (|m| + \alpha m)^{\lambda - \lambda_2} \left\{ \sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} k^{(1)}(m, n) [(1 - \beta)(-n)]^{\lambda_2 - 1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k^{(2)}(m, n) [(1 + \beta)n]^{\lambda_2 - 1} \right\} \\ &= (|m| + \alpha m)^{\lambda - \lambda_2} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k^{(1)}(m, -n) [(1 - \beta)n]^{\lambda_2 - 1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k^{(2)}(m, n) [(1 + \beta)n]^{\lambda_2 - 1} \right\} \\ &= (|m| + \alpha m)^{\lambda - \lambda_2} [(1 - \beta)^{\lambda_2 - 1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k^{(1)}(m, -n) n^{\lambda_2 - 1} \\ &\quad + (1 + \beta)^{\lambda_2 - 1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k^{(2)}(m, n) n^{\lambda_2 - 1}]. \end{aligned}$$

In view of the assumptions, for fixed $|m| \in \mathbf{N}$, both $k^{(1)}(m, -y)y^{\lambda_2 - 1}$ and $k^{(2)}(m, y)y^{\lambda_2 - 1}$ are strictly decreasing with respect to $y \in (0, \infty)$. By the decreasing property of series, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\lambda_2, m) &< (|m| + \alpha m)^{\lambda - \lambda_2} [(1 - \beta)^{\lambda_2 - 1} \int_0^\infty k^{(1)}(m, -y)y^{\lambda_2 - 1} dy \\ &\quad + (1 + \beta)^{\lambda_2 - 1} \int_0^\infty k^{(2)}(m, y)y^{\lambda_2 - 1} dy] \\ &= (|m| + \alpha m)^{\lambda - \lambda_2} [(1 - \beta)^{\lambda_2 - 1} \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(|m| + \alpha m, (1 - \beta)y)y^{\lambda_2 - 1} dy \\ &\quad + (1 + \beta)^{\lambda_2 - 1} \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(|m| + \alpha m, (1 + \beta)y)y^{\lambda_2 - 1} dy], \quad (2.7) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\lambda_2, m) &> (|m| + \alpha m)^{\lambda - \lambda_2} [(1 - \beta)^{\lambda_2 - 1} \int_1^\infty k_\lambda(|m| + \alpha m, (1 - \beta)y)y^{\lambda_2 - 1} dy \\ &\quad + (1 + \beta)^{\lambda_2 - 1} \int_1^\infty k_\lambda(|m| + \alpha m, (1 + \beta)y)y^{\lambda_2 - 1} dy]. \quad (2.8) \end{aligned}$$

Setting $u = \frac{(1 - \beta)y}{|m| + \alpha m}$ (resp. $u = \frac{(1 + \beta)y}{|m| + \alpha m}$) in the first (resp. second) integral of (2.7), we obtain

$$\omega(\lambda_2, m) < [(1 - \beta)^{-1} + (1 + \beta)^{-1}] \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(1, u)u^{\lambda_2 - 1} du = \frac{2k_\lambda(\lambda_2)}{1 - \beta^2}.$$

Hence, we have (2.5).

In the same way, setting $v = \frac{1}{u}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varpi(\lambda_1, n) &< \frac{2}{1-\alpha^2} \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(u, 1) u^{\lambda_1-1} du \\ &= \frac{2}{1-\alpha^2} \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(1, v) v^{(\lambda-\lambda_1)-1} dv = \frac{2k_\lambda(\lambda-\lambda_1)}{1-\alpha^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and then (2.6) follows.

The lemma is proved. □

Lemma 2.3. *The following inequality follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} H := \sum_{|m|=1}^\infty \sum_{|n|=1}^\infty k(m, n) a_m b_n &< \frac{2k_\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda_2) k_\lambda^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda-\lambda_1)}{(1-\beta^2)^{1/p} (1-\alpha^2)^{1/q}} \\ &\times \left[\sum_{|m|=1}^\infty (|m| + \alpha m)^{p(1-\widehat{\lambda}_1)-1} a_m^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\sum_{|n|=1}^\infty (|n| + \beta n)^{q(1-\widehat{\lambda}_2)-1} b_n^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Proof. By Hölder’s inequality with weight (cf. [16]), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \sum_{|m|=1}^\infty \sum_{|n|=1}^\infty k(m, n) \left[\frac{(|n| + \beta n)^{(\lambda_2-1)/p}}{(|m| + \alpha n)^{(\lambda_1-1)/q}} a_m \right] \left[\frac{(|m| + \alpha n)^{(\lambda_1-1)/q}}{(|n| + \beta n)^{(\lambda_2-1)/p}} b_n \right] \\ &\leq \left[\sum_{|m|=1}^\infty \sum_{|n|=1}^\infty k(m, n) \frac{(|n| + \beta n)^{\lambda_2-1}}{(|m| + \alpha n)^{(\lambda_1-1)(p-1)}} a_m^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\quad \times \left[\sum_{|n|=1}^\infty \sum_{|m|=1}^\infty k(m, n) \frac{(|m| + \alpha n)^{\lambda_1-1}}{(|n| + \beta n)^{(\lambda_2-1)(q-1)}} b_n^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left[\sum_{|m|=1}^\infty \omega(\lambda_2, m) (|m| + \alpha m)^{p(1-\widehat{\lambda}_1)-1} a_m^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\quad \times \left[\sum_{|n|=1}^\infty \varpi(\lambda_1, n) (|n| + \beta n)^{q(1-\widehat{\lambda}_2)-1} b_n^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by (2.5) and (2.6), we have (2.9).

The lemma is proved. □

Remark 2.1. (i) By (2.9), for $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$, we find

$$0 < \sum_{|m|=1}^\infty (|m| + \alpha m)^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1} a_m^p < \infty, \quad 0 < \sum_{|n|=1}^\infty (|n| + \beta n)^{q(1-\lambda_2)-1} b_n^q < \infty,$$

and the following inequality:

$$H = \sum_{|m|=1}^\infty \sum_{|n|=1}^\infty k(m, n) a_m b_n < \frac{2k_\lambda(\lambda_2)}{(1-\beta^2)^{1/p} (1-\alpha^2)^{1/q}}$$

$$\times \left[\sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} (|m| + \alpha m)^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1} a_m^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} (|n| + \beta n)^{q(1-\lambda_2)-1} b_n^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{2.10}$$

In particular, for $\alpha = \beta = 0, a_{-m} = a_m, b_{-n} = b_n$ ($m, n \in \mathbf{N}$) in (2.10), we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_{\lambda}(m, n) a_m b_n < k_{\lambda}(\lambda_2) \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1} a_m^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1-\lambda_2)-1} b_n^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{2.11}$$

(ii) For $\lambda = 1, \lambda_1 = \frac{1}{q}, \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{p}$ in (2.11), we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_1(m, n) a_m b_n < k_1\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}; \tag{2.12}$$

for $\lambda = 1, \lambda_1 = \frac{1}{p}, \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{q}$ in (2.11), we have the dual form of (2.12) as follows:

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_1(m, n) a_m b_n < k_1\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{p-2} a_m^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q-2} b_n^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}; \tag{2.13}$$

for $p = q = 2$, both (2.12) and (2.13) reduce to the following Hilbert-type inequality:

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_1(m, n) a_m b_n < k_1\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{2.14}$$

(iii) For $\alpha = \beta = 0, \lambda = 1, k_1(m, n) = \frac{1}{m+n}, \lambda_1 = \frac{1}{r}, \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{s}$ ($r > 1, \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$), (2.9) reduces to (1.4); for $r = q, s = p, a_{-m} = a_m, b_{-n} = b_n$ ($m, n \in \mathbf{N}$), (1.4) reduces to (1.1). Hence, (2.9) is an extension of (1.1).

Lemma 2.4. *The constant factor $\frac{2k_{\lambda}(\lambda_2)}{(1-\beta^2)^{1/p}(1-\alpha^2)^{1/q}}$ in (2.10) is the best possible.*

Proof. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we set

$$\tilde{a}_m := (|m| + \alpha m)^{(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p})-1}, \tilde{b}_n := (|n| + \beta n)^{(\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q})-1} \quad (|m|, |n| \in \mathbf{N}).$$

If there exists a constant M ($\leq \frac{2k_{\lambda}(\lambda_2)}{(1-\beta^2)^{1/p}(1-\alpha^2)^{1/q}}$), such that (2.10) is valid when we replace $\frac{2k_{\lambda}(\lambda_2)}{(1-\beta^2)^{1/p}(1-\alpha^2)^{1/q}}$ by M , then in particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H} &:= \sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} k_{\lambda}(|m| + \alpha m, |n| + \beta n) \tilde{a}_m \tilde{b}_n \\ &< M \left[\sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} (|m| + \alpha m)^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1} \tilde{a}_m^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} (|n| + \beta n)^{q(1-\lambda_2)-1} \tilde{b}_n^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\tilde{H} < M \left[\sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} (|m| + \alpha m)^{-\varepsilon-1} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} (|n| + \beta n)^{-\varepsilon-1} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

$$< \frac{M}{\varepsilon}(\varepsilon + 1)[(1 - \alpha)^{-\varepsilon-1} + (1 + \alpha)^{-\varepsilon-1}]^{\frac{1}{p}}[(1 - \beta)^{-\varepsilon-1} + (1 + \beta)^{-\varepsilon-1}]^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

By (2.3) (for $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$) and (2.8), replacing λ_2 (resp. λ_1) by $\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q}$ (resp. $\lambda_1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{q}$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega(\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q}, m) \\ & > (|m| + \alpha m)^{\lambda_1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{q}} [(1 - \beta)^{(\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q})-1} \int_1^\infty k_\lambda(|m| + \alpha m, (1 - \beta)y)y^{(\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q})-1} dy \\ & \quad + (1 + \beta)^{(\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q})-1} \int_1^\infty k_\lambda(|m| + \alpha m, (1 + \beta)y)y^{(\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q})-1} dy]. \end{aligned}$$

Then we find

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H} &= \sum_{|m|=1}^\infty [\sum_{|n|=1}^\infty k(m, n)(|n| + \beta n)^{(\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q})-1} (|m| + \alpha m)^{(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p})-1} \\ &= \sum_{|m|=1}^\infty \omega(\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q}, m)(|m| + \alpha m)^{-\varepsilon-1} \\ &> (1 - \beta)^{(\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q})-1} \sum_{|m|=1}^\infty (|m| + \alpha m)^{(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p})-1} \\ & \quad \times \int_1^\infty k_\lambda(|m| + \alpha m, (1 - \beta)y)y^{(\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q})-1} dy \\ & \quad + (1 + \beta)^{(\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q})-1} \sum_{|m|=1}^\infty (|m| + \alpha m)^{(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p})-1} \\ & \quad \times \int_1^\infty k_\lambda(|m| + \alpha m, (1 + \beta)y)y^{(\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q})-1} dy \\ &= (1 - \beta)^{(\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q})-1} \\ & \quad \times \int_1^\infty \sum_{|m|=1}^\infty k_\lambda(|m| + \alpha m, (1 - \beta)y)(|m| + \alpha m)^{(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p})-1} y^{(\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q})-1} dy \\ & \quad + (1 + \beta)^{(\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q})-1} \\ & \quad \times \int_1^\infty \sum_{|m|=1}^\infty k_\lambda(|m| + \alpha m, (1 + \beta)y)(|m| + \alpha m)^{(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p})-1} y^{(\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q})-1} dy \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^4 H_i, \end{aligned}$$

where, we indicate

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &:= (1 - \beta)^{(\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q})-1} (1 - \alpha)^{(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p})-1} \\ & \quad \times \int_1^\infty \sum_{m=1}^\infty k_\lambda((1 - \alpha)m, (1 - \beta)y)m^{(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p})-1} y^{(\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q})-1} dy, \\ H_2 &:= (1 - \beta)^{(\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q})-1} (1 + \alpha)^{(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p})-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \int_1^\infty \sum_{m=1}^\infty k_\lambda((1+\alpha)m, (1-\beta)y) m^{(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p})-1} y^{(\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q})-1} dy, \\
H_3 & := (1+\beta)^{(\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q})-1} (1-\alpha)^{(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p})-1} \\
& \times \int_1^\infty \sum_{m=1}^\infty k_\lambda((1-\alpha)m, (1+\beta)y) m^{(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p})-1} y^{(\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q})-1} dy, \\
H_4 & := (1+\beta)^{(\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q})-1} (1+\alpha)^{(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p})-1} \\
& \times \int_1^\infty \sum_{m=1}^\infty k_\lambda((1+\alpha)m, (1+\beta)y) m^{(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p})-1} y^{(\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q})-1} dy.
\end{aligned}$$

For fixed x , setting $u = \frac{(1-\beta)y}{(1-\alpha)x}$ in the following, since $\frac{2}{1-\eta} \geq 1$ ($\eta = \alpha, \beta$), by Fubini theorem (cf. [17]), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
H_1 & > (1-\beta)^{\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q} - 1} (1-\alpha)^{\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p} - 1} \\
& \times \int_{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}^\infty \left[\int_{\frac{2}{1-\beta}}^\infty k_\lambda((1-\alpha)x, (1-\beta)y) x^{(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p})-1} y^{(\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q})-1} dy \right] dx \\
& = \frac{(1-\alpha)^{-\varepsilon-1}}{1-\beta} \int_{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}^\infty x^{-\varepsilon-1} \int_{\frac{2}{(1-\alpha)x}}^\infty k_\lambda(1, u) u^{\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q} - 1} du dx \\
& = \frac{1}{(1-\beta)(1-\alpha)} \left[\int_2^\infty v^{-\varepsilon-1} \int_{\frac{2}{v}}^2 k_\lambda(1, u) u^{\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q} - 1} du dv \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_2^\infty v^{-\varepsilon-1} \int_2^\infty k_\lambda(1, u) u^{\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q} - 1} du dv \right] \\
& = \frac{1}{(1-\beta)(1-\alpha)} \left[\int_0^2 \left(\int_{\frac{2}{v}}^\infty v^{-\varepsilon-1} dv \right) k_\lambda(1, u) u^{\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q} - 1} du \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{1}{\varepsilon 2^\varepsilon} \int_2^\infty k_\lambda(1, u) u^{\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q} - 1} du \right] \\
& = \tilde{H}_1 := \frac{1}{\varepsilon 2^\varepsilon (1-\beta)(1-\alpha)} G, \\
G & := \int_0^2 k_\lambda(1, u) u^{\lambda_2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{p} - 1} du + \int_2^\infty k_\lambda(1, u) u^{\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q} - 1} du.
\end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we can find that

$$\begin{aligned}
H_2 & > \tilde{H}_2 := \frac{1}{\varepsilon 2^\varepsilon (1-\beta)(1+\alpha)} G, \\
H_3 & > \tilde{H}_3 := \frac{1}{\varepsilon 2^\varepsilon (1+\beta)(1-\alpha)} G, \\
H_4 & > \tilde{H}_4 := \frac{1}{\varepsilon 2^\varepsilon (1+\beta)(1+\alpha)} G.
\end{aligned}$$

In view of the above results, we have

$$\frac{4G}{2^\varepsilon (1-\beta^2)(1-\alpha^2)} = \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^4 \tilde{H}_i < \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^4 H_i < \varepsilon \tilde{H}$$

$$< M(\varepsilon + 1)[(1 - \alpha)^{-\varepsilon-1} + (1 + \alpha)^{-\varepsilon-1}]^{\frac{1}{p}}[(1 - \beta)^{-\varepsilon-1} + (1 + \beta)^{-\varepsilon-1}]^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

For $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, by Fatou lemma (cf. [17]), we find

$$\frac{4}{(1 - \beta^2)(1 - \alpha^2)} k_\lambda(\lambda_2) \leq \frac{2M}{(1 - \alpha^2)^{1/p}(1 - \beta^2)^{1/q}},$$

namely, $\frac{2k_\lambda(\lambda_2)}{(1 - \beta^2)^{1/p}(1 - \alpha^2)^{1/q}} \leq M$, which means that $M = \frac{2k_\lambda(\lambda_2)}{(1 - \beta^2)^{1/p}(1 - \alpha^2)^{1/q}}$ is the best possible constant factor of (2.10).

The lemma is proved. □

Remark 2.2. (i) In view of Lemma 2.4, the particular constant factors in (2.11)-(2.14) are also the best possible.

(ii) Since $\widehat{\lambda}_1 = \frac{\lambda - \lambda_2}{p} + \frac{\lambda_1}{q}$, $\widehat{\lambda}_2 = \frac{\lambda - \lambda_1}{q} + \frac{\lambda_2}{p}$, we find $\widehat{\lambda}_1 \leq \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $\widehat{\lambda}_2 \leq 1$,

$$\widehat{\lambda}_1 + \widehat{\lambda}_2 = \frac{\lambda - \lambda_2}{p} + \frac{\lambda_1}{q} + \frac{\lambda - \lambda_1}{q} + \frac{\lambda_2}{p} = \lambda.$$

By Hölder’s inequality (cf. [16]), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < k_\lambda(\widehat{\lambda}_2) &= k_\lambda\left(\frac{\lambda_2}{p} + \frac{\lambda - \lambda_1}{q}\right) \\ &= \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(1, u) u^{\frac{\lambda_2}{p} + \frac{\lambda - \lambda_1}{q} - 1} du = \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(1, u) \left(u^{\frac{\lambda_2 - 1}{p}}\right) \left(u^{\frac{\lambda_2}{p} + \frac{\lambda - \lambda_1 - 1}{q}}\right) du \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^\infty k_\lambda(1, u) u^{\lambda_2 - 1} du\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^\infty k_\lambda(1, u) u^{\lambda - \lambda_1 - 1} du\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= k_\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda_2) k_\lambda^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda - \lambda_1) < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

In view of (2.10), for $\lambda_i = \widehat{\lambda}_i$ ($i = 1, 2$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} H &< \frac{2k_\lambda(\widehat{\lambda}_2)}{(1 - \beta^2)^{1/p}(1 - \alpha^2)^{1/q}} \\ &\times \left[\sum_{|m|=1}^\infty (|m| + \alpha m)^{p(1 - \widehat{\lambda}_1) - 1} a_m^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\sum_{|n|=1}^\infty (|n| + \beta n)^{q(1 - \widehat{\lambda}_2) - 1} b_n^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Lemma 2.5. *If the constant factor $\frac{2k_\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda_2)k_\lambda^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda - \lambda_1)}{(1 - \beta^2)^{1/p}(1 - \alpha^2)^{1/q}}$ in (2.9) is the best possible, then we have $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$.*

Proof. If the constant factor $\frac{2k_\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda_2)k_\lambda^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda - \lambda_1)}{(1 - \beta^2)^{1/p}(1 - \alpha^2)^{1/q}}$ in (2.9) is the best possible, then by (2.16), we have the following inequality:

$$\frac{2k_\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda_2)k_\lambda^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda - \lambda_1)}{(1 - \beta^2)^{1/p}(1 - \alpha^2)^{1/q}} \leq \frac{2k_\lambda(\widehat{\lambda}_2)}{(1 - \beta^2)^{1/p}(1 - \alpha^2)^{1/q}} \ (\in \mathbf{R}_+),$$

namely, $k_\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda_2)k_\lambda^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda - \lambda_1) \leq k_\lambda(\widehat{\lambda}_2)$, which means that (2.15) keeps the form of equality.

We observe that (2.15) keeps the form of equality if and only if there exist constants A and B , such that they are not both zero and (cf. [16]) $Au^{\lambda_2-1} = Bu^{\lambda-\lambda_1-1}$ a.e. in \mathbf{R}_+ . Assuming that $A \neq 0$, it follows that $u^{\lambda_1+\lambda_2-\lambda-1} = B/A$ a.e. in \mathbf{R}_+ , and then $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - \lambda = 0$, namely, $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$.

The lemma is proved. \square

3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. *Inequality (2.9) is equivalent to the following Hilbert-type inequality in the whole plane:*

$$\begin{aligned} L &:= \left[\sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} (|n| + \beta n)^{p\widehat{\lambda}_2-1} \left(\sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} k(m, n)a_m \right)^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &< \frac{2k_{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda_2)k_{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda - \lambda_1)}{(1 - \beta^2)^{1/p}(1 - \alpha^2)^{1/q}} \left[\sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} (|m| + \alpha m)^{p(1-\widehat{\lambda}_1)-1} a_m^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Suppose that (3.1) is valid. By Hölder's inequality (cf. [16]), we find

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} \left[(|n| + \beta n)^{\widehat{\lambda}_2 - \frac{1}{p}} \sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} k(m, n)a_m \right] \left[(|n| + \beta n)^{\frac{1}{p} - \widehat{\lambda}_2} b_n \right] \\ &\leq L \left[\sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} (|n| + \beta n)^{q(1-\widehat{\lambda}_2)-1} b_n^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Then by (3.1), we obtain (2.9).

On the other hand, assuming that (2.9) is valid, we set

$$b_n := (|n| + \beta n)^{p\widehat{\lambda}_2-1} \left(\sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} k(m, n)a_m \right)^{p-1}, \quad |n| \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Then we have

$$L^p = \sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} (|n| + \beta n)^{q(1-\widehat{\lambda}_2)-1} b_n^q = H. \quad (3.3)$$

If $L = 0$, then (3.1) is naturally valid; if $L = \infty$, then it is impossible that makes (3.1) valid, namely, $L < \infty$. Suppose that $0 < L < \infty$. By (2.9), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} L^p &= \sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} (|n| + \beta n)^{q(1-\widehat{\lambda}_2)-1} b_n^q \\ &= H < \frac{2k_{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda_2)k_{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda - \lambda_1)}{(1 - \beta^2)^{1/p}(1 - \alpha^2)^{1/q}} \left[\sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} (|m| + \alpha m)^{p(1-\widehat{\lambda}_1)-1} a_m^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} L^{p-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$L = \left[\sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} (|n| + \beta n)^{q(1-\widehat{\lambda}_2)-1} b_n^q \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$< \frac{2k_{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda_2)k_{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda - \lambda_1)}{(1 - \beta^2)^{1/p}(1 - \alpha^2)^{1/q}} \left[\sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} (|m| + \alpha m)^{p(1-\widehat{\lambda}_1)-1} a_m^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

namely, (3.1) follows, which is equivalent to (2.9).

The theorem is proved. □

Theorem 3.2. *The following statements (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) are equivalent:*

- (i) Both $k_{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda_2)k_{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda - \lambda_1)$ and $k_{\lambda}(\frac{\lambda_2}{p} + \frac{\lambda - \lambda_1}{q})$ are independent of p, q ;
- (ii) $k_{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda_2)k_{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda - \lambda_1) \leq k_{\lambda}(\frac{\lambda_2}{p} + \frac{\lambda - \lambda_1}{q})$;
- (iii) $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$;
- (iv) $\frac{2k_{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda_2)k_{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda - \lambda_1)}{(1 - \beta^2)^{1/p}(1 - \alpha^2)^{1/q}}$ is the best possible constant factor of (2.9);
- (v) $\frac{2k_{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda_2)k_{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda - \lambda_1)}{(1 - \beta^2)^{1/p}(1 - \alpha^2)^{1/q}}$ is the best possible constant factor of (3.1).

If the statement (iii) follows, namely, $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$, then we have (2.10) and the following equivalent inequality with the best possible constant factor $\frac{2k_{\lambda}(\lambda_2)}{(1 - \beta^2)^{1/p}(1 - \alpha^2)^{1/q}}$:

$$\left[\sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} (|n| + \beta n)^{p\lambda_2-1} \left(\sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} k(m, n)a_m \right)^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$< \frac{2k_{\lambda}(\lambda_2)}{(1 - \beta^2)^{1/p}(1 - \alpha^2)^{1/q}} \left[\sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} (|m| + \alpha m)^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1} a_m^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{3.4}$$

In particular, for $\alpha = \beta = 0, a_{-m} = a_m, b_{-n} = b_n$ ($m, n \in \mathbf{N}$) in (3.4), we have the following inequality with the best possible constant factor equivalent to (2.11):

$$\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p\lambda_2-1} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} k(m, n)a_m \right)^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} < k_{\lambda}(\lambda_2) \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1} a_m^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Since both $k_{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda_2)k_{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda - \lambda_1)$ and $k_{\lambda}(\frac{\lambda_2}{p} + \frac{\lambda - \lambda_1}{q})$ are independent of p, q , we find

$$k_{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda_2)k_{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda - \lambda_1) = \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{p \rightarrow 1^+} k_{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda_2)k_{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda - \lambda_1) = k_{\lambda}(\lambda_2).$$

Then by Fatou lemma (cf. [17]), we have the following inequality:

$$k_{\lambda}\left(\frac{\lambda_2}{p} + \frac{\lambda - \lambda_1}{q}\right)$$

$$= \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} k_{\lambda}\left(\lambda_2 + \frac{\lambda - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{q}\right) \geq k_{\lambda}\left(\lambda_2 + \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{q}\right)$$

$$= k_{\lambda}(\lambda_2) = k_{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda_2)k_{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda - \lambda_1).$$

(ii) => (iii). If $k_\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda_2)k_\lambda^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda - \lambda_1) \leq k_\lambda(\frac{\lambda_2}{p} + \frac{\lambda - \lambda_1}{q})$, then (2.15) keeps the form of equality. By the proof of Lemma 2.5, it follows that $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$.

(iii) => (i). If $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$, then we have

$$k_\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda_2)k_\lambda^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda - \lambda_1) = k_\lambda(\frac{\lambda_2}{p} + \frac{\lambda - \lambda_1}{q}) = k_\lambda(\lambda_2).$$

Both $k_\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda_2)k_\lambda^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda - \lambda_1)$ and $k_\lambda(\frac{\lambda_2}{p} + \frac{\lambda - \lambda_1}{q})$ are independent of p, q .

Hence, we have (i) <=> (ii) <=> (iii).

(iii) <=> (iv). By Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5, we obtain the conclusions.

(iv) <=> (v). If the constant factor in (2.9) is the best possible, then so is constant factor in (3.1). Otherwise, by (3.2), we would reach a contradiction that the constant factor in (2.9) is not the best possible. On the other-hand, if the constant factor in (3.1) is the best possible, then so is constant factor in (2.9). Otherwise, by (3.3), we would reach a contradiction that the constant factor in (3.1) is not the best possible.

Therefore, the statements (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) are equivalent.

The theorem is proved. □

4. Operator expressions

We set functions:

$$\varphi(m) := (|m| + \alpha m)^{p(1-\hat{\lambda}_1)-1}, \psi(n) := (|n| + \beta n)^{q(1-\hat{\lambda}_2)-1},$$

where from, $\psi^{1-p}(n) = (|n| + \beta n)^{p\hat{\lambda}_2-1}$ ($|m|, |n| \in \mathbf{N}$).

Define the following real normed spaces:

$$l_{p,\varphi} := \left\{ a = \{a_m\}_{|m|=1}^\infty : \|a\|_{p,\varphi} := \left(\sum_{|m|=1}^\infty \varphi(m)|a_m|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\},$$

$$l_{q,\psi} := \left\{ b = \{b_n\}_{|n|=1}^\infty : \|b\|_{q,\psi} := \left(\sum_{|n|=1}^\infty \psi(n)|b_n|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty \right\},$$

$$l_{p,\psi^{1-p}} := \left\{ c = \{c_n\}_{|n|=1}^\infty : \|c\|_{p,\psi^{1-p}} := \left(\sum_{|n|=1}^\infty \psi^{1-p}(n)|c_n|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\}.$$

Assuming that $a \in l_{p,\varphi}$, setting

$$c = \{c_n\}_{|n|=1}^\infty, c_n := \sum_{|m|=1}^\infty k(m, n)a_m, |n| \in \mathbf{N},$$

we can rewrite (3.1) as follows:

$$\|c\|_{p,\psi^{1-p}} < \frac{2k_\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda_2)k_\lambda^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda - \lambda_1)}{(1 - \beta^2)^{1/p}(1 - \alpha^2)^{1/q}} \|a\|_{p,\varphi} < \infty,$$

namely, $c \in l_{p,\psi^{1-p}}$.

Definition 4.1. Define a Hilbert-type operator $T : l_{p,\varphi} \rightarrow l_{p,\psi^{1-p}}$ as follows: For any $a \in l_{p,\varphi}$, there exists a unique representation $Ta = c \in l_{p,\psi^{1-p}}$, satisfying for any $|n| \in \mathbf{N}$, $Ta(n) = c_n$. Define the formal inner product of Ta and $b \in l_{q,\psi}$ as follows:

$$(Ta, b) := \sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} k(m, n)a_m \right) b_n = H,$$

$$\|T\| = \sup_{a(\neq 0) \in l_{p,\varphi}} \frac{\|Ta\|_{p,\psi^{1-p}}}{\|a\|_{p,\varphi}}.$$

By Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2, we have

Theorem 4.1. *If $a \in l_{p,\varphi}, b \in l_{q,\psi}, \|a\|_{p,\varphi}, \|b\|_{q,\psi} > 0$, then we have the following equivalent inequalities:*

$$(Ta, b) < \frac{2k_{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda_2)k_{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda - \lambda_1)}{(1 - \beta^2)^{1/p}(1 - \alpha^2)^{1/q}} \|a\|_{p,\varphi} \|b\|_{q,\psi}, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\|Ta\|_{p,\psi^{1-p}} < \frac{2k_{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda_2)k_{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda - \lambda_1)}{(1 - \beta^2)^{1/p}(1 - \alpha^2)^{1/q}} \|a\|_{p,\varphi} \tag{4.2}$$

Moreover, $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$ if and only if the constant factor

$$\frac{2k_{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda_2)k_{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda - \lambda_1)}{(1 - \beta^2)^{1/p}(1 - \alpha^2)^{1/q}}$$

in (4.1) and (4.2) is the best possible, namely,

$$\|T\| = \frac{2k_{\lambda}(\lambda_2)}{(1 - \beta^2)^{1/p}(1 - \alpha^2)^{1/q}}. \tag{4.3}$$

Example 4.1. (i) For $\lambda > 0, \lambda_i \in (0, \lambda) \cap (0, 1]$ ($i = 1, 2$), setting $k_{\lambda}(x, y) = \frac{1}{(x+y)^{\lambda}}$ ($x, y > 0$), then

$$k(m, n) = \frac{1}{(|m| + \alpha m + |n| + \beta n)^{\lambda}} \quad (|m|, |n| \in \mathbf{N}).$$

$k_{\lambda}(x, y)x^{\lambda_1-1}$ (resp. $k_{\lambda}(x, y)y^{\lambda_2-1}$) is strictly decreasing with respect to $x > 0$ (resp. $y > 0$), such that

$$k_{\lambda}(\gamma) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{u^{\gamma-1}}{(1+u)^{\lambda}} du = B(\gamma, \lambda - \gamma) \in \mathbf{R}_+ \quad (\gamma = \lambda_2, \lambda - \lambda_1).$$

By Theorem 4.1, it follows that $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$ if and only if

$$\|T\| = \frac{2B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}{(1 - \beta^2)^{1/p}(1 - \alpha^2)^{1/q}}.$$

(ii) For $\lambda > 0, \lambda_i \in (0, \lambda) \cap (0, 1]$ ($i = 1, 2$), setting $k_{\lambda}(x, y) = \frac{\ln(x/y)}{x^{\lambda}-y^{\lambda}}$ ($x, y > 0$), then

$$k(m, n) = \frac{\ln[(|m| + \alpha m) / (|n| + \beta n)]}{(|m| + \alpha m)^{\lambda} - (|n| + \beta n)^{\lambda}} \quad (|m|, |n| \in \mathbf{N}).$$

$k_\lambda(x, y)x^{\lambda_1-1}$ (resp. $k_\lambda(x, y)y^{\lambda_2-1}$) is strictly decreasing with respect to $x > 0$ (resp. $y > 0$), such that

$$k_\lambda(\gamma) = \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{\gamma-1} \ln u}{u^\lambda - 1} du = \left[\frac{\pi}{\lambda \sin(\pi\gamma/\lambda)} \right]^2 \in \mathbf{R}_+ \quad (\gamma = \lambda_2, \lambda - \lambda_1).$$

By Theorem 4.1, it follows that $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$ if and only if

$$\|T\| = \frac{2}{(1 - \beta^2)^{1/p}(1 - \alpha^2)^{1/q}} \left[\frac{\pi}{\lambda \sin(\pi\lambda_2/\lambda)} \right]^2.$$

(iii) For $0 < \eta + \lambda_i < 1$ ($i = 1, 2$), $\lambda + 2\eta > \min_{i=1,2}\{0, \eta + \lambda_i\}$, setting $k_\lambda(x, y) = \frac{(\min\{x, y\})^\eta}{(\max\{x, y\})^{\lambda+\eta}}$ ($x, y > 0$), then

$$k(m, n) = \frac{(\min\{|m| + \alpha m, |n| + \beta n\})^\eta}{(\max\{|m| + \alpha m, |n| + \beta n\})^{\lambda+\eta}} \quad (|m|, |n| \in \mathbf{N}),$$

$$k_\lambda(x, y)x^{\lambda_1-1} = \frac{(\min\{x, y\})^\eta x^{\lambda_1-1}}{(\max\{x, y\})^{\lambda+\eta}} = \begin{cases} x^{\eta+\lambda_1-1}, & 0 < x < y, \\ \frac{y^\eta}{x^{\lambda+\eta-\lambda_1+1}}, & x \geq y \end{cases}$$

(resp. $k_\lambda(x, y)y^{\lambda_2-1}$) is strictly decreasing with respect to $x > 0$ (resp. $y > 0$), such that

$$k_\lambda(\gamma) = \int_0^\infty \frac{(\min\{1, u\})^\eta u^{\gamma-1}}{(\max\{1, u\})^{\lambda+\eta}} du = \int_0^1 u^{\eta+\gamma-1} du + \int_1^\infty \frac{u^{\gamma-1}}{u^{\lambda+\eta}} du$$

$$= \frac{\lambda + 2\eta}{(\eta + \gamma)(\lambda + \eta - \gamma)} \in \mathbf{R}_+ \quad (\gamma = \lambda_2, \lambda - \lambda_1).$$

By Theorem 4.1, it follows that $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$ if and only if

$$\|T\| = \frac{2}{(1 - \beta^2)^{1/p}(1 - \alpha^2)^{1/q}} \frac{\lambda + 2\eta}{(\eta + \lambda_1)(\eta + \lambda_2)}.$$

Example 4.2. (i) In view of the following expression for the cotangent function (cf. [3]):

$$\cot x = \frac{1}{x} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{x - \pi k} + \frac{1}{x + \pi k} \right) \quad (x \in (0, \pi)),$$

for $b \in (0, 1)$, by Lebesgue term by term theorem (cf. [17]), we obtain

$$A_b := \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{b-1}}{1-u} du = \int_0^1 \frac{u^{b-1}}{1-u} du + \int_1^\infty \frac{u^{b-1}}{1-u} du$$

$$= \int_0^1 \frac{u^{b-1}}{1-u} du - \int_0^1 \frac{v^{-b}}{1-v} dv = \int_0^1 \frac{u^{b-1} - u^{-b}}{1-u} du$$

$$= \int_0^1 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k+b} - \frac{1}{k+1-b} \right)$$

$$= \pi \left[\frac{1}{\pi b} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\pi b - \pi k} + \frac{1}{\pi b + \pi k} \right) \right] = \pi \cot \pi b \in \mathbf{R}.$$

(ii) For $\lambda, \eta > 0$, we set the homogeneous function of degree $-\lambda$ as follows:

$$k_\lambda^{(\eta)}(x, y) := \frac{x^\eta - y^\eta}{x^{\lambda+\eta} - y^{\lambda+\eta}} \quad (x, y > 0),$$

satisfying $k_\lambda^{(\eta)}(v, v) := \frac{\eta}{(\lambda+\eta)v^\lambda}$ ($v > 0$). Then we have

$$k_\lambda^{(\eta)}(m, n) := \frac{(|m| + \alpha m)^\eta - (|n| + \beta n)^\eta}{(|m| + \alpha m)^{\lambda+\eta} - (|n| + \beta n)^{\lambda+\eta}} \quad (|m|, |n| \in \mathbf{N}).$$

It follows that $k_\lambda^{(\eta)}(x, y)$ is a positive and continuous function with respect to $x, y > 0$. For $x \neq y$, we find

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} k_\lambda^{(\eta)}(x, y) = -x^{\eta-1} (x^{\lambda+\eta} - y^{\lambda+\eta})^{-2} \varphi(x, y),$$

where, we set the following differentiable function:

$$\varphi(x, y) := \lambda x^{\lambda+\eta} - (\lambda + \eta)y^\eta x^\lambda + \eta y^{\lambda+\eta} \quad (x, y > 0).$$

We find that for $0 < x < y$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \varphi(x, y) = \lambda(\lambda + \eta)x^{\lambda-1}(x^\eta - y^\eta) < 0$; for $x > y$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \varphi(x, y) > 0$. It follows that $\varphi(x, y)$ is strictly decreasing (resp. increasing) with respect to $x < y$ (resp. $x > y$). Since $\varphi(y, y) = \min_{x>0} \varphi(x, y) = 0$ ($y > 0$), then $\varphi(x, y) > 0$ ($x \neq y$), namely, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} k_\lambda^{(\eta)}(x, y) < 0$ ($x \neq y$). Therefore, in view of $k_\lambda^{(\eta)}(x, y)$ is continuous at $x = y$, we conform that $k_\lambda^{(\eta)}(x, y)$ ($y > 0$) is strictly decreasing with respect to $x > 0$. In the same way, we can show that $k_\lambda^{(\eta)}(x, y)$ ($x > 0$) is also strictly decreasing with respect to $y > 0$. Hence, for $\lambda_i \in (0, \lambda) \cap (0, 1]$ ($i = 1, 2$), $k_\lambda^{(\eta)}(x, y)x^{\lambda_1-1}$ (resp. $k_\lambda^{(\eta)}(x, y)y^{\lambda_2-1}$) is strictly decreasing with respect to $x > 0$ (resp. $y > 0$).

(iii) Since $k_\lambda^{(\eta)}(x, y) > 0$, by (i), for $\gamma = \lambda_2, \lambda - \lambda_1$, we obtain (cf. [29])

$$\begin{aligned} k_\lambda(\gamma) &= \int_0^\infty k_\lambda^{(\eta)}(1, u)u^{\gamma-1} du = \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - u^\eta}{1 - u^{\lambda+\eta}} u^{\gamma-1} du \\ &\stackrel{v=u^{\lambda+\eta}}{=} \frac{1}{\lambda + \eta} \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{v^{\frac{\gamma}{\lambda+\eta}-1}}{1 - v} dv - \int_0^\infty \frac{v^{\frac{\lambda+\eta}{\lambda+\eta}-1}}{1 - v} dv \right) \\ &= \frac{\pi}{\lambda + \eta} \left[\cot\left(\frac{\pi\gamma}{\lambda + \eta}\right) - \cot\left(\frac{\pi(\lambda + \eta)}{\lambda + \eta}\right) \right] \\ &= \frac{\pi}{\lambda + \eta} \left[\cot\left(\frac{\pi\gamma}{\lambda + \eta}\right) + \cot\left(\frac{\pi(\lambda - \eta)}{\lambda + \eta}\right) \right] \in \mathbf{R}_+. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 4.1, it follows that $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$ if and only if

$$\|T\| = \frac{2}{(1 - \beta^2)^{1/p}(1 - \alpha^2)^{1/q}} \cdot \frac{\pi}{\lambda + \eta} \left[\cot\left(\frac{\pi\lambda_1}{\lambda + \eta}\right) + \cot\left(\frac{\pi\lambda_2}{\lambda + \eta}\right) \right].$$

5. Conclusions

In this paper, by means of the weight coefficients and the idea of introduced parameters, a new discrete Hilbert-type inequality in the whole plane is obtained in

Lemma 2.2, which is an extension of (1.1). The equivalent form is given in Theorem 3.1. The equivalent statements of the best possible constant factor related to several parameters are considered in Theorem 3.2. The operator expressions, some particular cases are provided in Theorem 4.1 and Example 4.1-4.2. The lemmas and theorems provide an extensive account of this type of inequalities.

References

- [1] L. E. Azar, *The connection between Hilbert and Hardy inequalities*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2013, 452, 2013.
- [2] V. Adiyasuren, T. Batbold and M. Krnić, *Hilbert-type inequalities involving differential operators, the best constants and applications*, Math. Inequal. Appl., 2015, 18(1), 111–124.
- [3] M. Faye Hajin Coyle, *Calculus Course (Volume second)*, Bingjin Higher Education Press, 2006, 397.
- [4] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood and G. Polya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1934.
- [5] Q. Huang, *A new extension of Hardy-Hilbert-type inequality*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2015, 397.
- [6] B. He, *A multiple Hilbert-type discrete inequality with a new kernel and best possible constant factor*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 2015, 431, 990–902.
- [7] Z. Huang and B. Yang, *On a half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality similar to Mulholland's inequality*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2013, 290, 2013.
- [8] Y. Hong and Y. Wen, *A necessary and Sufficient condition of that Hilbert type series inequality with homogeneous kernel has the best constant factor*, Annals Mathematica, 2016, 37A(3), 329–336.
- [9] Y. Hong, *On the structure character of Hilbert's type integral inequality with homogeneous kernel and applications*, Journal of Jilin University (Science Edition), 2017, 55(2), 189–194.
- [10] Y. Hong, Q. Huang, B. Yang and J. Liao, *The necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a kind of Hilbert-type multiple integral inequality with the non-homogeneous kernel and its applications*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2017, 316.
- [11] Y. Hong, B. He and B. Yang, *Necessary and sufficient conditions for the validity of Hilbert type integral inequalities with a class of quasi-homogeneous kernels and its application in operator theory*, Journal of Mathematics Inequalities, 2018, 12(3), 777–788.
- [12] Z. Huang and B. Yang, *Equivalent property of a half-discrete Hilbert's inequality with parameters*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2018, 333.
- [13] L. He, H. Liu and B. Yang, *Parametric Mulholland-type inequalities*, Journal of Applied Analysis and Computation, 2019, 9(5), 1973–1986.
- [14] X. Huang, R. Luo and B. Yang, *On a new extended Half-discrete Hilbert's inequality involving partial sums*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2020, 16.

- [15] M. Krnic and J. Pecaric, *General Hilbert's and Hardy's inequalities*, Mathematical inequalities & applications, 2006, 8(1), 29–51.
- [16] J. Kuang, *Applied inequalities*, Shangdong Science and Technology Press, Jinan, in Chinese, 2004.
- [17] J. Kuang, *Real analysis and functional analysis (continuation) (second volume)*, Higher Education Press, Beijing, in Chinese, 2015.
- [18] J. Liao, S. Wu and B. Yang, *On a new half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality involving the variable upper limit integral and the partial sum*, Mathematics, 2020, 8, 229. DOI:10.3390/math8020229.
- [19] H. Mo and B. Yang, *On a new Hilbert-type integral inequality involving the upper limit functions*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2020, 5.
- [20] I. Peric and P. Vukovic, *Multiple Hilbert's type inequalities with a homogeneous kernel*. Banach Journal of Mathematical Analysis, 2011, 5(2), 33–43.
- [21] M. Th. Rassias and B. Yang, *On half-discrete Hilbert's inequality*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 2013, 220, 75–93.
- [22] M. Th. Rassias and B. Yang, *A multidimensional half \check{C} discrete Hilbert-type inequality and the Riemann zeta function*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 2013, 225, 263–277.
- [23] M. Th. Rassias and B. Yang, *On a multidimensional half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality related to the hyperbolic cotangent function*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 2013, 242, 800–813.
- [24] A. Wang, B. Yang and Q. Chen, *Equivalent properties of a reverse half-discrete Hilbert's inequality*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2019, 279.
- [25] J. Xu, *Hardy-Hilbert's inequalities with two parameters*, Advances in Mathematics, 2007, 36(2), 63–76.
- [26] Z. Xie, Z. Zeng and Y. Sun, *A new Hilbert-type inequality with the homogeneous kernel of degree-2*, Advances and Applications in Mathematical Sciences, 2013, 12(7), 391–401.
- [27] D. Xin, *A Hilbert-type integral inequality with the homogeneous kernel of zero degree*, Mathematical Theory and Applications, 2010, 30(2), 70–74.
- [28] D. Xin, B. Yang and A. Wang, *Equivalent property of a Hilbert-type integral inequality related to the beta function in the whole plane*, Journal of Function Spaces, Volume 2018, Article ID2691816, 8 pages.
- [29] M. You, *On an Extension of the Discrete Hilbert Inequality and Applications*, Journal of Wuhan University (Nat. Sci. Ed.). DOI: 10. 14188/j. 1671-8836. 2020, 0064.
- [30] B. Yang and L. Debnath, *Half-discrete Hilbert-type inequalities*, World Scientific Publishing, Singapore, 2014.
- [31] B. Yang, M. Huang and Y. Zhong, *Equivalent statements of a more accurate extended Mulholland's inequality with a best possible constant factor*, Mathematical Inequalities and Applications, 2020, 23(1), 231–44.
- [32] B. Yang, *On Hilbert's integral inequality*, J. Math. Anal. & Appl., 1998, 220, 778–785.

- [33] B. Yang, *A note on Hilbert's integral inequality*, Chinese Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, 1998, 13(4), 83–86.
- [34] B. Yang, *The norm of operator and Hilbert-type inequalities*, Science Press, Beijing, in Chinese, 2009.
- [35] B. Yang, *Hilbert-type integral inequalities*, Bentham Science Publishers Ltd., The United Arab Emirates, 2009.
- [36] B. Yang, M. Hauang and Y. Zhong, *On an extended Hardy-Hilbert's inequality in the whole plane*, Journal of Applied Analysis and Computation, 2019, 9(6), 2124–2136.
- [37] B. Yang, S. Wu and A. Wang, *On a reverse half-discrete Hardy-Hilbert's inequality with parameters*, Mathematics, 2019, 7, 1054.
- [38] B. Yang, S. Wu and J. Liao, *On a new extended Hardy-Hilbert's inequality with parameters*, Mathematics, 2020, 8, 73. DOI:10.3390/math8010073.
- [39] B. Yang, S. Wu and Q. Chen, *On an extended Hardy-Littlewood-Polya's inequality*, AIMS Mathematics., 2020, 5(2), 1550–1561.
- [40] B. Yang and M. Krnic, *A half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality with a general homogeneous kernel of degree 0*, Journal of Mathematical Inequalities, 2012, 6(3), 401–417.
- [41] Z. Zeng, K. Raja Rama Gandhi and Z. Xie, *A new Hilbert-type inequality with the homogeneous kernel of degree-2 and with the integral*, Bulletin of Mathematical Sciences and Applications, 2014, 3(1), 11–20.