NONEXISTENCE OF STABLE SOLUTIONS OF THE WEIGHTED LANE-EMDEN SYSTEM

Huantong Chen¹, Bo Tao² and Danting Ye^{$2,\dagger$}

Abstract The aim of this paper is to study the stability of the positive solutions of the weighted Lane-Emden system. By applying the structure of the *m*-biharmonic weighted equation, we prove the nonexistence of positive stable solutions for the case 0 .

 ${\bf Keywords}~$ Stable solutions, Lane-Emden system, $m-{\rm biharmonic}$ equation, Nonexistence.

MSC(2010) 35B35, 35B53, 35J60.

1. Introduction

The famous Lane-Emden equation

$$-\Delta u = u^p, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \tag{1.1}$$

has played the important role in the development of nonlinear analysis in last decades.

It is well known that, the stable solutions of the corresponding Lane-Emden equation and system, or the biharmonic equation (see [13]), which have been widely studied by many experts. For the corresponding second order equation

$$-\Delta u = |u|^{p-1}u, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.2}$$

Farina [8] obtained the optimal Liouville type result for solutions stable at infinity. Indeed, he proved that a smooth nontrival solution to (1.2) exists, if 1 $and <math>N \ge 2$. Here p_{JL} stands for Joseph-Lundgren exponent (see [12]). However, Farina's technique may fail to do completely classify the stable solutions and finite Morse index solutions of the biharmonic equation (p > 1)

$$\Delta^2 u = |u|^{p-1} u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$
(1.3)

To solve this problem, Dávila, Dupaigne, Wang and Wei [5] give a complete classification of stable and finite Morse index solutions (whether positive or sign changing), in the full exponent range. They derive a monotone formula for solutions of equation (1.3) by using Pohozaev identity and simplify the problem to the non-existence of stable homogeneous solutions.

[†]The corresponding author. Email: DantingYe@zjnu.edu.cn(D. Ye)

¹College of Information Engineering, Jinhua Polytechnic, Jinhua, Zhejiang, 321000, China

 $^{^2\}mathrm{Colloge}$ of Mathematics and computer Science, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua, Zhejiang, 321000, China

Equation(1.1) and (1.3) are special cases of the Lane-Emden system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = v^p, \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\Delta v = u^\theta, \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases}$$
(1.4)

With $p, \theta > 0$ among which, the problem of existence and nonexistence (known as the Liouville theorem) of stable solutions has attracted wide attention [10, 11], but has not yet fully answered.

The famous Lane-Emden conjecture says that the system(1.4) admit no positive classical solutions in subcritical case

$$\frac{1}{p+1} + \frac{1}{\theta+1} > \frac{N-2}{N}.$$
(1.5)

Moreover, we can check readily that if $p\theta > 1$,

$$(1.5) \Leftrightarrow N < 2 + \alpha + \beta = \frac{2(p+1)(\theta+1)}{p\theta - 1} \tag{1.6}$$

where $\alpha = \frac{2(p+1)}{p\theta-1}$, $\beta = \frac{2(\theta+1)}{p\theta-1}$. In [19] this system admits no radial solutions in dimensions $N \leq 2$. Souplet [21] proved the Lane-Emden conjecture in dimension N = 3. Cowan [2] proved the nonexistence, up to translations, of the stability (radial or not) if $p, \theta \ge 2$ and $N \le 10$. Moreover Chen, Dupaigne and Ghergu [4] showed the stability of radial solutions when $p, \theta \ge 1$. They proved that if $p, \theta \ge 1$, then a radial solution is unstable if and only if $N \leq 10$, or $N \geq 11$ and

$$\left[\frac{(N-2)^2 - (\alpha - \beta)^2}{4}\right]^2 < p\theta\alpha\beta(N-2-\alpha)(N-2-\beta).$$

Recently, Hu [15] drived Liouville type theorem for the weighted Lane-Emden system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = |x|^{\beta} v, \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{N}, \\ -\Delta v = |x|^{\alpha} u^{p}, \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \end{cases}$$

by using Pohozaev identity to construct a monotonicity formula and revealing their certain equivalence relation.

In general weighted Lane-Emden equations

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \rho v^{p}, u > 0, \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{N}, \\ -\Delta v = \rho u^{\theta}, v > 0, \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{N}, \end{cases}$$
(1.7)

for $\rho = (1+|x|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ with $p, \theta > 1$ in (1.7) was considered, Liouville type theorems for the classical positive stable solutions in higher space dimension was established by the authors [3,7]. In 2017, Hajlaoui, Harrabi and Mtiri [14] improved the previous works [9, 16] and mainly obtained a new comparison property which is key to deal with the case $1 < \theta \leq \frac{4}{3}$.

As a generalization, the weighted elliptic system with the advection term is generally of the form

$$\begin{cases} -\omega\Delta u - \nabla\omega\cdot\nabla u = \omega_1 v^p, \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\omega\Delta v - \nabla\omega\cdot\nabla v = \omega_2 u^\theta, \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases}$$
(1.8)

has also been studied recently, see [17] and the references there in for example. For $\omega = (1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{\delta}{2}}, \omega_1 = (1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ and $\omega_2 = (1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}$ with $\alpha, \beta, \delta > 0$ and $N \ge 3, \ p \ge \theta > 1$, Hu [17] proved the non-existence of the stable solution.

For the weighted Lane-Emden system, the Liouville type results are less understood for 0 .

In this paper we are going to study the stbale solutions of the weighted Lane-Emden system:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = v^p, u > 0, \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\Delta v = \rho u^{\theta}, v > 0, \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases}$$
(1.9)

where $0 and <math>\rho : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ is a radial continuous function satisfying the following assumption: (*) There exists $\alpha \ge 0$ and A > 0 such that $\rho(x) \ge A\rho_0(x)$ in \mathbb{R}^N , where $\rho_0(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$.

The main results of this paper are stated as

Theorem 1.1. If 0 satisfies (1.5), then (1.9) has no stable solution.

2. Proof of the main result

In this section we will prove the stable solution of the weighted

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \rho v^p, u > 0, \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\Delta v = \rho u^\theta, v > 0, \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases}$$

we will use the equivalence between m-biharmonic weighted equation and the system to prove the stable solution for such system.

To introduce the notion of stability, we consider a general system given by

 $-\Delta u = f(x, v), \quad -\Delta v = g(x, u) \text{ in } \Omega, \text{ a bounded regular domain in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (2.1)$

where $f, g \in C^1(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})$. Following Montenegro [19], a smooth solution (u, v) of (2.1) is said to be stable in Ω if the following eigenvalue problem

$$-\Delta\xi = f_v(x,v)\zeta + \eta\xi, \quad -\Delta\zeta = g_u(x,u)\xi + \eta\zeta, \text{ in } \Omega$$

has a nonnegative eigenvalue η , with a positive smooth eigenfunctions pair (ξ, ζ) .

Let (u, v) be a solution of system (1.9) with $\theta > p^{-1} > 1 > p > 0$. Our approach is based on the formal equivalence noticed in [1,6,22], between the weighted Lane-Emden system (1.9) and a fourth order problem, called the m-biharmonic weighted equation. More precisely, let $m := \frac{1}{p} + 1 > 2$, as $v = (-\Delta u)^{m-1} > 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N , $(-\Delta u)^{m-1} = (-\Delta u)^{m-2}(-\Delta u) > 0$, recall

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = v^p > 0, \quad u > 0, \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\Delta v = \rho u^\theta > 0, \quad v > 0, \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \end{cases}$$

So $0 < (-\Delta u)^{m-2} = |\Delta u|^{m-2}$, we derive that u satisfies

$$\Delta_m^2 u := \Delta(|\Delta u|^{m-2} \Delta u) = \rho u^{\theta} \quad \text{ in } \ \mathbb{R}^N.$$

So we are led to consider $\theta > m - 1 > 1$, and

$$\Delta_m^2 u := \Delta(|\Delta u|^{m-2} \Delta u) = \rho |u|^{\theta - 1} u.$$
(2.2)

We say that $u \in W^{2,m}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^{\theta+1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a weak solutions of (2.2) in \mathbb{R}^N , if for any regular bounded domain Ω , u is a critical point of the followig functional

$$I(v) = \frac{1}{m} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta v|^m dx - \frac{1}{\theta + 1} \int_{\Omega} \rho |v|^{\theta + 1} dx, \quad \forall v \in W^{2,m}(\Omega) \cap L^{\theta + 1}(\Omega).$$

Naturally, a weak solution to (2.2) is said stable in $mathbb R^N$, if

$$\Lambda_u(h) := (m-1) \int_{\Omega} |\Delta v|^{m-2} |\Delta h|^2 dx - \theta \int_{\Omega} \rho |u|^{\theta-1} h^2 \ge 0, \quad \forall h \in C_c^2(\Omega).$$
(2.3)

Next, we will use the relationship between the stability for the m-biharmonic weighted equation and the stability for the system (1.9) to handle the case 0 . $In fact, a direct calculation yields that if <math>p\theta > 1$ (or equivalently $\theta > m - 1$),

$$(1.6) \Leftrightarrow N < \frac{2m(\theta+1)}{\theta - (m-1)} \Leftrightarrow \theta < \frac{N(m-1) + 2m}{N - 2m}.$$
(2.4)

It means that the range of pairs (p, θ) satisfying (1.5) and $p\theta > 1$ corresponds exactly to the subcritical case of the *m*-biharmonic weighted equation (2.2).

Lemma 2.1. Let (u,v) be a solution of system (1.9) with $\theta > \frac{1}{p} := m-1 > 1$. Suppose that (u,v) is stable in a regular bounded domain Ω , then u is a stable solution of equation (2.2).

Proof. By the definition of stability, there exist smooth positive functions ξ , ζ and $\eta \geq 0$ such that

$$-\Delta\xi = pv^{p-1}\zeta + \eta\xi, \quad -\Delta\zeta = \theta\rho u^{\theta-1} + \eta\zeta. \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

Using (ξ, ζ) as super-solution, $(\min_{\overline{\Omega}} \xi, \min_{\overline{\Omega}} \zeta)$ as sub-solution, and the standard monotone iterations, we can claim that there exist positive smooth functions φ, χ verifying

$$-\Delta \varphi = pv^{p-1}\chi, \quad -\Delta \chi = \theta \rho u^{\theta-1}\varphi \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\theta \rho u^{\theta - 1} \varphi = \Delta(\frac{v^{1 - p}}{p} \Delta \varphi) \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Let $\gamma \in C_c^2(\Omega)$. Multiplying the above equation by $\gamma^2 \varphi^{-1}$ and integrating by parts, there holds

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} \theta \rho u^{\theta-1} \gamma^2 dx &= \int_{\Omega} \gamma^2 \varphi^{-1} \Delta (\frac{1}{p} v^{1-p} \Delta \varphi) \\ &= -\int_{\Omega} \nabla (\gamma^2 \varphi^{-1}) \nabla (\frac{1}{p} v^{1-p} \Delta \varphi) + \int_{\partial \Omega} \gamma^2 \varphi^{-1} \frac{\partial (\frac{1}{p} v^{1-p} \Delta \varphi)}{\partial n} dS(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} v^{1-p} \Delta \varphi \Delta (\gamma^2 \varphi^{-1}) dx - \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{1}{p} v^{1-p} \Delta \varphi \frac{\partial (\gamma^2 \varphi^{-1})}{\partial n} dS(x) \\ &+ \int_{\partial \Omega} \gamma^2 \varphi^{-1} \frac{\partial (\frac{1}{p} v^{1-p} \Delta \varphi)}{\partial n} dS(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} v^{1-p} \Delta \varphi \Delta (\gamma^2 \varphi^{-1}) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} v^{1-p} \Delta \varphi \nabla (2\gamma \varphi^{-1} \nabla \gamma - \gamma^2 \varphi^{-2} \nabla \varphi) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} -4 v^{1-p} \Delta \varphi \gamma \varphi^{-2} \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla \gamma dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} 2 v^{1-p} \Delta \varphi \varphi^{-1} |\nabla \gamma|^2 dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} 2 v^{1-p} \Delta \varphi \gamma \varphi^{-1} \Delta \gamma dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} 2 v^{1-p} \Delta \varphi \gamma^2 \varphi^{-3} |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx \\ &- \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} v^{1-p} \Delta \varphi \gamma^2 \varphi^{-2} \Delta \varphi dx. \end{split}$$
(2.5)

Using Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality and the fact that $-\Delta \varphi > 0$, we get

$$\left|-\frac{4}{p}\int_{\Omega}v^{1-p}\gamma\varphi^{-2}\Delta\varphi\nabla\varphi\cdot\nabla\gamma dx\right| \leq -\frac{2}{p}\int_{\Omega}v^{1-p}\Delta\varphi|\nabla\gamma|^{2}\varphi^{-1}dx$$
$$-\frac{2}{p}\int_{\Omega}v^{1-p}\Delta\varphi\gamma^{2}|\nabla\varphi|^{2}\varphi^{-3}dx.$$
(2.6)

Combining (2.5) and (2.6), one obtains, using again the Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality,

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} \theta \rho u^{\theta-1} \gamma^2 &\leq \frac{2}{p} \int_{\Omega} v^{1-p} \Delta \varphi \gamma \Delta \gamma \varphi^{-1} dx - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} v^{1-p} (\Delta \varphi)^2 \varphi^{-2} \gamma^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} v^{1-p} \Delta \varphi (\Delta \varphi)^2 \varphi^{-2} \gamma^2 dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} v^{1-p} (\Delta \gamma)^2 dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} v^{1-p} \Delta \varphi (\Delta \varphi)^2 \varphi^{-2} \gamma^2 dx \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} v^{1-p} (\Delta \gamma)^2 dx. \end{split}$$

Recall that $p = \frac{1}{m-1}$ and $(-\Delta u)^{\frac{1}{p}} = v$, we obtain the desired result (2.3). \Box According to the above lemma, we know that system (1.9) is equivalent to the

According to the above lemma, we know that system (1.9) is equivalent to the equation (2.2). Therefore, to prove them 1.1 in the case $p \in (0, 1)$ and $p\theta > 1$, we need only to prove

Lemma 2.2. Let $\theta > m - 1 > 1$, if u is a weak stable solution to the equation (2.2) in \mathbb{R}^N with N verifying (2.4), then $u \equiv 0$.

To lemma 2.2, we use first the stability condition (2.3) to get the following crucial lemma which provides an important integral estimate for, u and Δu .

Lemma 2.3. Let $u \in W_{loc}^{2,m}(\Omega) \cap L_{loc}^{\theta+1}(\Omega)$ be a weak stable solution of (2.2) in Ω , with $\theta > m - 1 > 1$. Then, for any integer

$$k \ge \max\left(m, \frac{m(\theta+1)}{2(\theta+1-m)}\right),$$

there exists a positive constant $C = C(N, \epsilon, m, k)$ such that for any $\zeta \in C_c^2(\Omega)$ satisfying $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1$,

$$\int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^m \zeta^{4k} dx + \int_{\Omega} \rho |u|^{\theta+1} \zeta^{4k} dx \leqslant C \left[\int_{\Omega} (|\Delta \zeta|^m + |\nabla \zeta|^{2m} + |\nabla^2 \zeta|^m)^{\frac{\theta+1}{\theta-(m-1)}} dx \right].$$
(2.7)

Proof. For any $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and, $\eta \in C^2(\Omega)$, there holds

$$\int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{m-2} [\Delta (u\eta)]^2 dx$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{m-2} (u\Delta \eta + 2\nabla u\nabla \eta + \eta\Delta u)^2 dx$$

$$\leq (1+\epsilon) \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^m \eta^2 dx + \frac{C}{\epsilon} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{m-2} (u^2 |\Delta \eta|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 |\nabla \eta|^2) dx.$$
(2.8)

Take $\eta = \zeta^{2k}, \, \zeta \in C^2_c(\Omega), \, 0 \leqslant \zeta \leqslant 1, k \ge m > 2$. Apply Young's inequality, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 |\Delta u|^{m-2} |\Delta(\zeta^{2k})|^2 dx$$

$$\leq C_k \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 |\Delta u|^{m-2} (|\Delta \zeta|^2 + |\nabla \zeta|^4) \zeta^{4k-4} dx$$

$$\leq \epsilon^2 \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^m \zeta^{4k} dx + C_{\epsilon,k,m} \int_{\Omega} |u|^m (|\Delta \zeta|^2 + |\nabla \zeta|^4) \zeta^{4k-2m} dx.$$

and

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{m-2} |\nabla u|^2 |\nabla (\zeta^{2k})|^2 dx = & 4k^2 \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{m-2} |\nabla u|^2 |\nabla \zeta|^2 \zeta^{4k-2} dx \\ \leqslant & \epsilon^2 \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^m \zeta^{4k} dx + \frac{C_{m,k}}{\epsilon^{m-2}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^m |\nabla \zeta|^m \zeta^{4k-m} dx. \end{split}$$

Inserting the two above estimates into (2.8), we arrive at

$$\int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{m-2} |\Delta (u\zeta^{2k})|^2 dx \leqslant (1+C_{\epsilon}) \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^m \zeta^{4k} dx + \frac{C_{m,k}}{\epsilon^{m-2}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^m |\nabla \zeta|^m \zeta^{4k-m} dx + C_{\epsilon,k,m} \int_{\Omega} |u|^m (|\Delta \zeta|^2 + |\nabla \zeta|^4)^{\frac{m}{2}} \zeta^{4k-2m} dx.$$
(2.9)

We need also the following technical lemma [18].

Lemma 2.4. Let $k \ge \frac{m}{2} > 1$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $C_{N,\epsilon,m,k} > 0$ such that for any $u \in W^{2,m}_{loc}(\Omega)$ verifying (2.3), $\zeta \in C^{\infty}_{c}(\Omega)$ with $0 \le \zeta \le 1$, there holds

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^m |\nabla \zeta|^m \zeta^{4k-m}}{\rho} dx \leqslant \epsilon \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\Delta u|^m \zeta^{4k}}{\rho} dx \tag{2.10}$$

$$+ C_{N,\epsilon,m,k} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^m (|\nabla \zeta|^{2m} + |\nabla^2 \zeta|^m) \zeta^{4k-2m}}{\rho} dx. \quad (2.11)$$

Proof. A direct calculation gives

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^m |\nabla \zeta|^m \zeta^{4k-m}}{\rho} dx &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{\nabla u \cdot \nabla u |\nabla u|^{m-2} |\nabla \zeta|^m \zeta^{4k-m}}{\rho} dx \\ &= -\int_{\Omega} div (\nabla u |\nabla u|^{m-2}) u \frac{|\nabla \zeta|^m \zeta^{4k-m}}{\rho} dx \\ &- \int_{\Omega} u |\nabla u|^{m-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla (\frac{|\nabla \zeta|^m \zeta^{4k-m}}{\rho}) dx \\ &:= I_1 + I_2. \end{split}$$
(2.12)

The integral I_1 can be estimated as

$$\begin{split} I_{1} &= -\left(m-2\right) \int_{\Omega} \frac{u |\nabla u|^{m-4} |\nabla \zeta|^{m} \nabla^{2} u (\nabla u, \nabla u) \zeta^{4k-m}}{\rho} dx \\ &- \int_{\Omega} \frac{u \Delta u |\nabla u|^{m-2} |\nabla \zeta|^{m} \zeta^{4k-m}}{\rho} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{u \nabla u |\nabla u|^{m-2} |\nabla \zeta|^{m} \zeta^{4k-m}}{\rho} dx \\ &\leqslant C_{m} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u| |\nabla^{2} u| |\nabla u|^{m-2} |\nabla \zeta|^{m} \zeta^{4k-m}}{\rho} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u| |\Delta u| |\nabla u|^{m-2} |\nabla \zeta|^{m} \zeta^{4k-m}}{\rho} dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u| |\nabla u|^{m-1} |\nabla \zeta|^{m} \zeta^{4k-m}}{\rho} dx. \end{split}$$
(2.13)

Applying Young's inequality, there holds, for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u||\Delta u||\nabla u|^{m-2}|\nabla \zeta|^{m}\zeta^{4k-m}}{\rho} dx$$

$$\leq C_{\epsilon,m} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{\frac{m}{2}}|\Delta u|^{\frac{m}{2}}|\nabla \zeta|^{m}\zeta^{4k-m}}{\rho} dx + \epsilon \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^{m}|\nabla \zeta|^{m}\zeta^{4k-m}}{\rho} dx$$

$$\leq C_{\epsilon,m} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{m}|\nabla \zeta|^{2m}\zeta^{4k-2m}}{\rho} dx + \epsilon \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\Delta u|^{m}\zeta^{4k}}{\rho} dx + \epsilon \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^{m}|\nabla \zeta|^{m}\zeta^{4k-m}}{\rho} dx.$$
(2.14)

On the other hand,

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u| |\nabla^2 u| |\nabla u|^{m-2} |\nabla \zeta|^{m-2+2} \zeta^{4k-m}}{\rho} dx \\ \leqslant &C_{\epsilon,m} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{\frac{m}{2}} |\nabla^2 u|^{\frac{m}{2}} |\nabla \zeta|^m \zeta^{4k-m}}{\rho} dx + \epsilon \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^m |\nabla \zeta|^m \zeta^{4k-m}}{\rho} dx \\ \leqslant &C_{\epsilon,m} \int_{\Omega} v \frac{|u|^m |\nabla \zeta|^{2m} \zeta^{4k-2m}}{\rho} dx + \epsilon \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla^2 u|^m \zeta^{4k}}{\rho} dx + \epsilon \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^m |\nabla \zeta|^m \zeta^{4k-m}}{\rho} dx. \end{split}$$

$$(2.15)$$

Now we shall estimate the integral

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla^2 u|^m \zeta^{4k}}{\rho} dx.$$

Remark that there exists $C_0N, m > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla^2 \varphi|^m dx \leqslant C_0 N, m \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta \varphi|^m dx, \quad \forall \varphi \in W^{2,m}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$
(2.16)

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla^{2}(u\zeta^{\frac{m}{m}})|^{m}}{\rho} dx \leq C_{0}(N,m) \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\Delta(u\zeta^{\frac{m}{m}})|^{m}}{\rho} dx$$

$$\leq C \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\Delta u|^{m}\zeta^{4k}}{\rho} dx + C \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^{m}|\nabla\zeta|^{m}\zeta^{4k-m}}{\rho} dx \qquad (2.17)$$

$$+ C \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{m}(|\nabla\zeta|^{2m} + |\nabla^{2}\zeta|^{m})\zeta^{4k-2m}}{\rho} dx.$$

 So

$$\begin{split} I_1 \leqslant C &\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\Delta u|^m \zeta^{4k}}{\rho} dx + C \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^m |\nabla \zeta|^m \zeta^{4k-m}}{\rho} dx \\ &+ C &\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^m (|\nabla \zeta|^{2m} + |\nabla^2 \zeta|^m) \zeta^{4k-2m}}{\rho} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u| |\nabla u|^{m-1} |\nabla \zeta|^m \zeta^{4k-m}}{\rho} dx. \end{split}$$

$$I_2 = -m \qquad (2.19)$$

Using lemma 2.4 with ϵ^m and (2.9), we see that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{m-2} ||\Delta (u\zeta^{2k})|^2 dx \leqslant C_{N,\epsilon,m,k} \int_{\Omega} |u|^m (|\Delta \zeta|^m + |\nabla \zeta|^{2m} + |\nabla^2 \zeta|^m) \zeta^{4k-2m} dx + (1+C_{m,k,\epsilon}) \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^m \zeta^{4k} dx.$$

$$(2.20)$$

Thanks to the approximation argument, the stability property (2.3) holds true with $u\zeta^{2k}$. We deduce then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $C_{N,\epsilon,m,k} > 0$ such that

$$\theta \int_{\Omega} \rho |u|^{\theta+1} \zeta^{4k} dx - (m-1)(1+C_{m,k,\epsilon}) \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^m \zeta^{4k} dx$$

$$\leq C_{N,\epsilon,m,k} \int_{\Omega} |u|^m (|\Delta \zeta|^m + |\nabla \zeta|^{2m} + |\nabla^2 \zeta|^m) \zeta^{4k-2m} dx.$$
(2.21)

Moreover, multiplying the equation (2.2) by $u\zeta^{4k}$ and integrating by parts, there holds

$$\int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{m} \zeta^{4k} dx - \int_{\Omega} \rho(x) u^{\theta+1} \zeta^{4k}$$

$$\leqslant \int_{\Omega} |u| |\Delta u|^{m-1} |\Delta(\zeta^{4k})| dx + C \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{m-1} |\nabla u| |\nabla(\zeta)^{4k}| dx.$$

Using Young's inequality and applying again lemma 2.4, we can deduce that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $C_{N,\epsilon,m,k} > 0$ such that

$$(1 - C_{m,k,\epsilon}) \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^m \zeta^{4k} dx - \int_{\Omega} \rho |u|^{\theta + 1} \zeta^{4k} dx$$
$$\leq C_{N,\epsilon,k,m} \int_{\Omega} |u|^m (|\Delta \zeta|^m + |\nabla \zeta|^{2m} + |\nabla^2 \zeta|^m) \zeta^{4k - 2m} dx.$$
(2.22)

Taking $\epsilon > 0$ but small enough, multiplying (2.22) by $\frac{(m-1)(1+2C_{m,k,\epsilon})}{1-C_{m,k,\epsilon}}$, adding it with (2.21), we get

$$(m-1)C_{m,k,\epsilon} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^m \zeta^{4k} dx + \left[\theta - \frac{(m-1)(1+2C_{m,k,\epsilon})}{1-C_{m,k,\epsilon}}\right] \int_{\Omega} \rho |u|^{\theta+1} \zeta^{4k} dx$$
$$\leqslant C_{N,\epsilon,k,m} \int_{\Omega} |u|^m (|\Delta \zeta|^m + |\nabla \zeta|^{2m} + |\nabla^2 \zeta|^m) \zeta^{4k-2m} dx.$$

As $\theta > m - 1 > 1$, using $\epsilon > 0$ small enough, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^m \zeta^{4k} dx + \int_{\Omega} \rho |u|^{\theta+1} \zeta^{4k} dx \leq C \int_{\Omega} |u|^m (|\Delta \zeta|^m + v |\nabla \zeta|^{2m} + |\nabla^2 \zeta|^m) \zeta^{4k-2m} dx.$$

$$(2.23)$$

For $k \ge \frac{m(\theta+1)}{2(\theta+1-m)}$ so that $4km \le (4k-2m)(\theta+1)$, applying Hölder inequality, we conclude then

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{m} \zeta^{4k} dx + \int_{\Omega} \rho |u|^{\theta+1} \zeta^{4k} dx \\ \leqslant &C[\int_{\Omega} (|\Delta \zeta|^{m} + |\nabla \zeta|^{2m} + |\nabla^{2} \zeta|^{m})^{\frac{\theta+1}{\theta-(m-1)}} dx]^{\frac{\theta-(m-1)}{\theta+1}} (\int_{\Omega} \rho |u|^{\theta+1} \zeta^{\frac{(4k-2m)(\theta+1)}{m}} dx)^{\frac{m}{\theta+1}} \\ \leqslant &C[\int_{\Omega} (|\Delta \zeta|^{m} + |\nabla \zeta|^{2m} + |\nabla^{2} \zeta|^{m})^{\frac{\theta+1}{\theta-(m-1)}} dx]^{\frac{\theta-(m-1)}{\theta+1}} (\int_{\Omega} \rho |u|^{\theta+1} \zeta^{4k} dx)^{\frac{m}{\theta+1}}. \end{split}$$

We get readily the estimate (2.7).

Now we choose ϕ_0 a cut-off function in $C_c^{\infty}(B_2)$ verifying $0 \leq \phi_0 \leq 1$, and $\phi_0 \equiv 1$ in B_1 . Applying (2.7) with $\zeta = \phi_0(R^{-1}x)$ for R > 0, there holds

$$\int_{B_R} \rho |u|^{\theta+1} dx \leqslant \int_{R^N} \rho |u|^{\theta+1} \zeta^{4k} dx \leqslant C R^{N - \frac{2m(\theta+1)}{\theta - (m-1)}}.$$

Under the assumption (2.4), tending $R \to \infty$, we obtain $u \equiv 0$, we prove then lemma 2.2, hence the case $\theta p > 1 > p > 0$ for theorem 1.1.

References

- D. Bonheure, E. M. Dos Santos and M. Ramos, Symmetry breaking for ground state solutions of some strongly coupled elliptic systems, Funct. Anal., 2013, 264, 62–96.
- [2] C. Cowan, Liouville theorems for stable lane emden systems and biharmonic problems, Nonlinearity, 2013, 26, 2357–2371.
- [3] C. Cowan and N. Ghoussoub, Regularity of semi-stable solutions to fourth order nonlinear eigenvalue problems on general domains, Calc. Var. PDEs., 2014, 49, 291–305.
- [4] W. Chen, L. Dupaigne and M. Ghergu, A new critical curve for the Lane-Emden system, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 2014, 34, 2469–79.
- [5] J. Dávila, L. Dupaigne, K. Wang and J. Wei, A monotonicity formula and a Liouville-type theorem for a fourth order supercritical problem, Adv. Math., 2014, 258, 240–285.

- [6] E. M. Dos Santos, Multiplicity of solutions for a fourth-order quasilinear nonhomgeneous equation, Math. Anal. Appl., 2008, 342, 277–97.
- [7] L. Dupaigne, M. Ghergu, O. Goubet and G. Warnault, *The Gelj⁻fand problem* for the biharmonic operator, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 2013, 208, 725–752.
- [8] A. Farina, On the classification of solutions of Lane-Emden equation on unbounded domains of \mathbb{R}^N , J. Math. Pures Appl., 2007, 87, 537–561.
- M. Fazly, Liouville type theorems for stable solutions of certain elliptic systems, Adv. Nonlinear Stud., 2012, 12, 1–17.
- [10] M. Fazly and J. Wei, On stable solution of the fractional Hénon-Lane-Emden equation, Commun. Contemp. Math., 2016, 18, 165–5.
- [11] Z. Guo and J. Wei, Liouville type results and regularity of the extremal solutions of biharmonic equation with negative exponents, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 2014, 34, 2561–2580.
- [12] C. Gui, W. Ni and X. Wang, On the stability and instability of positive steady states of a semilinear heat equation in ℝ^N, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 1992, 45, 1153–1181.
- [13] H. Hajlaoui, A. Harrabi and D. Ye, On the stable solutions of the biharmonic problem with polynomial growth, Pacific J. Math., 2014, 270, 79–93.
- [14] H. Hajlaoui, A. Harrabi and F. Mtiri, Liouville theorems for stable solutions of the weighted LanešCEmden system, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 2017, 37, 265–279.
- [15] L. Hu, A monotonicity formula and Liouville-type theorems for stable solutions of the weighted elliptic system, Adv. Differ. Equ., 2017, 22, 49–76.
- [16] L. Hu, Liouville type results for semi-stable solutions of the weighted LanešC Emden system, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2015, 432, 429–440.
- [17] L. Hu, Liouville type theorems for stable solutions of the weighted elliptic system with the advection term: $p \ge \theta > 1$, Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl., 2018, 25, 1–30.
- [18] F. Mtiri and D.Ye, Liouville theorems for stable at infinity solutions of Lane-Emden system, Nonlinearity, 2019, 32, 910–926.
- [19] E. Mitidieri, A Rellich type identity and applications, Commun. PDE., 1993, 18, 125–151.
- [20] J. Serrin and H. Zou, Existence of positive solutions of Lane-Emden system, Atti. Semin. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena, 1998, 46, 369–380.
- [21] P. Souplet, The proof of the lane-emden conjecture in four space dimensions, Adv. Math., 2009, 221, 31409–1427.
- [22] J. Wei and D. Ye, Liouville theorems for stable solutions of biharmonic problem, Math. Ann., 2013, 356, 1599–612.