A HARTMAN-GROBMAN THEOREM FOR ALGEBRAIC DICHOTOMIES*

Chaofan Pan¹, Manuel Pinto² and Yonghui Xia^{1†}

Abstract Algebraic dichotomy is a generalization of an exponential dichotomy (see Lin [28]). This paper gives a version of Hartman-Grobman linearization theorem assuming that linear system admits an algebraic dichotomy, which generalizes the Palmer's linearization theorem. Besides, we prove that the homeomorphism in the linearization theorem is Hölder continuous (and has a Hölder continuous inverse). Comparing with exponential dichotomy, algebraic dichotomy is more complicate. The exponential dichotomy leads us to the estimates $\int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\alpha(t-s)} ds$ and $\int_{t}^{+\infty} e^{-\alpha(s-t)} ds$ which are convergent. However, the algebraic dichotomy will leads us to $\int_{-\infty}^{t} \left(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(s)}\right)^{-\alpha} ds$ or $\int_{t}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{\mu(s)}{\mu(t)}\right)^{-\alpha} ds$, whose the convergence is unknown in the sense of Riemann.

 ${\bf Keywords} \ \ {\rm Algebraic \ dichotomy, \ linearization, \ H\"{o}lder \ continuous.}$

MSC(2010) 34C41, 34D10, 34D09, 34C40, 34D05.

1. Introduction

1.1. Brief history on dichotomy and C^0 linearization

In 1930, Perron [35] introduced the concept of the (classical or uniform) exponential dichotomy. Exponential dichotomy theory plays an important role in the differential equations. However, many scholars argued that exponential dichotomy restricts many dynamic behaviors. For this reason, mathematicians proposed various concepts of the dichotomies which are more general than exponential dichotomy, for examples, ordinary dichotomy (see Coppel [16]), nonuniform exponential dichotomy (see Barreira and Valls [6,7], Barreira et al. [1]), nonuniform polynomial dichotomy (see Barreira and Valls [8]), (h, k)-dichotomy (see Naulin and Pinto [32], Fenner and Pinto [19]), nonuniform (μ, ν) -dichotomy (see Barrei et al. [2], Chu [15]), algebraic dichotomy (see Lin [28]), (h, k, μ, ν) dichotomy (see Zhang et al. [47, 48]). In fact, the dichotomy was given for the different kinds of differential equations, such as the impulsive systems (see e.g [48]), the difference equations (see e.g Barraria and Valls [9, 10]), the dynamic equations

 $^{^{\}dagger}\mathrm{The}$ corresponding author.

Email: xiaoutlook@163.com; yhxia@zjnu.cn.(Y. Xia)

¹College of Mathematics and Computer Science, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua, 321004, China

²Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile

^{*}This paper was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant (Nos. 11931016, 11671176), the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province under Grant (No. LY20A010016) and Grant Fondecyt (No. 1170466), Fondecyt 038-2021-Perú.

on time scales (see Pötzche [36, 37]). The most recently, the dichotomy theory was proposed and applied to the linear evolution equations with non-instantaneous impulsive effects (see Li et al. [30, 31], Wang et al. [43, 44]). In this paper, we pay particular attention to the algebraic dichotomy introduced by Lin [28] discussed some basic properties of the algebraic dichotomy, and calculated the power of the weight function.

On the other hand, linear equations are mathematically well-understood but nonlinear systems are relatively difficult to investigate. For this reason, linearization of differential equations is very important. A basic contribution to the linearization problem for autonomous differential equations is the Hartman-Grobman theorem (see [21, 22]). Some improvements of the Hartman-Grobman theorem to infinite dimensional space can be found in Bates and Lu [4], Hein and Prüss [24], Lu [29], Pugh [39] and Reinfelds [41, 42]. Palmer successfully generalized the Hartman-Grobman theorem to nonautonomous differential equations (see [33])

$$y' = A(t)y + f(t, y).$$
 (1.1)

In order to weaken the conditions of Palmer's linearization theorem, some improvements were given in Backes et al. [3] (without exponential dichotomy), Barreira et al. [11–14] (nonuniform dichotomy), Jiang [26, 27] (generalized dichotomy and ordinary dichotomy), Huerta [17, 23] (with nonuniform contraction), Papaschinopoulos [34], Pinto et al. [40], Zou et al. [49, 50], Huang and Xia [25] (for the differential equations with piecewise constant argument), Pötzche [38] (for dynamic systems on time scales), Fenner and Pinto [20], Xia et al. [45, 46] and Zhang et al. [47, 48] (for the instantaneous impulsive system).

1.2. Motivation and novelty

In this paper, we pay particular attention to the effect of the algebraic dichotomy imposing on the linearization of the differential equations. Palmer's linearization theorem requires two essential conditions: (i) the nonlinear term f is uniformly bounded and Lipschitzian; (ii) the linear system

$$x'(t) = A(t)x(t) \tag{1.2}$$

possesses an exponential dichotomy. In the present paper, we try to reduce the second condition. Motivated by Lin's algebraic dichotomy (see Lin [28]) and the works of Palmer [33] and Zhang et al. [47], we study the C^0 linearization with the algebraic dichotomy. Further more, we prove that the homeomorphism and its inverse are Hölder continuous under the assumption of the algebraic dichotomy. When the algebraic dichotomy reduces to the exponential dichotomy, our results generalize and improve the previous ones. Comparing with exponential dichotomy, algebraic dichotomy is more general. The exponential dichotomy leads to the estimates $\int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\alpha(t-s)} ds$ and $\int_{t}^{+\infty} e^{-\alpha(s-t)} ds$ which are convergent. However, the algebraic dichotomy will leads us to $\int_{-\infty}^{t} \left(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(s)}\right)^{-\alpha} ds$ or $\int_{t}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{\mu(s)}{\mu(t)}\right)^{-\alpha} ds$, whose the convergence is unknown in the sense of Riemann. This brings more difficulties to our research.

The structure of our paper as follows. In Section 2, we give our main results. In Section 3, we give some preliminary results. In Section 4, we give rigorous proofs to show the regularity of the equivalent function H(t, x) and G(t, y). Finally, we give an example to illustrate our linearization theorem.

2. Statement of main results

Consider the following two non-autonomous systems

$$x' = A(t)x + f(t,x)$$
 (2.1)

and

$$x' = A(t)x, \tag{2.2}$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that A(t) is a $n \times n$ continuous and bounded matrix defined on \mathbb{R} . Let T(t,s) be the evolution operator of system (2.2) satisfying $T(t,s)x(s) = x(t), t, s \in \mathbb{R}$, for any solution x(t) of system (2.2). Clearly, T(t,t) = Id and

$$T(t,\tau)T(\tau,s) = T(t,s), \quad t,s,\tau \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(2.3)

An increasing function $\mu : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ is said to be a growth rate if $\mu(0) = 1$,

$$\lim_{t \to -\infty} \mu(t) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \to +\infty} \mu(t) = +\infty.$$
(2.4)

In the following, we always assume that $\mu(t)$ is growth rate.

Definition 2.1 ([28]). Linear system (2.2) is said to admit an algebraic dichotomy, if there exists a projection P(s) and constants K > 0, $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$T(t,s)P(s) = P(t)T(t,s),$$
 (2.5)

$$||T(t,s)P(s)|| \le K \left(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(s)}\right)^{-\alpha}, \quad \text{if} \quad t \ge s,$$

$$||T(t,s)P(s)|| \le K \left(\frac{\mu(s)}{\mu(s)}\right)^{-\alpha} \quad \text{if} \quad t \le s,$$
(2.6)

$$||T(t,s)Q(s)|| \le K \left(\frac{\mu(s)}{\mu(t)}\right)^{-\alpha}, \quad \text{if} \quad t \le s$$

hold, where P(s) + Q(s) = I.

Obviously, $\mu(t) = e^t$ yields an exponential dichotomy.

To show its generality, we give an example of algebraic dichotomy.

Example 2.1. Consider the differential equation in \mathbb{R}^2 .

$$\begin{cases} x_1' = -\eta_1 \left(\frac{\mu'(t)}{\mu(t)}\right) x_1, \\ x_2' = \eta_2 \left(\frac{\mu'(t)}{\mu(t)}\right) x_2, \end{cases}$$

$$(2.7)$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where η_1, η_2 are positive constants.

From system (2.7), we get

$$x_1 = \mu(t)^{-\eta_1} V_1, \quad x_2 = \mu(t)^{\eta_2} V_2,$$

where V_1, V_2 are constants.

Taking $P(s) = diag\{1, 0\}, Q(s) = diag\{0, 1\}$. Then, we have

$$T(t,s)P(s) = \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(s)}\right)^{-\eta_1} 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{for} \quad t \ge s,$$
(2.8)

$$T(t,s)Q(s) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0\\ 0 & \left(\frac{\mu(s)}{\mu(t)}\right)^{-\eta_2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{for} \quad t \le s.$$

$$(2.9)$$

Taking $\eta_3 = \max\{\eta_1, \eta_2\}$. It follows that

$$\begin{split} ||T(t,s)P(s)|| &\leq \left(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(s)}\right)^{-\eta_3}, \quad \text{for} \quad t \geq s, \\ ||T(t,s)Q(s)|| &\leq \left(\frac{\mu(s)}{\mu(t)}\right)^{-\eta_3}, \quad \text{for} \quad t \leq s. \end{split}$$

This implies that equation (2.7) admits an algebraic dichotomy with

$$K = 1, \quad \alpha = \eta_3.$$

Remark 2.1. Particularly, if $\mu(t) = e^t$, Example 2.1 implies that equation (2.7) admits an exponential dichotomy with $K = 1, \alpha = \eta_3$.

Definition 2.2 ([19]). Linear system (2.2) is said to admit an (h, k) dichotomy, if there exists a projection P(s), constants K > 0, $\alpha > 0$ and piecewise right continuous function h(t), k(t) such that

$$\begin{cases} ||U(t,s)P(s)|| \le Kh(t)h^{-1}(s)e^{-\alpha(t-s)}, \quad t \ge s, \\ ||U(t,s)Q(s)|| \le Kk(t)k^{-1}(s)e^{-\alpha(s-t)}, \quad t \le s, \end{cases}$$
(2.10)

hold, where P(s) + Q(s) = I.

If $h(t) = k(t) = \mu(t)$, then we take $\max\{e^{-\alpha(t-s)}, t \ge s\}$ and $\max\{e^{-\alpha(t-s)}, t \le s\}$, which are 1. Thus, we get an algebraic dichotomy.

Definition 2.3 ([19]). We say that h and k are fulfill a compensation law on \mathbb{R} if there exists a positive constant $C_{h,k}$ such that

$$k(t)k^{-1}(s) \le C_{h,k}h(t)h^{-1}(s), \quad t \ge s.$$

The system is said to be a h-system, if it has a (h, h) dichotomy.

Clearly, a system having an (h, k)-dichotomy with compensation law belongs to the class of h-systems.

Definition 2.4. Suppose that there exists a function $H : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

- (i) for each fixed $t, H(t, \cdot)$ is a homeomorphism of \mathbb{R}^n into \mathbb{R}^n ;
- (ii) ||H(t,x) x|| is uniformly bounded with respect to t;
- (iii) assume that $G(t, \cdot) = H^{-1}(t, \cdot)$ also has property (*ii*);
- (iv) if x(t) is a solution of system (2.1), then H(t, x(t)) is a solution of system (2.2); and if y(t) is a solution of system (2.2), then G(t, y(t)) is a solution of system (2.1).

If such a map $H_t(H_t := H(t, \cdot))$ exists, then system (2.1) is topologically conjugated to system (2.2) and the transformation H(t, x) is called an equivalent function.

Now we are in a position to state our main results.

Theorem 2.1. Suppose that system (2.2) admits an algebraic dichotomy, and f(t, x) satisfies

- (i) $||f(t,x)|| \le \beta \mu'(t) \mu^{-1}(t)$,
- (*ii*) $||f(t, x_1) f(t, x_2)|| \le \gamma \mu'(t) \mu^{-1}(t) ||x_1 x_2||,$
- (iii) $6K\gamma\alpha^{-1} < 1$.

Then nonlinear system (2.1) is topologically conjugated to their linear part $\dot{x} = A(t)x$, and the equivalent function

$$||H(t,x) - x|| \le 2K\beta\alpha^{-1}$$

Denote $H^{-1}(t, \cdot) = G(t, \cdot)$, then G(t, y) also satisfies

$$||G(t,y) - y|| \le 2K\beta\alpha^{-1}.$$

Theorem 2.2. Suppose that the conditions in Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. Moreover, assuming that $\alpha > \gamma$. Then there exist constants p, q > 0, 0 < p', q' < 1 such that

$$||H(t,x) - H(t,x')|| \le p||x - x'||^q, \quad \text{if } ||x - x'|| < 1, \tag{2.11}$$

$$|G(t,y) - G(t,y')|| \le p'||y - y'||^{q'}, \quad \text{if } ||y - y'|| < 1, \tag{2.12}$$

where $G(t, \cdot) = H^{-1}(t, \cdot)$.

Remark 2.2. Assuming that $\mu(t) = e^t$ in Theorem 2.1, Theorem 2.1 reduces to the classical Palmer linearization theorem, (see [33]). However, Palmer did not study the regularity of equivalent function H(t, x). We remark that there are good results for the linearization of (h, k, μ, ν) -dichotomy ([47, 48]). However, they did not study the regularity of homeomorphisms mapping the nonlinear systems onto its linearization.

3. Preliminary results

We split the proof of Theorem 2.1 into several lemmas. Suppose that $X(t, t_0, x_0)$ is the solution of system (2.1) with the initial value condition $X(t_0) = x_0$, and $Y(t, t_0, y_0)$ is the solution of system (2.2) with the initial value condition $Y(t_0) = y_0$.

We start with a fundamental lemma, which shows that linear system (2.2) has no other bounded solutions except for the zero solution under our hypothesis.

Lemma 3.1. If linear system (2.2) has an algebraic dichotomy, then the bounded solution of linear system (2.2) is the zero solution.

Proof. Let x(t) be the bounded solution of system (2.2). There exists n order real vector x(s), such that

$$x(t) = T(t, s)x(s).$$
 (3.1)

From (3.1), we get

$$x(t) = T(t,s)P(s)x(s) + T(t,s)Q(s)x(s).$$

If $P(s)x(s) \neq 0$, consider the case $t \leq s$. We have

$$||x(t)|| = ||T(t,s)P(s)x(s) + T(t,s)Q(s)x(s)|| \\ \ge ||T(t,s)P(s)x(s)|| - ||T(t,s)Q(s)x(s)||$$

From (2.3), (2.5) and (2.6), we get

$$\begin{split} ||P(s)x(s)|| &= ||T(s,s)P(s)T(s,t)T(t,s)P(s)x(s)|| \\ &\leq ||T(s,t)P(t)|| \ ||T(t,s)P(s)x(s)|| \\ &\leq K \left(\frac{\mu(s)}{\mu(t)}\right)^{-\alpha} \ ||T(t,s)P(s)x(s)||. \end{split}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$||T(t,s)P(s)x(s)|| \ge \frac{1}{K} \left(\frac{\mu(s)}{\mu(t)}\right)^{\alpha} ||P(s)x(s)||.$$

From (2.6), we get

$$||T(t,s)Q(s)x(s)|| \le K \left(\frac{\mu(s)}{\mu(t)}\right)^{-\alpha} ||x(s)||.$$

Hence,

$$||x(t)|| \ge \frac{1}{K} \left(\frac{\mu(s)}{\mu(t)}\right)^{\alpha} ||P(s)x(s)|| - K \left(\frac{\mu(s)}{\mu(t)}\right)^{-\alpha} ||x(s)||.$$

From (2.4), when $t \to -\infty$, $\mu(t) \to 0$. Thus,

$$\lim_{t \to -\infty} ||x(t)|| = +\infty.$$

This contradicts with the boundedness of x(t), thus P(s)x(s) = 0. Similarly, we get Q(s)x(s) = 0, when t > s. Thus, x(t) = 0, $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

This conclusion is key to the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.2. For any given (τ, ξ) , system

$$Z'(t) = A(t)Z(t) - f(t, X(t, \tau, \xi))$$
(3.2)

has a unique bounded solution $h(t, (\tau, \xi))$, and $h(t, (\tau, \xi)) \leq 2K\beta\alpha^{-1}$.

Proof. For any given (τ, ξ) , taking

$$Z_0(t) = -\int_{-\infty}^t T(t,s)P(s)f(s,X(s,\tau,\xi))ds + \int_t^{+\infty} T(t,s)Q(s)f(s,X(s,\tau,\xi))ds.$$

Differentiating $Z_0(t)$, we get $Z_0(t)$ is a solution of system (3.2).

Now we prove that $Z_0(t)$ is the unique bounded solution of system (3.2).

$$||Z_0(t)|| \le \int_{-\infty}^t K\left(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(s)}\right)^{-\alpha} \beta \mu'(s)\mu^{-1}(s)ds + \int_t^{+\infty} K\left(\frac{\mu(s)}{\mu(t)}\right)^{-\alpha} \beta \mu'(s)\mu^{-1}(s)ds$$

$$\leq K\beta\mu^{-1}(t)\int_{-\infty}^{t}\mu^{\alpha-1}(s)\mu'(s)ds + K\beta\mu^{\alpha}(t)\int_{t}^{+\infty}\mu^{-\alpha-1}(s)\mu'(s)ds$$
$$\leq 2K\beta\alpha^{-1}.$$

For any given (τ, ξ) , system (3.2) is a linear non-homogeneous system, and its linear part

$$Z'(t) = A(t)Z(t)$$

has an algebraic dichotomy. According to Lemma 3.1, system (3.2) has a unique bounded solution $Z_0(t)$. Since $Z_0(t)$ is related to τ, ξ , we denote $Z_0(t)$ as $h(t, (\tau, \xi))$. From the above proof, we get $h(t, (\tau, \xi)) \leq 2K\beta\alpha^{-1}$.

Lemma 3.3. For any given (τ, ξ) , system

$$Z'(t) = A(t)Z(t) + f(t, Y(t, \tau, \xi) + Z(t))$$
(3.3)

has a unique bounded solution $g(t, (\tau, \xi))$, and $g(t, (\tau, \xi)) \leq 2K\beta\alpha^{-1}$.

Proof. Let

$$\Omega := \{ z : \mathbb{R} \to X \mid ||z|| \le 2K\beta\alpha^{-1} \}.$$

Defining the following mapping:

$$\mathcal{F}z = \int_{-\infty}^t T(t,s)P(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi)+z)ds - \int_t^{+\infty} T(t,s)Q(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi)+z)ds.$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} ||\mathcal{F}z|| &\leq \int_{-\infty}^t K\left(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(s)}\right)^{-\alpha} \beta \mu'(s) \mu^{-1}(s) ds + \int_t^{+\infty} K\left(\frac{\mu(s)}{\mu(t)}\right)^{-\alpha} \beta \mu'(s) \mu^{-1}(s) ds \\ &\leq 2K\beta\alpha^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, \mathcal{F} is a self-mapping in Ω . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} ||\mathcal{F}z_{1} - \mathcal{F}z_{2}|| &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{t} K\left(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(s)}\right)^{-\alpha} \gamma \mu'(s)\mu^{-1}(s)||z_{1} - z_{2}||ds \\ &+ \int_{t}^{+\infty} K\left(\frac{\mu(s)}{\mu(t)}\right)^{-\alpha} \gamma \mu'(s)\mu^{-1}(s)||z_{1} - z_{2}||ds \\ &\leq 2K\gamma\alpha^{-1}||z_{1} - z_{2}|| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3}||z_{1} - z_{2}||. \end{aligned}$$

From Banach's fixed point theorem, ${\cal F}$ has a unique fixed point $Z_1(t)$ in Ω , and $Z_1(t)$ satisfies

$$Z_{1}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t,s)P(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + Z_{1}(s))ds - \int_{t}^{+\infty} T(t,s)Q(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + Z_{1}(s))ds.$$

Differentiating $Z_1(t)$, we get $Z_1(t)$ is a solution of equation (3.3). Since $||Z_1(t)|| \le 2K\beta\alpha^{-1}$, $Z_1(t)$ is a bounded solution of equation (3.3).

Now we prove the uniqueness. Let $Z_2(t)$ be another bounded solution. By the variation formula, we get

$$\begin{split} Z_{2}(t) =& T(t,0)x_{0} + \int_{0}^{t} T(t,s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + Z_{2}(s))ds \\ =& T(t,0)x_{0} + \int_{0}^{t} T(t,s)P(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + Z_{2}(s))ds \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} T(t,s)Q(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + Z_{2}(s))ds \\ =& T(t,0)x_{0} + \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t,s)P(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + Z_{2}(s))ds \\ &- \int_{-\infty}^{0} T(t,s)P(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + Z_{2}(s))ds \\ &+ \int_{0}^{+\infty} T(t,s)Q(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + Z_{2}(s))ds \\ &- \int_{t}^{+\infty} T(t,s)Q(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + Z_{2}(s))ds . \end{split}$$

Since

$$\int_{-\infty}^{0} T(t,s)P(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi)+Z_{2}(s))ds$$

=T(t,0)T(0,t) $\int_{-\infty}^{0} T(t,s)P(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi)+Z_{2}(s))ds$,

and

$$||T(0,t) \int_{-\infty}^{0} T(t,s)P(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + Z_{2}(s))ds||$$

=|| $\int_{-\infty}^{0} T(0,t)T(t,s)P(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + Z_{2}(s))ds||$
=|| $\int_{-\infty}^{0} T(0,s)P(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + Z_{2}(s))ds||$
 $\leq \int_{-\infty}^{0} K\left(\frac{\mu(0)}{\mu(s)}\right)^{-\alpha} \beta\mu'(s)\mu^{-1}(s)ds$
 $\leq K\beta\alpha^{-1}.$ (3.4)

From (3.4), we get $T(0,t) \int_{-\infty}^{0} T(t,s)P(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + Z_2(s))ds$ is convergent, and denoting it as x_1 . Thus, we get

$$\int_{-\infty}^{0} T(t,s)P(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + Z_2(s))ds = T(t,0)x_1.$$

Similarly,

$$\int_0^{+\infty} T(t,s)Q(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + Z_2(s))ds = T(t,0)x_2.$$

Therefore,

$$Z_{2}(t) = T(t,0)(x_{0} + x_{1} + x_{2}) + \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t,s)P(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + Z_{2}(s))ds$$
$$-\int_{t}^{+\infty} T(t,s)Q(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + Z_{2}(s))ds.$$

Since $Z_2(t)$ is a bounded solution, and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t,s)P(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi)+Z_{2}(s))ds - \int_{t}^{+\infty} T(t,s)Q(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi)+Z_{2}(s))ds$$

is bounded. Therefore, $T(t,0)(x_0 + x_1 + x_2)$ is also bounded.

Since $T(t,0)(x_0+x_1+x_2)$ is the bounded solution of Z' = A(t)Z. From Lemma 3.1, we get $T(t,0)(x_0+x_1+x_2) = 0$. Thus,

$$Z_{2}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t,s)P(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + Z_{2}(s))ds - \int_{t}^{+\infty} T(t,s)Q(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + Z_{2}(s))ds.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} ||Z_{1}(t) - Z_{2}(t)|| &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{t} ||T(t,s)P(s)||\beta\mu'(s)\mu^{-1}(s)||Z_{1}(s) - Z_{2}(s)||ds \\ &+ \int_{t}^{+\infty} ||T(t,s)Q(s)||\beta\mu'(s)\mu^{-1}(s)||Z_{1}(s) - Z_{2}(s)||ds \\ &\leq 2K\gamma\alpha^{-1}||Z_{1}(t) - Z_{2}(t)|| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3}||Z_{1}(t) - Z_{2}(t)||. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $Z_1(t) = Z_2(t)$. The bounded solution of system (3.3) is unique. This solution is related to (τ, ξ) , denoting it as $g(t, (\tau, \xi))$. From the above proof, we get

$$g(t,(\tau,\xi)) \le 2K\beta\alpha^{-1}.$$

Lemma 3.4. Let x(t) be any solution of system (2.1), system

$$Z'(t) = A(t)Z(t) + f(t, x(t) + Z(t)) - f(t, x(t))$$
(3.5)

has a unique bounded solution Z = 0.

Proof. Obviously, Z = 0 is a bounded solution of system (3.5). Next, we prove the uniqueness of the bounded solution. Let $Z_3(t)$ be another bounded solution. By the variation formula, we get

$$Z_3(t) = T(t,0)x(0) + \int_0^t T(t,s)[f(s,x(s) + Z_3(s)) - f(s,x(s))]ds.$$

Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.3, we get

$$Z_{3}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t,s)P(s)[f(s,x(t)) + Z_{3}(s)) - f(s,x(s))]ds$$
$$-\int_{t}^{+\infty} T(t,s)Q(s)[f(s,x(t)) + Z_{3}(s)) - f(s,x(s))]ds.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{split} ||Z_{3}(t)|| &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{t} ||T(t,s)P(s)||\beta\mu'(s)\mu^{-1}(s)||Z_{3}(s)||ds \\ &+ \int_{t}^{+\infty} ||T(t,s)Q(s)||\beta\mu'(s)\mu^{-1}(s)||Z_{3}(s)||ds \\ &\leq 2K\gamma\alpha^{-1}||Z_{3}(t)|| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3}||Z_{3}(t)||. \end{split}$$

Thus, $Z_3(t) = 0$.

Now we construct two functions as follows:

$$H(t,x) = x + h(t,(t,x)),$$
(3.6)

$$G(t, y) = y + g(t, (t, y)).$$
 (3.7)

Lemma 3.5. For any given (t_0, x_0) , $H(t, X(t, t_0, x_0))$ is the solution of linear system (2.2).

Proof. Replacing (τ, ξ) in system (3.2) with $(t, X(t, \tau, \xi))$. From the uniqueness of the bounded solution, we obtain

$$H(t, X(t, t_0, x_0)) = X(t, t_0, x_0) + h(t, (t_0, x_0)).$$
(3.8)

Differentiating (3.8), we get

$$[H(t, X(t, t_0, x_0))]'$$

=A(t)X(t, t_0, x_0) + f(t, X(t, t_0, x_0)) + A(t)h(t, (t_0, x_0)) - f(t, X(t, t_0, x_0))
=A(t)H(t, X(t, t_0, x_0)).

This shows that $H(t, X(t, t_0, x_0))$ is the solution of linear system (2.2).

Lemma 3.6. For any given (t_0, y_0) , $G(t, Y(t, t_0, y_0))$ is the solution of system (2.1). **Proof.** Replacing (τ, ξ) in system (3.3) with $(t, Y(t, \tau, \xi))$. From Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.7, we get

$$G(t, Y(t, t_0, y_0)) = Y(t, t_0, y_0) + g(t, (t_0, y_0)).$$
(3.9)

Differentiating (3.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & [G(t, Y(t, t_0, y_0))]' \\ = & A(t)Y(t, t_0, y_0) + A(t)g(t, (t_0, g_0)) + f(t, Y(t, t_0, y_0) + g(t, (t_0, g_0))) \\ = & A(t)G(t, Y(t, t_0, y_0)) + f(t, G(t, Y(t, t_0, y_0))). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $G(t, Y(t, t_0, y_0))$ is the solution of system (2.1).

Lemma 3.7. For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we has always

$$H(t, G(t, y)) = y.$$
 (3.10)

Proof. Let y(t) be any solution of system (2.2). From Lemma 3.6, we know that G(t, y(t)) is the solution of system (2.1). From Lemma 3.5, we know that H(t, G(t, y(t))) is the solution of system (2.2), denoting it as $y_0(t)$. Let $M(t) = y(t) - y_0(t)$. Then, we have

$$M'(t) = y'(t) - y'_0(t) = A(t)y(t) - A(t)y_0(t) = A(t)M(t).$$

Thus, M(t) is the solution of system (2.2). Moreover,

$$\begin{split} ||M(t)|| &= ||y(t) - y_0(t)|| \\ &= ||y(t) - H(t, G(t, y(t)))|| \\ &\leq ||y(t) - G(t, y(t))|| + ||G(t, y(t)) - H(t, G(t, y(t))))|| \\ &= ||g(t, (t, y(t)))|| + ||h(t, (t, G(t, y(t))))|| \\ &\leq 2K\beta\alpha^{-1} + 2K\beta\alpha^{-1} \\ &= 4K\beta\alpha^{-1}. \end{split}$$

This shows that M(t) is a bounded solution of x' = A(t)x. From Lemma 3.1, we get M(t) = 0. Thus,

$$y(t) = y_0(t), \quad H(t, G(t, y)) = y.$$

Lemma 3.8. For any $t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we has always

$$G(t, H(t, x)) = x.$$
 (3.11)

Proof. Let x(t) be any solution of system(2.1). From Lemma 3.5, we know that H(t, x(t)) is the solution of system (2.2). From Lemma 3.6, we get G(t, H(t, x(t))) is the solution of system(2.1). Denoting it as $x_0(t)$.

Let $N(t) = x_0(t) - x(t)$, differentiating it, we get

$$N'(t) = x'_0(t) - x'(t)$$

= $A(t)x_0(t) + f(t, x_0(t)) - A(t)x(t) - f(t, x(t))$
= $A(t)N(t) + f(t, x(t) + N(t)) - f(t, x(t)).$

Thus, N(t) is a solution of system (3.5). Moreover,

$$\begin{split} ||N(t)|| &= ||x(t) - x_0(t)|| \\ &= ||x(t) - G(t, H(t, x(t)))|| \\ &\leq ||x(t) - H(t, x(t))|| + ||H(t, x(t)) - G(t, H(t, x(t)))|| \\ &= ||h(t, (t, x(t)))|| + ||g(t, (t, h(t, x(t))))|| \\ &\leq 2K\beta\alpha^{-1} + 2K\beta\alpha^{-1} \\ &= 4K\beta\alpha^{-1}. \end{split}$$

This shows that N(t) is a bounded solution of system (3.5). From Lemma 3.4, we have N(t) = 0. Thus,

$$x(t) = x_0(t), \quad G(t, H(t, x)) = x.$$

Lemma 3.9. Denoting that $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} ||A(t)|| = M$. Then we have

$$||X(t,t_0,x_0) - X(t,t_0,x_0')|| \le ||x_0 - x_0'||e^{M|t-t_0|} \left(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(t_0)}\right)^{\gamma},$$
(3.12)

$$||Y(t,t_0,y_0) - Y(t,t_0,y_0')|| \le ||y_0 - y_0'||e^{M|t-t_0|}.$$
(3.13)

Proof. By the variation formula, we get

$$X(t, t_0, x_0) = x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t A(s)X(s, t_0, x_0) + f(s, X(s, t_0, x_0))ds,$$

$$Y(t, t_0, y_0) = y_0 + \int_{t_0}^t A(s)Y(s, t_0, x_0)ds.$$

Then,

$$||X(t,t_0,x_0) - X(t,t_0,x_0')|| \le ||x_0 - x_0'|| + \int_{t_0}^t M||X(s,t_0,x_0) - X(s,t_0,x_0')|| + \gamma \mu'(s)\mu^{-1}(s)||X(s,t_0,x_0) - X(s,t_0,x_0')||ds$$

and

$$||Y(t,t_0,y_0) - Y(t,t_0,y_0')|| \le ||y_0 - y_0'|| + \int_{t_0}^t M||Y(s,t_0,y_0) - Y(s,t_0,y_0')||ds.$$

From Bellman's inequality, we get

$$||X(t,t_0,x_0) - X(t,t_0,x_0')|| \le ||x_0 - x_0'||e^{\int_{t_0}^t M + \gamma \mu'(s)\mu^{-1}(s)ds} \le ||x_0 - x_0'||e^{M|t-t_0|} \left(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(t_0)}\right)^{\gamma}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} ||Y(t,t_0,y_0) - Y(t,t_0,y_0')|| &\leq ||y_0 - y_0'|| e^{\int_{t_0}^t M ds} \\ &\leq ||y_0 - y_0'|| e^{M|t-t_0|}. \end{aligned}$$

4. Proofs of main results

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We are going to show that $H(t, \cdot)$ satisfies the four conditions of Definition 2.1.

For any fixed t, it follows from Lemmas 3.7 and 3.8 that $H(t, \cdot)$ is homeomorphism and $G(t, \cdot) = H^{-1}(t, \cdot)$. Thus, Condition (i) is satisfied.

From (3.6) and Lemma 3.2, we derive $||H(t,x) - x|| = ||h(t,(t,x))|| \le 2K\gamma\alpha^{-1}$. Note $||H(t,x)|| \to \infty$ as $|x| \to \infty$, uniformly with respect to t. Thus, Condition (ii) is satisfied.

From (3.7) and Lemma 3.3, we derive $||G(t, y) - y|| = ||g(t, (t, y))|| \le 2K\gamma\alpha^{-1}$. Note $||G(t, y)|| \to \infty$ as $|y| \to \infty$, uniformly with respect to t. Thus, Condition (iii) is satisfied.

From Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6, we know that Condition (iv) is true.

Hence, the system (2.1) and its linear system (2.2) are topologically conjugated. $\hfill \Box$

Proof of Theorem 2.2. We prove this theorem in two steps.

step 1. We show that there exist constants p > 0, 0 < q < 1, such that $||H(t, x) - H(t, x')|| \le p||x - x'||^q$, if ||x - x'|| < 1.

From Lemma 3.2, it follows that

$$h(t,(t,\xi)) = -\int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t,s)P(s)f(s,X(s,t,\xi))ds + \int_{t}^{+\infty} T(t,s)Q(s)f(s,X(s,t,\xi))ds.$$

Thus, we get

$$\begin{split} h(t,(t,\xi)) &- h(t,(t,\xi')) \\ = -\int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t,s) P(s)(f(s,X(s,t,\xi)) - f(s,X(s,t,\xi'))) ds \\ &+ \int_{t}^{+\infty} T(t,s) Q(s)(f(s,X(s,t,\xi)) - f(s,X(s,t,\xi'))) ds. \\ = &I_1 + I_2. \end{split}$$

We suppose that $0 < ||\xi - \xi'|| < 1$. Taking $\tau = \frac{1}{M+\gamma} \ln \frac{1}{||\xi - \xi'||}$.

Now divide I_1, I_2 into two parts:

$$I_{1} = \int_{-\infty}^{t-\tau} + \int_{t-\tau}^{t} = I_{11} + I_{12},$$

$$I_{2} = \int_{t}^{t+\tau} + \int_{t+\tau}^{+\infty} = I_{21} + I_{22}.$$

Then, by using (2.6) and (2.1), we have

$$||I_{11}|| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{t-\tau} ||T(t,s)P(s)|| 2\beta\mu'(s)\mu^{-1}(s)ds$$
$$\leq \int_{-\infty}^{t-\tau} K\left(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(s)}\right)^{-\alpha} 2\beta\mu'(s)\mu^{-1}(s)ds$$
$$\leq 2K\beta\alpha^{-1}\left(\frac{\mu(t-\tau)}{\mu(t)}\right)^{\alpha}.$$

Taking sufficiently large constant \tilde{M} , such that

$$\tilde{M} \ge \max\{ [\log_{\frac{\mu(t-\tau)}{\mu(t)}} ||\xi - \xi'||] + 1, [\log_{\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(t+\tau)}} ||\xi - \xi'||] + 1, \alpha, M \}.$$

Then, we get

$$\left(\frac{\mu(t-\tau)}{\mu(t)}\right)^{\alpha} \le ||\xi - \xi'||^{\frac{\alpha}{M}}.$$

Thus,

$$||I_{11}|| \le 2K\beta\alpha^{-1}||\xi - \xi'||^{\frac{\alpha}{M}},$$

and

$$||I_{22}|| \leq \int_{t+\tau}^{+\infty} ||T(t,s)Q(s)|| 2\beta\mu'(s)\mu^{-1}(s)ds$$

$$\leq \int_{t+\tau}^{+\infty} K\left(\frac{\mu(s)}{\mu(t)}\right)^{-\alpha} 2\beta\mu'(s)\mu^{-1}(s)ds$$

$$\leq 2K\beta\alpha^{-1}\left(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(t+\tau)}\right)^{\alpha}$$

$$\leq 2K\beta\alpha^{-1}||\xi-\xi'||^{\frac{\alpha}{M}}.$$

From (2.6), (2.1) and Lemma 3.9, we have

$$\begin{split} ||I_{12}|| &\leq \int_{t-\tau}^{t} K\left(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(s)}\right)^{-\alpha} \gamma \mu'(s) \mu^{-1}(s) ||X(s,t,\xi) - X(s,t,\xi')|| ds \\ &\leq \int_{t-\tau}^{t} K\left(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(s)}\right)^{-\alpha} \gamma \mu'(s) \mu^{-1}(s) ||\xi - \xi'|| e^{M|s-t|} \left(\frac{\mu(s)}{\mu(t)}\right)^{\gamma} ds \\ &\leq K\gamma ||\xi - \xi'|| e^{M\tau} \int_{t-\tau}^{t} \left(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(s)}\right)^{-(\alpha+\gamma)} \mu'(s) \mu^{-1}(s) ds \\ &\leq K\gamma ||\xi - \xi'|| e^{M\tau} (\alpha + \gamma)^{-1} \\ &\leq K\gamma (\alpha + \gamma)^{-1} ||\xi - \xi'|| \frac{\gamma}{M+\gamma}, \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} ||I_{21}|| &\leq \int_{t}^{t+\tau} K\left(\frac{\mu(s)}{\mu(t)}\right)^{-\alpha} \gamma \mu'(s) \mu^{-1}(s) ||X(s,t,\xi) - X(s,t,\xi')|| ds \\ &\leq \int_{t}^{t+\tau} K\left(\frac{\mu(s)}{\mu(t)}\right)^{-\alpha} \gamma \mu'(s) \mu^{-1}(s) ||\xi - \xi'|| e^{M|s-t|} \left(\frac{\mu(s)}{\mu(t)}\right)^{\gamma} ds \\ &\leq K\gamma ||\xi - \xi'|| e^{M\tau} \int_{t}^{t+\tau} \left(\frac{\mu(s)}{\mu(t)}\right)^{-(\alpha-\gamma)} \mu'(s) \mu^{-1}(s) ds \\ &\leq K\gamma ||\xi - \xi'|| e^{M\tau} (\alpha - \gamma)^{-1} \\ &\leq K\gamma (\alpha - \gamma)^{-1} ||\xi - \xi'|| \frac{\gamma}{M+\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of H(t,x), if ||x - x'|| < 1,

$$\begin{aligned} &||H(t,x) - H(t,x')|| \\ \leq &||x - x'|| + ||I_{11}|| + ||I_{12}|| + ||I_{21}|| + ||I_{22}|| \\ \leq &(1 + 4K\beta\alpha^{-1} + K\gamma(\alpha + \gamma)^{-1} + K\gamma(\alpha - \gamma)^{-1})||x - x'||^{\min\{\frac{\alpha}{M}, \frac{\gamma}{M + \gamma}\}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\equiv p||x - x'||^q,$$

where $p = 1 + 4K\beta\alpha^{-1} + K\gamma(\alpha + \gamma)^{-1} + K\gamma(\alpha - \gamma)^{-1})$, $q = \min\{\frac{\alpha}{M}, \frac{\gamma}{M+\gamma}\}$. **Step 2.** We show that there exist constants p' > 0, 0 < q' < 1, such that $||G(t, y) - G(t, y')|| \le p'||y - y'||^{q'}$, if ||y - y'|| < 1.

From Lemma 3.3, we know that $g(t, (\tau, \xi))$ is a fixed point of map \mathcal{F} :

$$\mathcal{F}z = \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t,s)P(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi)+z)ds - \int_{t}^{+\infty} vT(t,s)Q(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi)+z)ds.$$

Let $g_0(t, (\tau, \xi)) \equiv 0$ and recursively define:

$$g_{m+1}(t,(\tau,\xi)) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t,s)P(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + g_m(s,(\tau,\xi)))ds - \int_{t}^{+\infty} T(t,s)Q(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + g_m(s,(\tau,\xi)))ds.$$

Firstly, we prove that

$$g_m(t,(\tau,\xi)) \to g(t,(\tau,\xi)), \text{ as } m \to +\infty,$$

uniformly with respect to t, τ, ξ .

$$\begin{split} ||g_{1}(t,(\tau,\xi)) - g_{0}(t,(\tau,\xi))|| &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{t} ||T(t,s)P(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + g_{0}(s,(\tau,\xi))|| ds \\ &- \int_{t}^{+\infty} ||T(t,s)Q(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + g_{0}(s,(\tau,\xi)))|| ds \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{t} K\left(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(s)}\right)^{-\alpha} \beta \mu'(s)\mu^{-1}(s) ds \\ &+ \int_{t}^{+\infty} K\left(\frac{\mu(s)}{\mu(t)}\right)^{-\alpha} \beta \mu'(s)\mu^{-1}(s)| ds \\ &\leq 4K\beta\alpha^{-1}, \\ ||g_{2}(t,(\tau,\xi)) - g_{1}(t,(\tau,\xi))|| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{t} ||T(t,s)P(s)(f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + g_{1}(s,(\tau,\xi))))| \\ &- f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + g_{0}(s,(\tau,\xi))))|| ds \\ &- \int_{t}^{+\infty} ||T(t,s)Q(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + g_{1}(s,(\tau,\xi))) \\ &- f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + g_{0}(s,(\tau,\xi))))|| ds \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{t} K\left(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(s)}\right)^{-\alpha} \gamma \mu'(s)\mu^{-1}(s)||g_{1} - g_{0}|| ds \\ &+ \int_{t}^{+\infty} K\left(\frac{\mu(s)}{\mu(t)}\right)^{-\alpha} \gamma \mu'(s)\mu^{-1}(s)||g_{1} - g_{0}|| ds \\ &\leq (4K\gamma\alpha^{-1})(4K\beta\alpha^{-1}). \end{split}$$

Similarly, we get

$$||g_{m+1}(t,(\tau,\xi)) - g_m(t,(\tau,\xi))||$$

$$\leq \int_{-\infty}^{t} ||T(t,s)P(s)(f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi)+g_m)-f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi)+g_{m-1})||ds - \int_{t}^{+\infty} ||T(t,s)Q(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi)+g_m)-f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi)+g_{m-1})||ds \leq \int_{-\infty}^{t} K\left(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(s)}\right)^{-\alpha} \gamma \mu'(s)\mu^{-1}(s)||g_m-g_{m-1}||ds + \int_{t}^{+\infty} K\left(\frac{\mu(s)}{\mu(t)}\right)^{-\alpha} \gamma \mu'(s)\mu^{-1}(s)||g_m-g_{m-1}||ds \leq (4K\gamma\alpha^{-1})^m (4K\beta\alpha^{-1}).$$

Since $4K\gamma\alpha^{-1} < 1$, $g_m(t,(\tau,\xi))$ is uniformly converged, as $m \to +\infty$. Let

$$\lim_{m \to +\infty} g_m(t, (\tau, \xi)) = \bar{g}(t, (\tau, \xi)).$$

Secondly, we prove $\bar{g}(t,(\tau,\xi)) = g(t,(\tau,\xi)).$

$$\begin{split} ||g_{0}(t,\tau,\xi) - g(t,\tau,\xi)|| &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{t} ||T(t,s)P(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + g)||ds \\ &- \int_{t}^{+\infty} ||T(t,s)Q(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + g)ds|| \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{t} K\left(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(s)}\right)^{-\alpha} \beta\mu'(s)\mu^{-1}(s)ds \\ &+ \int_{t}^{+\infty} K\left(\frac{\mu(s)}{\mu(t)}\right)^{-\alpha} \beta\mu'(s)\mu^{-1}(s)ds \\ &\leq 4K\beta\alpha^{-1}, \\ ||g_{1}(t,(\tau,\xi)) - g(t,(\tau,\xi))|| &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{t} ||T(t,s)P(s)(f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + g_{0}(s,(\tau,\xi))) \\ &- f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + g(s,(\tau,\xi))))||ds \\ &- \int_{t}^{+\infty} ||T(t,s)Q(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + g_{0}(s,(\tau,\xi))) \\ &- f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + g(s,(\tau,\xi))))||ds \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{t} K\left(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(s)}\right)^{-\alpha} \gamma\mu'(s)\mu^{-1}(s)||g_{0} - g||ds \\ &+ \int_{t}^{+\infty} K\left(\frac{\mu(s)}{\mu(t)}\right)^{-\alpha} \gamma\mu'(s)\mu^{-1}(s)||g_{0} - g||ds \\ &\leq (4K\gamma\alpha^{-1})(4K\beta\alpha^{-1}). \end{split}$$

Similarly, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &||g_m(t,(\tau,\xi)) - g(t,(\tau,\xi))|| \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^t ||T(t,s)P(s)(f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + g_{m-1}) - f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + g))||ds \\ &- \int_{t}^{+\infty} ||T(t,s)Q(s)(f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + g_{m-1}) - f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + g))||ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \int_{-\infty}^{t} K\left(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(s)}\right)^{-\alpha} \gamma \mu'(s) \mu^{-1}(s) ||g-g_{m-1}|| ds + \int_{t}^{+\infty} K\left(\frac{\mu(s)}{\mu(t)}\right)^{-\alpha} \gamma \mu'(s) \mu^{-1}(s) ||g-g_{m-1}|| ds \leq (4K\gamma\alpha^{-1})^{m} (4K\beta\alpha^{-1}).$$

Since $4K\gamma\alpha^{-1} < 1$, $g_m(t,(\tau,\xi)) \rightarrow g(t,(\tau,\xi))$, as $m \rightarrow +\infty$. From the uniqueness of the limit, we know that $\bar{g}(t,(\tau,\xi)) = g(t,(\tau,\xi))$. Thus,

$$\lim_{m \to +\infty} g_m(t,\tau,\xi) = g(t,\tau,\xi).$$

Next, we prove $g_m(t,(\tau,\xi)) = g_m(t,(t,Y(t,\tau,\xi)))$. Since

$$\begin{split} Y(t,(\tau,\xi)) &= Y(t,t,Y(t,\tau,\xi)).\\ g_1(t,(\tau,\xi)) &= \int_{-\infty}^t T(t,s)P(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi))ds - \int_t^{+\infty} T(t,s)Q(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi))ds.\\ g_1(t,(t,Y(t,\tau,\xi)))) &= \int_{-\infty}^t T(t,s)P(s)f(s,Y(s,s,Y(s,\tau,\xi)))ds\\ &- \int_t^{+\infty} T(t,s)Q(s)f(s,Y(s,s,Y(s,\tau,\xi)))ds\\ &= \int_{-\infty}^t T(t,s)P(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi))ds - \int_t^{+\infty} T(t,s)Q(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi))ds. \end{split}$$

Thus, $g_1(t, (\tau, \xi)) = g_1(t, (t, Y(t, \tau, \xi))).$ Similarly, we get

$$g_{m+1}(t,(\tau,\xi)) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t,s)P(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + g_m(s,\tau,\xi))ds - \int_{t}^{+\infty} T(t,s)Q(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + g_m(s,\tau,\xi))ds$$

and

$$\begin{split} g_{m+1}(t,(t,Y(t,\tau,\xi))) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t,s)P(s)f(s,Y(s,s,Y(s,\tau,\xi)) + g_m(s,s,Y(s,\tau,\xi)))ds \\ &- \int_{t}^{+\infty} T(t,s)Q(s)f(s,Y(s,s,Y(s,\tau,\xi)) + g_m(s,s,Y(s,\tau,\xi)))ds \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t,s)P(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi) + g_m(s,\tau,\xi))ds \\ &- \int_{t}^{+\infty} T(t,s)Q(s)f(s,Y(s,\tau,\xi))ds. \end{split}$$

Thus, $g_{m+1}(t, (\tau, \xi)) = g_{m+1}(t, (t, Y(t, \tau, \xi))).$

From the mathematical induction, we get

$$g_m(t,(\tau,\xi)) = g_m(t,(t,Y(t,\tau,\xi))).$$

Similarly, we take sufficiently large constant \tilde{M}_1 and $\tilde{\tau} = \frac{1}{M+\gamma} \ln \frac{1}{||\eta - \eta'||}$, such that

$$\tilde{M_1} \ge \max\{ [\log_{\frac{\mu(t-\tilde{\tau})}{\mu(t)}} ||\eta - \eta'||] + 1, [\log_{\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(t+\tilde{\tau})}} ||\eta - \eta'||] + 1, \alpha, M \}.$$

Finally, taking constants $\lambda > 0$ is sufficiently large, and q' > 0 is sufficiently small, satisfing $\lambda > \frac{4K\beta\alpha^{-1}+2K\gamma\alpha^{-1}}{1-2K\gamma\alpha^{-1}e}, q' \leq \min\{\frac{\alpha}{\tilde{M_1}}, \frac{\gamma}{M+\gamma}, \frac{\alpha}{M\tilde{\tau}} \ln \frac{\mu(t+\tilde{\tau})}{\mu(t)}\}$, where $\ln \frac{\mu(t+\tilde{\tau})}{\mu(t)} < \frac{1}{\alpha}$.

Furthermore, we prove if $||\eta - \eta'|| < 1$, for any non-negative integer m, we have

$$||g_m(t,(t,\eta)) - g_m(t,(t,\eta'))|| \le \lambda ||\eta - \eta'||^{q'}.$$
(4.1)

When m = 0, (4.1) obviously holds. Now we induce that hypothesis (4.1) holds. From (4.1), we get

$$g_{m+1}(t,(t,\eta)) - g_{m+1}(t,(t,\eta')) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t,s)P(s)[f(s,Y(s,t,\eta) + g_m(s,t,\eta)) - f(s,Y(s,t,\eta') + g_m(s,t,\eta'))]ds$$
$$- \int_{t}^{+\infty} T(t,s)Q(s)[f(s,Y(s,t,\eta) + g_m(s,t,\eta)) - f(s,Y(s,t,\eta') + g_m(s,t,\eta'))]ds$$
$$= J_1 + J_2.$$

Now divide J_1, J_2 into two parts:

$$J_{1} = \int_{-\infty}^{t-\tilde{\tau}} + \int_{t-\tilde{\tau}}^{t} = J_{11} + J_{12},$$

$$J_{2} = \int_{t}^{t+\tilde{\tau}} + \int_{t+\tilde{\tau}}^{+\infty} = J_{21} + J_{22}.$$

Then, by using (2.6) and (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} ||J_{11}|| &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{t-\tilde{\tau}} ||T(t,s)P(s)|| 2\beta\mu'(s)\mu^{-1}(s)ds \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{t-\tilde{\tau}} K\left(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(s)}\right)^{-\alpha} 2\beta\mu'(s)\mu^{-1}(s)ds \\ &\leq 2K\beta\alpha^{-1}\left(\frac{\mu(t-\tilde{\tau})}{\mu(t)}\right)^{\alpha} \\ &\leq 2K\beta\alpha^{-1}||\eta-\eta'||^{\frac{\alpha}{M_1}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$||J_{22}|| \le \int_{t+\tilde{\tau}}^{+\infty} ||T(t,s)Q(s)|| 2\beta \mu'(s)\mu^{-1}(s)ds$$

$$\leq \int_{t+\tilde{\tau}}^{+\infty} K\left(\frac{\mu(s)}{\mu(t)}\right)^{-\alpha} 2\beta\mu'(s)\mu^{-1}(s)ds$$

$$\leq 2K\beta\alpha^{-1}\left(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(t+\tilde{\tau})}\right)^{\alpha}$$

$$\leq 2K\beta\alpha^{-1}||\eta-\eta'||^{\frac{\alpha}{M_{1}}}.$$

Furthermore, it follows from (2.6) , (2.1) and lemma 3.9 that

$$\begin{aligned} ||J_{12}|| &\leq \int_{t-\tilde{\tau}}^{t} K\left(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(s)}\right)^{-\alpha} \gamma \mu'(s) \mu^{-1}(s) (||\eta - \eta'|| e^{M|s-t|} + \lambda ||\eta - \eta'||^{q'} e^{Mq'|s-t|}) ds \\ &\leq \int_{t-\tilde{\tau}}^{t} K\left(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(s)}\right)^{-\alpha} \gamma \mu'(s) \mu^{-1}(s) (||\eta - \eta'|| e^{M\tilde{\tau}} + \lambda ||\eta - \eta'||^{q'} e^{Mq'\tilde{\tau}}) ds \\ &\leq K \gamma \alpha^{-1} ||\eta - \eta'|| e^{M\tilde{\tau}} + K \gamma \lambda ||\eta - \eta'||^{q'} \left(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(t-\tilde{\tau})}\right)^{\alpha} \ln \frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(t-\tilde{\tau})} \\ &\leq K \gamma \alpha^{-1} ||\eta - \eta'||^{\frac{\gamma}{M+\gamma}} + K \gamma \lambda \alpha^{-1} e ||\eta - \eta'||^{q'} \\ &\leq (K \gamma \alpha^{-1} + K \gamma \lambda \alpha^{-1} e) ||\eta - \eta'||^{q'}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{split} ||J_{21}|| &\leq \int_{t}^{t+\tilde{\tau}} K\left(\frac{\mu(s)}{\mu(t)}\right)^{-\alpha} \gamma \mu'(s) \mu^{-1}(s) (||\eta - \eta'|| e^{M|s-t|} + \lambda ||\eta - \eta'||^{q'} e^{Mq'|s-t|}) ds \\ &\leq \int_{t}^{t+\tilde{\tau}} K\left(\frac{\mu(s)}{\mu(t)}\right)^{-\alpha} \gamma \mu'(s) \mu^{-1}(s) (||\eta - \eta'|| e^{M\tilde{\tau}} + \lambda ||\eta - \eta'||^{q'} e^{Mq'\tilde{\tau}}) ds \\ &\leq K \gamma \alpha^{-1} ||\eta - \eta'|| e^{M\tilde{\tau}} + K \gamma \lambda ||\eta - \eta'||^{q'} \left(\frac{\mu(t+\tilde{\tau})}{\mu(t)}\right)^{\alpha} \ln \frac{\mu(t+\tilde{\tau})}{\mu(t)} \\ &\leq K \gamma \alpha^{-1} ||\eta - \eta'||^{\frac{\gamma}{M+\gamma}} + K \gamma \lambda \alpha^{-1} e ||\eta - \eta'||^{q'} \\ &\leq (K \gamma \alpha^{-1} + K \gamma \lambda \alpha^{-1} e) ||\eta - \eta'||^{q'}. \end{split}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &||g_{m+1}(t,(t,\xi)) - g_{m+1}(t,(t,\xi'))|| \\ \leq &||J_{11}|| + ||J_{12}|| + ||J_{21}|| + ||J_{22}|| \\ \leq &4K\beta\alpha^{1}||\eta - \eta'||^{\frac{\alpha}{M_{1}}} + 2(K\gamma\alpha^{-1} + K\gamma\lambda\alpha^{-1}e)||\eta - \eta'||^{q'} \\ \leq &(4K\beta\alpha^{-1} + 2K\gamma\alpha^{-1} + 2K\gamma\alpha^{-1}\lambda e) ||\eta - \eta'||^{q'} \\ \leq &\lambda ||\eta - \eta'||^{q'}. \end{aligned}$$

This means that for any non-negative integer m, there is

$$||g(t,(t,\eta)) - g(t,(t,\eta'))|| \le \lambda ||\eta - \eta'||^{q'}.$$

From the definition of G(t, y), if 0 < ||y - y'|| < 1, we have

$$||G(t,y) - G(t,y')|| \le ||y - y'|| + \lambda ||y - y'||^{q'} \le (1+\lambda)||y - y'||^{q'}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2.

5. An example

Consider the differential equation in \mathbb{R}^2 ,

$$\begin{cases} x' = -\eta_1 \left(\frac{\mu'(t)}{\mu(t)}\right) x + \varepsilon \sin(x+t), \\ y' = \eta_2 \left(\frac{\mu'(t)}{\mu(t)}\right) y + \varepsilon \cos(x+t), \end{cases}$$
(5.1)

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\eta_1, \eta_2, \varepsilon$ are positive constants and $\mu(t) = \frac{2}{\pi} e^t (\frac{\pi}{2} + \arctan t)$. Denoting

$$z = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \ A(t) = \begin{pmatrix} -\eta_1 \left(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(s)}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & \eta_2 \left(\frac{\mu'(t)}{\mu(t)}\right) \end{pmatrix}, \ f(t,z) = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon \sin(x+t) \\ \varepsilon \cos(x+t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

From Example 2.1, we know that the linear part of Eq. (5.1) admits an algebraic dichotomy. Then,

$$\mu'(t)\mu^{-1}(t) = 1 + \frac{1}{(\frac{\pi}{2} + \arctan t)(1 + t^2)}$$

We can easily get $1 < \mu'(t)\mu^{-1}(t) < 1 + \frac{2}{\pi}$. Then, we have

$$||f(t,z)|| \le \varepsilon ||\sin(x+t) + \cos(x+t)|| \le 2\varepsilon \le 2\varepsilon \mu'(t)\mu^{-1}(t),$$

$$||f(t,z_1) - f(t,z_2)|| \le 2\varepsilon ||z_1 - z_2|| \le 2\varepsilon \mu'(t)\mu^{-1}(t).$$

Hence, Eq. (5.1) satisfies the condition of Theorem 2.1 if $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{\eta_3}{8}$, where $\eta_3 = \max\{\eta_1, \eta_2\}$. Therefore, Eq. (5.1) is topologically conjugated to its linear part.

Data Availability Statement. My manuscript has no associated data. It is pure mathematics.

Conflict of Interest. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Authors' contributions. All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

References

- L. Barreira, J. Chu and C. Valls, Robustness of nonuniform dichotomies with different growth rates, Sao Paulo J. Math. Sci., 2011, 5(2), 203–231.
- [2] L. Barreira, J. Chu and C. Valls, Lyapunov functions for general nonuniform dichotomies, Milan J. Math., 2013, 81(1), 153–169.
- [3] L. Backes, D. Dragičević and K. Palmer, *Linearization and Hölder continuity* for nonautonomous systems, J. Differential Equations, 2021, 297, 536–574.
- [4] P. Bates and K. Lu, A Hartman-Grobman theorem for the Cahn-Hilliard and phase-field equations, J. Dynam. Differential Equations, 1994, 6(1), 101–145.
- [5] A. Bento and C. Silva, Generalized nonuniform dichotomies and local stable manifolds, J. Dynam. Differential Equations, 2013, 25(4), 1139–1158.

- [6] L. Barreira and C. Valls, Smoothness of invariant manifolds for nonaytonomous equations, Comm. Math. Phys., 2005, 259(3), 639–677.
- [7] L. Barreira and C. Valls, *Stable manifolds for nonantonomous equations without exponential dichotomy*, J. Differential Equations, 2006, 221(1), 58–90.
- [8] L. Barreira and C. Valls, *Polynomial growth rates*, Nonlinear Anal., 2009, 71(11), 5208–5219.
- [9] L. Barreira and C. Valls, Stability in delay difference equations with nonuniform exponential behavior, J. Differential Equations, 2007, 238(2), 470–490.
- [10] L. Barreira and C. Valls, Stability of dichotomies in difference equations with infinite delay, Nonlinear Anal., 2010, 72(2), 881–893.
- [11] L. Barreira and C. Valls, A Grobman-Hartman theorem for nonuniformly hyperbolic dynamics, J. Differential Equations, 2006, 228(1), 285–310.
- [12] L. Barreira and C. Valls, A Grobman-Hartman theorem for general nonuniform exponential dichotomies, J. Funct. Anal., 2009, 257(6), 1976–1993.
- [13] L. Barreira and C. Valls, A simple proof of the Grobman-Hartman theorem for nonuniformly hyperbolic flows, Nonlinear Anal., 2011, 74(18), 7210–7225.
- [14] L. Barreira and C. Valls, Dependence of topological conjugacies on parameters, J. Dynam. Differential Equations, 2010, 22(4), 787–803.
- [15] J. Chu, Robustness of nonuniform behavior for discrete dynamics, Bull. Sci. Math., 2013, 137(8), 1031–1047.
- [16] W. Coppel, *Dichotomies in Stability Theory*, Springer, Berlin, 1978.
- [17] Á. Castañeda and I. Huerta, Nonuniform almost reducibility of nonautonomous linear differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2020, 485(2), 123822.
- [18] X. Chang, J. Zhang and J. Qin, Robustness of nonuniform (μ,ν)-dichotomies in Banach spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2012, 387(2), 582–594.
- [19] J. Fenner and M. Pinto, On (h, k) manifolds with asymptotic phase, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 1997, 216(2), 549–568.
- [20] J. Fenner and M. Pinto, On a Hartman linearization theorem for a class of ODE with impulse effect, Nonlinear Anal., 1999, 38(3), 307–325.
- [21] D. Grobman, Homeomorphism of systems of differential equations, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 1959, 128, 880–881.
- [22] P. Hartman, On the local linearization of differential equations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1963, (4), 568–573.
- [23] I. Huerta, Linearization of a nonautonomous unbounded system with nonuniform contraction: A spectral approach, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 2020, 40(9), 5571–5590.
- [24] M. Hein and J. Prüss, The Hartman-Grobman theorem for semilinear hyperbolic evolution equations, J. Differential Equations, 2016, 261(8), 4709–4727.
- [25] H. Huang and Y. Xia, New results on linearization of differential equations with piecewise constant argument, Qual. Theor. Dyn. Syst., 2020, 19, 9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12346-020-00353-w.
- [26] L. Jiang, Generalized exponential dichotomy and global linearization, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2006, 315(2), 474–490.

- [27] L. Jiang, Ordinary dichotomy and global linearization, Nonlinear Anal., 2009, 70(7), 2722–2730.
- [28] X. Lin, Algebraic dichotomies with an application to the stability of Riemann solutions of conservation laws, J. Differential Equations, 2009, 247(11), 2924– 2965.
- [29] K. Lu, A Hartman-Grobman theorem for scalar reaction-diffusion equations, J. Differential Equations, 1991, 93(2), 364–394.
- [30] M. Li, J. Wang and D. O'Regan, Stable manifolds for non-instantaneous impulsive nonautonomous differential equations, Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ., 2019, 2019(82), 1–28.
- [31] M. Li, J. Wang, D. O'Regan and M. Fečkan, Center manifolds for noninstantaneous impulsive equations under nonuniform hyperbolicity, C. R. Math., 2020, 358(3), 341–364.
- [32] R. Naulin and M. Pinto, Roughness of (h, k)-dichotomies, J. Differential Equations, 1995, 118(1), 20–35.
- [33] K. Palmer, A generalization of Hartman's linearization theorem, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 1973, 41, 753–758.
- [34] G. Papaschinopoulos, A linearization result for a differential equation with piecewise constant argument, Analysis, 1996, 16(2), 161–170.
- [35] O. Perron, Die Stabilitsfrage bei Differential gleichungen, Math. Z., 1930, 32(1), 703–728.
- [36] C. Pötzche, Exponential dichotomies of linear dynamic equations on measure chains under slowly varying coefficients, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 2004, 289(1), 317–335.
- [37] C. Pötzche, Exponential dichotomies for linear dynamic equations on measure chains, Nonlinear Anal., 2001, 47(2), 873–884.
- [38] C. Pötzche, Topological decoupling, linearization and perturbation on inhomogeneous time scales, J. Differential Equations, 2008, 245(5), 1210–1242.
- [39] C. Pugh, On a theorem of P. Hartman, Amer. J. Math., 1969, 91(2), 363-367.
- [40] M. Pinto and G. Robledo, A Grobman-Hartman theorem for differential equations with piecewise constant arguments of mixed type, Z. Anal. Anwend., 2018, 37(1), 101–126.
- [41] A. Reinfelds, A generalized theorem of Grobman and Hartman, Latv. Mat. Ezhegodnik, 1985, (29), 84–88.
- [42] A. Reinfelds and L. Sermone, Equivalence of nonlinear differential equations with impulse effect in Banach space, Acta Univ. Latviensis, 1992, 577(3), 68–73.
- [43] J. Wang, M. Li and D. O'Regan, Lyapunov regularity and stability of linear non-instantaneous impulsive differential systems, IMA J. Appl. Math., 2019, 84(4), 712–747.
- [44] J. Wang, M. Li, D. O'Regan and M. Fečkan, Robustness for linear evolution equations with non-instantaneous impulsive effects, Bull. Sci. Math., 2020, 159, 102827.
- [45] Y. Xia, X. Chen and V. Romanovski, On the linearization theorem of Fenner and Pinto, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2013, 400(2), 439–451.

- [46] Y. Xia, R. Wang, K. Kou and O'Regan, On the linearization theorem for nonautonomous differential equations, Bull. Sci. Math., 2015, 139(7), 829–846.
- [47] J. Zhang, M. Fan and H. Zhu, Nonuniform (h, k, μ, ν)-dichotomy with applications to nonautonomous dynamical systems, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2017, 452(1), 505–551.
- [48] J. Zhang, X. Chang and J. Wang, Existence and robustness of nonuniform (h, k, μ, ν)-dichotomies for nonautonomous impulsive differential equations, 2013, 400(2), 710–723.
- [49] C. Zou, Y. Xia and M. Pinto, Hölder regularity of topological equivalence functions of DEPCAGs with unbounded nonlinear terms, Sci. Sin. Math., 2020, 50(6), 847–872 (In Chinese).
- [50] C. Zou, Y. Xia, M. Pinto, J. Shi and Y. Bai, Boundness and Linearisation of a class of differential equations with piecewise constant argument, Qual. Theor. Dyn. Syst., 2019, 18(2), 495–531.