NUMERICAL APPROACH FOR THE HUNTER SAXTON EQUATION ARISING IN LIQUID CRYSTAL MODEL THROUGH COCKTAIL PARTY GRAPHS CLIQUE POLYNOMIAL

A. N. Nirmala and S. Kumbinarasaiah[†]

Abstract In this paper, a well-known nematic liquid crystal model, the Hunter Saxton equation, is solved by the new graph theoretic polynomial approach. At first, we extracted the clique polynomials from the cocktail party graph (CPG) and generated the generalized operational matrix of integration through the clique polynomials of CPG. Then, an effective computational technique called the cocktail party graphs clique polynomial collocation method (CCCM) is developed to obtain an approximate numerical solution for the nonlinear Hunter-Saxton equation (HSE). The operational matrix of CPG reduces the HSE into an algebraic system of nonlinear equations that makes the solution relatively superficial. The Newton-Raphson method solves these nonlinear algebraic equations to obtain the clique polynomial solution for HSE. The efficiency of the CCCM is illustrated by examining two numerical examples. The solution of the HSE is presented through figures and tables for different values of x, t, and N. The convergence analysis, tabulated results of numerical comparison of absolute errors of CCCM with the recent numerical methods, and error norms projected that, CCCM is considerably efficacious on the computational ground for higher accuracy and convergence of numerical solutions.

Keywords Hunter Saxton equation, graph-theoretic polynomial called Clique polynomial, cocktail party graph, operational matrix, convergence analysis.

MSC(2010) 053C1, 35C11, 35L10.

1. Introduction

In Mathematics, Graph theory is well known for its enormous applications in applied mathematics like the modeling of complicated chemical compounds, dynamic systems, geometric models, etc [20]. In 2010, Dehmer et al. [23] introduced graph polynomials (information polynomials). In general, graph polynomials encode graph-theoretic information of the underlying graph in various ways. Until now, plenty of graph polynomials have been introduced [22]. Only a few are used to study non-linear mathematical models [12, 16, 18, 21, 30]. Clique polynomial is one among the

[†]The corresponding author.

 $Department \ of \ Mathematics, \ Bangalore \ University, \ Bengaluru-560056, \ India$

Email: nirmala13885@gmail.com(A. N. Nirmala),

 $kumbinarasaiah@gmail.com(S.\ Kumbinarasaiah)$

polynomials that we're able to successfully operate in the study of various mathematical models such as time-fractional Klein-Gordon equations [7], fractional partial differential equations [1], convection flow problems [15], Schrödinger equations [10], distributed order integrodifferential equations [28], etc. In the literature survey, we observed that only clique polynomials of the complete graph were used to study the above-mentioned differential equations. Here, the author tried to extract the clique polynomial numerical method and implement it in studying nonlinear models. The present paper deals with the clique polynomial of the cocktail party graph.

In the modern context of sciences, most physical phenomena are mathematically modeled as differential equations. Mathematical models convert application zone problems into manageable mathematical formulations with a hypothetical and arithmetical analysis. Many mathematical models are governed by partial differential equations. PDEs are encountered in many branches of sciences, such as astrophysics, quantum mechanics, biology, fluid mechanics, environmental science, chemistry, particle physics, etc. Solving these PDEs is quite strenuous. Current mathematical methods fail to give closed-form solutions, and more advances are yet to be developed. Meanwhile, many numerical techniques have been developed for solving PDEs. Here, we developed an efficient numerical technique called a Generalized operational matrix based on the clique polynomial of the cocktail party graph to study the nonlinear liquid crystal model called the Hunter-Saxton equation (HSE).

In theoretical physics, HSE is an integrable PDE aroused in the study of nematic liquid crystals. The HSE describes the propagation of weakly nonlinear orientation waves in the nematic liquid crystal director field [11], and it is also called the high-frequencies ubiquitous Camassa–Holm equation [6]. The universal form of the Hunter Saxton equation [4, 29] is as follows:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \xi(x,t)}{\partial x \ \partial t} + \xi(x,t) \frac{\partial^2 \xi(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \xi(x,t)}{\partial x}\right)^2 = 0. \tag{1.1}$$

Where x is the space variable and t is the time variable.

The physical conditions are:

$$\xi(x,0) = v(x), \quad \frac{\partial \xi(x,0)}{\partial x} = \mathcal{W}(x), \quad \xi(0,t) = \mu(t). \tag{1.2}$$

Where $v(x), \mathcal{W}(x), \mu(t)$, are smooth functions. Many numerical methods have been proposed for the fairly accurate solution of HSE. For insane, HSE by Cubic trigonometric method [9], Bivariate Chebyshev method [19], Collocation method [13], Galerkin method [27], Finite difference and Haar wavelet quasilinearization method [3], Laguerre wavelet on time domains [17], Lipschitz metric [5], Time marching scheme [2], Adomain Decomposition method [14], Sinc collocation method [26], Mesh free collocation method [25], Fibonacci wavelet method [24]. Here, we ensure that the clique polynomial of the cocktail party graph scheme is new and not yet utilized in studying the Hunter-Saxton equation. Hence, we proposed the CCCM to find the approximate solution of HSE via a generalized operational integration matrix.

Arrangement of the article: The preliminaries section briefs the clique polynomials of the cocktail party graph and convergence analysis. The operational matrix section describes generating an operational matrix of clique polynomials. The method of solution section explains the clique polynomial-based numerical method. The numerical applications part shows the results of numerical examples and the comparison of current results with the other results. Finally, the Conclusion segment provides the summative result analysis.

2. Preliminaries of cocktail party graphs clique polynomial and convergence analysis

Clique Polynomial of Graph: In the given graph G, the clique polynomial C(x) is defined as;

$$C(x) = a_0(x) + \sum_{\theta=1}^{\rho(G)} a_{\theta} x^{\theta}.$$

Where,

 $a_{\theta} = \theta$, denotes the number of cliques in graph G,

 $\rho(G)$ = the total number of cliques in graph G,

 $a_0(x) =$ number of zero cliques in graph G, and it is constant.

Hajiabolhassan and Mehrabadi [8] showed that C(x), always has a real root. The coefficient a_1 is the vertex count, a_2 is the edge count, and a_3 is the triangle count, etc in graph G.

Cocktail Party Graph: The n^{th} order cocktail party graph is the graph that contains n rows of paired vertices in which all vertices except the paired ones are not connected with the edge. The cocktail party graph of n^{th} order has also referred to as the Hyper octahedral graph, n-octahedron, Roberts graph, Complement of the ladder rung graph, dual hypercube graph, skeleton of the cross polytope complete n-partite graph and cocktail party graph is denoted with various notations such as $K_{n\times 2}$ and $K_{n(2)}, (2n, n)$ – Turán graph, since the cocktail party graphs are distance-transitive, they are distance-regular. The cocktail party graph arises in the handshake problem [24].

Some results on the Clique Polynomial of Cocktail Party graph and convergence analysis:

Theorem 2.1. Let G = (V, E) is the cocktail party graph (complete n- partite graph), then its general form of the clique polynomial is $C(K_{n(2)}; x) = (1 + 2x)^n$. Where n denotes the number of partitions of V.

Proof. Given that, G = (V, E), be the complete n- partite graph. For n = 1, Graph $G_1 = \{A, B\}$ has one pair of vertices. In Graph G_1 , the





number of zero-cliques, i.e. $a_0 = 1$, and number of one – cliques $\{A, B\}$ i.e. $a_1 = 2$. Hence, the clique polynomial of the first-order cocktail party graph is

$$C(K_{1(2)};x) = a_0 + \sum_{\theta=1}^{1} a_{\theta} x^{\theta} = a_0 + a_1 x^1 = 1 + 2x.$$

For n = 2, Graph G_2 has two pair of vertices. $G_2 = (V = \{\{A, B\}, \{C, D\}\}, E)$. In Graph G_2 , the number of zero-cliques, i.e. $a_0 = 1$, number of one-cliques



Figure 2. Graph G_2

 $\{A, B, C, D\}$ i.e. $a_1 = 4$, number of two – cliques $\{AC, AD, BC, BD\}$ i.e $a_2 = 4$. Hence, the clique polynomial of the second-order cocktail party graph is,

$$C(K_{2(2)};x) = a_0 + \sum_{\theta=1}^2 a_\theta x^\theta = a_0 + a_1 x^1 + a_2 x^2 = 1 + 4x + 4x^2 = (1+2x)^2.$$

For n = 3, Graph G_3 has three pair of vertices. That is,

$$G_3 = (V = \{\{A, B\}, \{C, D\}, \{E, F\}\}, E).$$



Figure 3. Graph G_3

In Graph G_3 , the number of zero-cliques, i.e. $a_0 = 1$, number of one-cliques $\{A, B, C, D, E, F\}$ i.e. $a_1 = 6$, number of two-cliques $\{AB, AC, AE, AF, BD, BE, BF, CD, CE, CF, DE, DF\}$ i.e. $a_2 = 12$, number of three-cliques $\{ABF, ACE, BDE, BDF, CDE, CDF, EAB, FAC\}$ i.e. $a_3 = 8$. Hence, the clique polynomial of the third-order cocktail party graph is,

$$C(K_{3(2)};x) = a_0 + \sum_{\theta=1}^3 a_\theta x^\theta = a_0 + a_1 x^1 + a_2 x^2 + a_3 x^4 = 1 + 6x + 12x^2 + 8x^3$$
$$= (1+2x)^3.$$

Similarly, we obtained the sequence of clique polynomials of $4^{\text{th}}, 5^{\text{th}}, 6^{\text{th}}, 7^{\text{th}}, \ldots$, etc. ordered cocktail party graphs as,

For
$$n = 4$$
, $C(K_{4(2)}; x) = 1 + 8x + 24x^2 + 32x^3 + 16x^4 = (1 + 2x)^4$;
For $n = 5$, $C(K_{5(2)}; x) = 1 + 10x + 40x^2 + 80x^3 + 80x^4 + 32x^5 = (1 + 2x)^5$;
For $n = 6$, $C(K_{6(2)}; x) = 1 + 12x + 60x^2 + 160x^3 + 240x^4 + 192x^5 + 64x^6$
 $= (1 + 2x)^6$;

For
$$n = 7$$
, $C(K_{7(2)}; x) = 1 + 14x + 84x^2 + 280x^3 + 560x^4 + 672x^5 + 448x^6 + 128x^7 = (1+2x)^7$; ... etc.

With the above observations, in general, the clique polynomial of n^{th} -order cocktail party graph is of the form, $C(K_{n(2)}; x) = (1+2x)^n$.

Theorem 2.2 ([16]). Let $\xi(x,t)$ be the continuous function in $L^2(R \times R)$ on $[0,1] \times [0,1]$ and $\xi(x,t)$ is bounded by some positive real number λ , then clique polynomial expansion of $\xi(x,t)$, converges to it.

Proof. Given that, $\xi(x, t)$, is the continuous function in $L^2(R \times R)$ on $[1, 0] \times [0, 1]$ and $\xi(x,t)$ is bounded by some positive real number λ . Consider,

$$\xi(x,t) = C(t)^T M C(x), \xi(x,t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{ij} C(K_{i(2)};t) C(K_{i(2)};x).$$

Where, $a_{ij} = \langle \xi(x,t), C(K_{i(2)};t) C(K_{i(2)};x) \rangle$ and $\langle . \rangle$ indicate the inner product. The unknown coefficient of the clique polynomial function is defined as,

$$a_{ij} = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \xi(x,t) C(K_{i(2)};t) C(K_{i(2)};x) dx dt,$$

$$a_{ij} = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \xi(x,t) C(K_{i(2)};t) dt C(K_{i(2)};x) dx.$$

By the generalized mean value theorem, we have,

$$a_{ij} = \int_0^1 C(K_{i(2)}; x) dx \int_0^1 \xi(n, t) C(K_{i(2)}; t) dt$$

Here, $n \in [0, 1]$. Since $C(K_{i(2)}; x)$ is a continuous and integrable function on [0,1], we consider, $\int_0^1 C(K_{i(2)}; x) dx = Z$. Hence, we have,

$$a_{ij} = Z \int_0^1 \xi(n,t) \ C(K_{i(2)};t) dt,$$

by generalized mean value theorem for integrals,

$$a_{ij} = Z \ \xi(n,\omega) \int_0^1 C(K_{i(2)};t) \ dt.$$

Where, $\omega \in [0,1]$. Since $C(K_{i(2)};t)$ is continuous and integrable on [0,1]. Put, $\int_0^1 C(K_{i(2)}; t) dt = D.$

$$a_{ij} = Z \ D \ \xi(n,\omega).$$

Where, $n, \omega \in [0, 1]$. Therefore, $|a_{ij}| = |Z| |D| |\xi(x, \omega)|$. Since, $\xi(x, t)$ is bounded by λ . Therefore, $|a_{ij}| = |Z| |D| \lambda$. Therefore, $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i} = \frac{1}{i} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i} = \frac{1}{i} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1$ $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{ij}$ is absolutely convergent. Hence, clique polynomial expansion of $\xi(x,t)$ converges to it.

Theorem 2.3 ([16]). Let $C(K_{n(2)}; x)$ are the clique polynomial of the cocktail party graph of n vertices, then $C(K_{n(2)}; x)$ are uniformly continuous on [0, 1].

3. Operational matrix of clique polynomial of complete graphs

Considering a few Clique polynomials of the Cocktail party graph,

$$C(K_{0(2)}; x) = 1,$$

$$C(K_{1(2)}; x) = 1 + 2x,$$

$$C(K_{2(2)}; x) = 1 + 4x + 4x^{2},$$

$$C(K_{3(2)}; x) = 1 + 6x + 12x^{2} + 8x^{3},$$

$$C(K_{4(2)}; x) = 1 + 8x + 24x^{2} + 32x^{3} + 16x^{4} \dots$$

Where,

$$C_{6}(x) = \left[C\left(K_{0(2)}; x\right), C\left(K_{1(2)}; x\right), C\left(K_{2(2)}; x\right), \dots, C\left(K_{5(2)}; x\right) \right]^{T}$$

The definite integrals of the above polynomials and their matrix forms are as follows,

$$\int_{0}^{x} C\left(K_{0(2)};x\right) dx = x = \left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right] C_{6}(x),$$

$$\int_{0}^{x} C\left(K_{1(2)};x\right) dx = x + x^{2} = \left[-\frac{1}{4}, 0, \frac{1}{4}, 0, 0, 0\right] C_{6}(x),$$

$$\int_{0}^{x} C\left(K_{2(2)};x\right) dx = x + 2x^{2} + \frac{4}{3}x^{3} = \left[-\frac{1}{6}, 0, 0, \frac{1}{6}, 0, 0\right] C_{6}(x),$$

$$\int_{0}^{x} C\left(K_{3(2)};x\right) dx = x + 3x^{2} + 4x^{3} + 2x^{4} = \left[-\frac{1}{8}, 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{8}, 0\right] C_{6}(x),$$

$$\int_{0}^{x} C\left(K_{4(2)};x\right) dx = x + 4x^{2} + 8x^{3} + 8x^{4} + 16x^{5} = \left[-\frac{1}{10}, 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{10}\right] C_{6}(x),$$

$$\int_{0}^{x} C\left(K_{5(2)};x\right) dx = x + 5x^{2} + \frac{40}{3}x^{3} + 20x^{4} + 16x^{5} + \frac{16}{3}x^{6}$$

$$= \left[-\frac{1}{12}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\right] C_{6}(x) + \frac{1}{6}C\left(K_{6(2)};x\right).$$

Thus,

$$\int_0^x C_6(x) dx = Z_{6\times 6} C_6(x) + \overline{C_6(x)}.$$

Where,

2042

The double integral of the above polynomials and their matrix forms are as follows:

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{x} C\left(K_{0(2)}; x\right) dx \, dx &= \frac{x^{2}}{2} = \left[\frac{1}{8}, -\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, 0, 0, 0\right] C_{6}(x), \\ \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{x} C\left(K_{1(2)}; x\right) dx \, dx &= \frac{x^{2}}{2} + \frac{x^{3}}{3} = \left[\frac{1}{12}, -\frac{1}{8}, 0, \frac{1}{24}, 0, 0\right] C_{6}(x), \\ \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{x} C\left(K_{2(2)}; x\right) dx \, dx &= \frac{x^{2}}{2} + \frac{2x^{3}}{3} + \frac{x^{4}}{3} = \left[\frac{1}{16}, -\frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{8}, 0, 0, \frac{1}{48}\right] C_{6}(x), \\ \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{x} C\left(K_{3(2)}; x\right) dx \, dx &= \frac{x^{2}}{2} + x^{3} + x^{4} + \frac{2}{5}x^{5} = \left[\frac{1}{20}, -\frac{1}{16}, 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{80}\right] C_{6}(x), \\ \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{x} C\left(K_{4(2)}; x\right) dx \, dx &= \frac{x^{2}}{2} + \frac{4}{3}x^{3} + 2x^{4} + \frac{8}{5}x^{5} + \frac{8}{3}x^{6} \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{24}, -\frac{1}{20}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right] C_{6}(x) + \frac{1}{120}C\left(K_{6(2)}; x\right), \\ \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{x} C\left(K_{5(2)}; x\right) dx \, dx &= \frac{x^{2}}{2} + \frac{5}{3}x^{3} + \frac{10}{3}x^{4} + 4x^{5} + \frac{8}{3}x^{6} + \frac{16}{21}x^{7} \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{28}, -\frac{1}{24}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right] C_{6}(x) + \frac{1}{168}C\left(K_{7(2)}; x\right). \end{split}$$

Thus,

$$\int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{x} C_{6}(x) dx \, dx = Z'_{6 \times 6} C_{6}(x) + \overline{C'_{6}(x)}.$$

Where,

$$Z'_{6\times6} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{8} & -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{8} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ \frac{1}{12} & -\frac{1}{8} & 0 & \frac{1}{24} & 0 & 0\\ \frac{1}{16} & -\frac{1}{12} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{48} & 0\\ \frac{1}{20} & -\frac{1}{16} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{80}\\ \frac{1}{24} & -\frac{1}{20} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ \frac{1}{28} & \frac{1}{24} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } \overrightarrow{C_6(x)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0\\ \frac{1}{120}C\left(K_{6(2)};x\right)\\ \frac{1}{168}C\left(K_{7(2)};x\right) \end{bmatrix}.$$

The generalized single integration of n-clique polynomials is denoted as:

$$\int_0^x C_n(x) dx = Z_{n \times n} C(x) + \overline{C(x)}.$$

Where,

Similarly, the double integration of $n\mbox{-clique polynomials},$ in general, is denoted as:

$$\int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{x} C_{n}(x) \, dx \, dx = Z_{n \times n}^{'} C_{n}(x) + \overline{C_{n}^{'}(x)}.$$

Where,

$$C_{n}(x) = \begin{bmatrix} C(K_{0(2)}; x) \\ C(K_{1(2)}; x) \\ C(K_{2(2)}; x) \\ \vdots \\ C(K_{n(2)}; x) \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \overline{C_{n}'(x)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{4n(4n-1)}C(K_{n(2)}; x) \end{bmatrix}$$

4. Method of solution

The proposed method presents the clique polynomial-oriented numerical algorithm through the generalized operational matrix of integration to deal with the HSE under distinct ICs and BCs.

$$\frac{\partial^3 \xi(x,t)}{\partial x^2 \ \partial t} = C(t)^T M \ C(x), \tag{4.1}$$

here,

$$C(t)^{T} = \left[C\left(K_{0(2)}; t\right), C\left(K_{1(2)}; t\right), C\left(K_{2(2)}; t\right), \dots, C\left(K_{n(2)}; t\right) \right], C(x) = \left[C\left(K_{0(2)}; x\right), C\left(K_{1(2)}; x\right), C\left(K_{2(2)}; x\right), \dots, C\left(K_{n(2)}; x\right) \right]^{T}.$$

 $M = [a_{ij}]$ is the $n \times n$ matrix, where i = 0, 1, 2, ..., n and j = 0, 1, 2, ..., n. Integrating equation (4.1) concerning t from 0 and t we get,

$$\frac{\partial^2 \xi(x,t)}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial^2 \xi(x,0)}{\partial x^2} + \int_0^t C(t)^T M C(x) dt,$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \xi(x,t)}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial^2 \xi(x,0)}{\partial x^2} + \left[Z_{n \times n} C(t) + \overline{C(t)} \right]^T M C(x).$$
(4.2)

Integrating equation (4.2) concerning x from 0 to x, we get,

$$\frac{\partial\xi(x,t)}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial\xi(0,t)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial\xi(x,0)}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial\xi(0,0)}{\partial x} + \left[Z_{n\times n}C(t) + \overline{C(t)}\right]^T M \int_0^x C(x)dx,$$

$$\frac{\partial\xi(x,t)}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial\xi(x,0)}{\partial x} + \left[\frac{\partial\xi(0,t)}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial\xi(0,0)}{\partial x}\right]$$

$$+ \left[Z_{n\times n}C(t) + \overline{C(t)}\right]^T M \left[Z_{n\times n}C(x) + \overline{C(x)}\right].$$
(4.3)

Integrating equation (4.3) concerning x from 0 to x, we get,

$$\xi(x,t) = \xi(0,t) + \xi(x,0) - \xi(0,0) + x \left\{ \frac{\partial\xi(0,t)}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial\xi(0,0)}{\partial x} \right\}$$
$$+ \int_0^x \left\{ \left[Z_{n \times n} C(t) + \overline{C(t)} \right]^T M \left[Z_{n \times n} C(x) + \overline{C(x)} \right] \right\} dx,$$

$$\xi(x,t) = \xi(0,t) + \xi(x,0) - \xi(0,0) + x \left\{ \frac{\partial \xi(0,t)}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \xi(0,0)}{\partial x} \right\} + \left[Z_{n \times n} C(t) + \overline{C(t)} \right]^T M \left[Z'_{n \times n} C(x) + \overline{C'(x)} \right].$$
(4.4)

Put $x = \alpha$ in equation (4.4) we get,

$$\xi(\alpha, t) = \xi(0, t) + \xi(\alpha, 0) - \xi(0, 0) + \alpha \left\{ \frac{\partial \xi(0, t)}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \xi(0, 0)}{\partial x} \right\} \\ + \left[Z_{n \times n} C(t) + \overline{C(t)} \right]^T M \left\{ \left[Z'_{n \times n} C(x) + \overline{C'(x)} \right] \right\}_{x=\alpha}, \\ \left\{ \frac{\partial \xi(0, t)}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \xi(0, 0)}{\partial x} \right\} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\xi(\alpha, t) - \xi(0, t) - \xi(\alpha, 0) + \xi(0, 0) \right) \\ - \left[Z_{n \times n} C(t) + \overline{C(t)} \right]^T \\ \times M \left\{ \left[Z'_{n \times n} C(x) + \overline{C'(x)} \right] \right\}_{x=\alpha} \right).$$

$$(4.5)$$

On substituting equation (4.5) in equations (4.3) and (4.4) we obtained,

$$\frac{\partial \xi(x,t)}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial \xi(x,0)}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\xi(\alpha,t) - \xi(0,t) - \xi(\alpha,0) + \xi(0,0) - \left[Z_{n \times n} C(t) + \overline{C(t)} \right]^T M \left\{ \left[Z'_{n \times n} C(x) + \overline{C'(x)} \right] \right\}_{x=\alpha} \right) \quad (4.6)$$

$$+ \left[Z_{n \times n} C(t) + \overline{C(t)} \right]^T M \left[Z_{n \times n} C(x) + \overline{C(x)} \right],$$

$$\xi(x,t) = \xi(0,t) + \xi(x,0) - \xi(0,0) + x \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\xi(\alpha,t) - \xi(0,t) - \xi(\alpha,0) + \xi(0,0) - \left[Z_{n \times n} C(t) + \overline{C(t)} \right]^T M \left\{ \left[Z'_{n \times n} C(x) + \overline{C'(x)} \right] \right\}_{x=\alpha} \right)$$

$$+ \left[Z_{n \times n} C(t) + \overline{C(t)} \right]^T M \left\{ \left[Z'_{n \times n} C(x) + \overline{C'(x)} \right] \right\}_{x=\alpha} \right)$$

$$+ \left[Z_{n \times n} C(t) + \overline{C(t)} \right]^T M \left\{ \left[Z'_{n \times n} C(x) + \overline{C'(x)} \right] \right\}_{x=\alpha} \right)$$

$$+ \left[Z_{n \times n} C(t) + \overline{C(t)} \right]^T M \left\{ \left[Z'_{n \times n} C(x) + \overline{C'(x)} \right] \right\}_{x=\alpha} \right)$$

$$+ \left[Z_{n \times n} C(t) + \overline{C(t)} \right]^T M \left\{ \left[Z'_{n \times n} C(x) + \overline{C'(x)} \right] \right\}_{x=\alpha} \right)$$

$$+ \left[Z_{n \times n} C(t) + \overline{C(t)} \right]^T M \left\{ \left[Z'_{n \times n} C(x) + \overline{C'(x)} \right] \right\}_{x=\alpha} \right]$$

$$+ \left[Z_{n \times n} C(t) + \overline{C(t)} \right]^T M \left[Z'_{n \times n} C(x) + \overline{C'(x)} \right].$$

$$(4.7)$$

On differentiation of equation (4.7) concerning t we get,

$$\frac{\partial \xi(x,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \xi(0,t)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\{ x \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\xi(\alpha,t) - \xi(0,t) - \xi(\alpha,0) + \xi(0,0) - \left[Z_{n \times n} C(t) + \overline{C(t)} \right]^T \right]^T M \left\{ \left[Z'_{n \times n} C(x) + \overline{C'(x)} \right] \right\}_{x=\alpha} \right\}$$

$$+ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\{ \left[Z_{n \times n} C(t) + \overline{C(t)} \right]^T M \left[Z'_{n \times n} C(x) + \overline{C'(x)} \right] \right\}.$$

$$(4.8)$$

Differentiating equation (4.8) concerning x we get,

$$\frac{\partial^{2}\xi(x,t)}{\partial x\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\{ x \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\xi(\alpha,t) - \xi(0,t) - \xi(\alpha,0) + \xi(0,0) - \left[Z_{n\times n}C(t) + \overline{C(t)} \right]^{T} M \left\{ \left[Z_{n\times n}^{'}C(x) + \overline{C'(x)} \right] \right\}_{x=\alpha} \right) \right\} \right]$$
(4.9)
+
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\{ \left[Z_{n\times n}C(t) + \overline{C(t)} \right]^{T} M \left[Z_{n\times n}^{'}C(x) + \overline{C'(x)} \right] \right\} \right].$$

Substituting equations (4.9), (4.7), (4.2), and (4.6) in HSE given in (4.1) and discretized by the grid points. $x_i = t_i = \frac{(2i-1)}{(2n^2)}$; $i = 1, 2, ..., n^2$, it reduced into a system of nonlinear algebraic equations. The coefficients of the clique polynomials were obtained by solving the resultant system of equations by Newton's method. On substituting these coefficients in equation (4.7), we get a graph of a theoretically oriented solution of the Hunter Saxton equation.

5. Numerical applications

Example 5.1. Consider the HS equation [9],

$$\frac{\partial^2 \xi(x,t)}{\partial x \ \partial t} + \xi(x,t) \frac{\partial^2 \xi(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \xi(x,t)}{\partial x}\right)^2 = 0, \tag{5.1.1}$$

with physical conditions,

$$\xi(x,0) = 2x, \ \frac{\partial\xi(x,0)}{\partial t} = -2x, \ \xi(0,t) = 0, \ \frac{\partial\xi(1,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{2}{(1+t)}.$$

The exact solution is

$$\xi(x,t) = \frac{2x}{(1+t)}.$$

Implementation of CCCM for N=2: Consider,

$$\frac{\partial^3 \xi(x,t)}{\partial x^2 \ \partial t} = C(t)^T \ \mathbf{M} \ \mathbf{C}(x).$$
(5.1.2)

Here,

$$C(t)^{T} = [1, 1+2t]; \quad C(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 1+2x \end{bmatrix}; \quad M = \begin{bmatrix} a[1] \ a[2]\\ a[3] \ a[4] \end{bmatrix}.$$
(5.1.3)

Substituting equation (5.1.3) in equation (5.1.2) and simplifying, we get,

$$\frac{\partial^3 \xi(x,t)}{\partial x^2 \ \partial t} = a[1] + (1+2t)a[3] + (1+2x)\left(a[2] + (1+2t)a[4]\right). \tag{5.1.4}$$

Integrating equation (5.1.4) concerning t from 0 to t, we get

$$\frac{\partial^2 \xi(x,t)}{\partial x^2} = ta[1] + t(1+t)a[3] + (1+2x)\left(ta[2] + t(1+t)a[4]\right).$$
(5.1.5)

Integrating equation (5.1.5) concerning x from 0 to x, we get,

$$\frac{\partial\xi(x,t)}{\partial x} = \frac{2}{1+t} + \frac{1}{2} \left(-ta[1] - t(1+t)a[3] \right) + x \left(ta[1] + t(1+t)a[3] \right) - \frac{5}{6} \left(ta[2] + t(1+t)a[4] \right) + x(1+x) \left(ta[2] + t(1+t)a[4] \right).$$
(5.1.6)

Integrating equation (5.1.6) concerning x from 0 to x, we get,

$$\xi(x,t) = 2x + \frac{1}{2}x^{2} \left(\tan[1] + t(1+t)a[3] \right) + \frac{1}{6}x^{2}(3+2x) \left(\tan[2] + t(1+t)a[4] \right) \\ \times x \left(-2 + \frac{2}{1+t} + \frac{1}{2} \left(-ta[1] - t(1+t)a[3] \right) - \frac{5}{6} \left(\tan[2] + t(1+t)a[4] \right) \right).$$
(5.1.7)

Differentiating equation (5.1.7) partially, concerning t, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \xi(x,t)}{\partial t} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} x^2 \left(a[1] + ta[3] + (1+t)a[3] \right) + \frac{1}{6} x^2 (3+2x) \left(a[2] + ta[4] + (1+t)a[4] \right) \\ &+ x \left(-\frac{2}{(1+t)^2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(-a[1] - ta[3] - (1+t)a[3] \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$
(5.1.8)
$$- \frac{5}{6} \left(a[2] + ta[4] + (1+t)a[4] \right) \right).$$

Differentiating equation (5.1.8) partially, concerning x, we get,

Substituting equations (5.1.9), (5.1.7), (5.1.6), and (5.1.5) in equation (5.1.1), we get,

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{HSE} &= - \; \frac{2}{(1+t)^2} - \frac{1}{2} \left(a[1] + a[3] + 2ta[3] \right) + x \left(a[1] + a[3] + 2ta[3] \right) \\ &- \frac{5}{6} \left(a[2] + a[4] + 2ta[4] \right) + \frac{1}{3} x^2 \left(a[2] + a[4] + 2ta[4] \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{3} x(3 + 2x) \left(a[2] + a[4] + 2ta[4] \right) + \frac{1}{3} x(3 + 2x) \left(a[2] + a[4] + 2ta[4] \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{6} tx \left(a[1] + (1+t)a[3] + (1+2x) \left(a[2] + (1+t)a[4] \right) \right) \end{split}$$

New Numerical approach for the Hunter Saxton equation

$$\times \left(\frac{12}{1+t} + t(-1+x)\left(3a[1] + (5+2x)a[2] + (1+t)\left(3a[3] + (5+2x)a[4]\right)\right)\right)$$

+ $\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2}{1+t} + \frac{1}{6}t\left((-3+6x)a[1] + (-5+6x(1+x))a[2] + (1+t)\left((-3+6x)a[3] + (-5+6x(1+x))a[4]\right)\right)\right)^2$. (5.1.10)

Discretizing the equation (5.1.10) with the defined collocation points, we get the following system of equations:

$$\begin{split} \frac{a[1]^2}{4096} &+ \frac{8869a[2]^2}{4718592} + \frac{81a[3]^2}{262144} + a[1] \left(-\frac{31}{72} + \frac{49a[2]}{32768} + \frac{9a[3]}{16384} + \frac{441a[4]}{262144} \right) \\ &+ a[3] \left(-\frac{17}{32} + \frac{3969a[4]}{2097152} \right) + a[2] \left(-\frac{1403}{1728} + \frac{441a[3]}{262144} + \frac{8869a[4]}{2097152} \right) \quad (5.1.11) \\ &- a[4] + \frac{79821a[4]^2}{33554432} = 0; \\ &- \frac{63a[1]^2}{4096} - \frac{25259a[2]^2}{524288} - \frac{7623a[3]^2}{262144} + a[2] \left(-\frac{281}{2112} - \frac{19767a[3]}{262144} - \frac{277849a[4]}{2097152} \right) \\ &+ a[3] \left(-\frac{1}{32} - \frac{217437a[4]}{2097152} \right) + a[1] \left(\frac{1}{88} - \frac{1797a[2]}{32768} - \frac{693a[3]}{16384} - \frac{19767a[4]}{262144} \right) \\ &- \frac{29a[4]}{96} - \frac{3056339a[4]^2}{33554432} = 0; \\ &(5.1.12) \\ &- \frac{175a[1]^2}{4096} - \frac{981875a[2]^2}{4718592} - \frac{29575a[3]^2}{262144} \\ &+ a[2] \left(\frac{3505}{2496} - \frac{242125a[3]}{786432} - \frac{12764375a[4]}{18874368} \right) \\ &+ a[3] \left(\frac{39}{32} - \frac{3147625a[4]}{6291456} \right) + a[1] \left(\frac{73}{104} - \frac{18625a[2]}{98304} - \frac{2275a[3]}{16384} - \frac{242125a[4]}{786432} \right) \\ &+ \frac{115a[4]}{48} - \frac{165936875a[4]^2}{301989888} = 0; \\ &(5.1.13) \\ \\ &\frac{49a[1]^2}{4096} - \frac{45227a[2]^2}{4718592} + \frac{11025a[3]^2}{262144} + a[2] \left(\frac{10963}{2880} + \frac{11025a[3]}{262144} - \frac{226135a[4]}{2097152} \right) \\ &+ a[1] \left(\frac{37}{24} + \frac{735a[2]}{32768} + \frac{735a[3]}{16384} + \frac{11025a[4]}{262144} \right) + a[3] \left(\frac{103}{32} + \frac{165375a[4]}{2097152} \right) \\ &+ \frac{251a[4]}{32} - \frac{1130675a[4]^2}{33554432} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Solving the system of above equations (5.1.11 - 5.1.14) by the Newton's method, we obtained the roots as, a[1] = 0; a[2] = 0; a[3] = 0; a[4] = 0. Substituting these

unknown coefficients of clique polynomials of the Cocktail party graph in equation (5.1.7), we obtained the clique polynomial solution for Hunter Saxton equation with the given initial and boundary conditions in Example 5.1 as, $\xi(x,t) = \frac{2x}{1+t}$, which is the same as the exact solution. Here, the solution of the HSE is derived by the CCCM with different values of N (size of the operational matrix of integration) along with collocation points. The broad-spectrum steps to obtain the solution of the HSE for all the projected cases are illustrated in section 4. Tables 1-3 reveal that absolute error by the proposed method is better than the other methods in the literature. Table 4 assures the efficiency of the proposed method for discrete t with fixed x. Table 5 compares the error norms of the projected method with other methods mentioned in the literature. Table 6 indicates the error analysis of the CCCM method for fixed x. The graphical interpretation of the accurate solution and the CCCM solution is showcased in Figures 4-9. Figure 9 depicts the comparison of absolute errors of CCCM with the recent numerical methods in [17, 19, 24].

x/128	Accurate Solution	Solution by the CCCM	AE by HWQA [3]	AE by BGFCF collo- cation method [19]	AE by B-spline collo- cation method [9]	AE by the CCCM
1	0.014204	0.014204	4.61×10^{-6}	7.75×10^{-16}	5.08×10^{-8}	0
3	0.042613	0.042613	3.43×10^{-5}	$2.93\times10^{\text{-}15}$	5.84×10^{-8}	0
5	0.071022	0.071022	$5.40\times10^{\text{-}5}$	$1.45\times10^{\text{-}15}$	7.16×10^{-8}	0
7	0.099431	0.099431	7.52×10^{-5}	1.10×10^{-14}	6.60×10^{-8}	0
9	0.127840	0.127840	9.68×10^{-5}	$1.82\times10^{\text{-}14}$	6.33×10^{-8}	0
59	0.838068	0.838068	6.35×10^{-4}	9.20×10^{-14}	5.93×10^{-8}	0
61	0.866477	0.866477	$6.56\times10^{\text{-}4}$	8.73×10^{-14}	5.86×10^{-8}	0
63	0.894886	0.894886	6.78×10^{-4}	7.89×10^{-14}	5.79×10^{-8}	0
65	0.923295	0.923295	6.99×10^{-4}	$6.86\times10^{\text{-}14}$	5.17×10^{-8}	0
67	0.951704	0.951704	7.21×10^{-4}	$5.86\times10^{\text{-}14}$	5.53×10^{-8}	0
69	0.980113	0.980113	7.42×10^{-4}	5.09×10^{-14}	5.54×10^{-8}	0
119	1.690340	1.690340	1.28×10^{-3}	$1.26\times10^{\text{-}13}$	1.29×10^{-8}	0
121	1.718750	1.718750	1.30×10^{-3}	1.20×10^{-13}	1.02×10^{-8}	0
123	1.747159	1.747159	1.32×10^{-3}	$1.31\times10^{\text{-}13}$	7.40×10^{-9}	0
125	1.775568	1.775568	1.34×10^{-3}	$1.52\times10^{\text{-}13}$	4.51×10^{-9}	0
127	1.803977	1.803977	1.37×10^{-3}	1.51×10^{-13}	1.52×10^{-9}	0

Table 1. Numerical comparison of absolute errors (AE) at t = 0.1 and N = 10.

Example 5.2. Consider the HS equation [17],

$$\frac{\partial^2 \xi(x,t)}{\partial x \ \partial t} + \xi(x,t) \frac{\partial^2 \xi(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \xi(x,t)}{\partial x}\right)^2 = 0, \tag{5.2.1}$$

x/128	Accurate	Solution	AE by	AE by	AE by	\mathbf{AE}
	Solution	by the	HWQA	BGFCF	B-spline	by the
		CCCM	[3]	collo-	collo-	CCCM
				method	method	
				[19]	[<mark>9</mark>]	
1	0.015470	0.015470	7.36×10^{-9}	6.76×10^{-17}	7.37×10^{-10}	0
3	0.046410	0.046410	5.21×10^{-8}	3.93×10^{-6}	5.34×10^{-9}	0
5	0.077351	0.077351	6.91×10^{-8}	3.19×10^{16}	9.68×10^{-9}	0
7	0.108292	0.108292	1.10×10^{-7}	8.30×10^{-17}	1.03×10^{-8}	0
9	0.139232	0.139232	1.32×10^{-7}	3.83×10^{-17}	9.01×10^{-9}	0
59	0.912747	0.912747	8.88×10^{-7}	$2.50\times10^{\text{-}15}$	8.26×10^{-9}	0
61	0.943688	0.943688	9.16×10^{-7}	3.17×10^{-15}	8.17×10^{-9}	0
63	0.974628	0.974628	9.46×10^{-7}	3.74×10^{-15}	8.07×10^{-9}	0
65	1.005569	1.005569	9.76×10^{-7}	4.11×10^{-15}	7.96×10^{-9}	0
67	1.036509	1.036509	1.01×10^{-6}	4.21×10^{-15}	7.85×10^{-9}	0
69	1.067450	1.067450	1.04×10^{-6}	4.03×10^{-15}	7.72×10^{-9}	0
119	1.840965	1.840965	1.79×10^{-6}	4.71×10^{-15}	1.80×10^{-9}	0
121	1.871905	1.871905	1.82×10^{-6}	3.29×10^{-15}	1.42×10^{-9}	0
123	1.902846	1.902846	1.85×10^{-6}	3.56×10^{-15}	1.03×10^{-9}	0
125	1.933787	1.933787	1.88×10^{-6}	6.09×10^{-15}	6.29×10^{-10}	0
127	1.964727	1.964727	1.91×10^{-6}	6.49×10^{-15}	2.13×10^{-10}	0

Table 2. Numerical comparison of absolute errors (AE) at t = 0.01 and N = 10.



Figure 4. Graphical representation of the Exact, CCCM solution along with its absolute error at N = 4 (Example 5.1).

with physical conditions,

$$\xi(x,0) = (2+3x)^{\frac{2}{3}} + 2x + 2; \ \frac{\partial\xi(x,0)}{\partial x} = \frac{2(1+t) + 2^{\frac{2}{3}}(1+t)}{(1+t)^2} \ ; \ \xi(0,t) = \ \frac{2+2^{\frac{2}{3}}}{(1+t)^2}$$
(5.2.2)

 $\xi(x,t) = \frac{2+2x(1+t)+(2+3x(1+t))^{\frac{2}{3}}}{(1+t)^2}$, is the exact solution of Example 5.2. The proposed method solution to this problem is illustrated in Tables 10 and 11 for discrete values of x and t concerning the fixed t and x respectively. Tables 7-9 display the absolute error analysis of recent numerical methods with the proposed CCCM

x/128	Accurate	Solution	AE by	AE by	AE by	AE
	Solution	by the	HWQA	BGFCF	B-spline	by the
		\mathbf{CCCM}	[<mark>3</mark>]	collo-	collo-	CCCM
				cation	cation	
				method	method	
				[19]	[<mark>9</mark>]	
1	0.015609	0.015609	1.00×10^{-11}	7.19×10^{-19}	7.60×10^{-12}	0
3	0.046828	0.046828	5.00×10^{-11}	4.10×10^{-18}	6.70×10^{-11}	0
5	0.078046	0.078046	7.00×10^{-11}	$3.38\times10^{\text{-}18}$	1.78×10^{-10}	0
7	0.109265	0.109265	1.00×10^{-10}	9.97×10^{-19}	3.27×10^{-10}	0
9	0.140484	0.140484	1.00×10^{-10}	6.05×10^{-19}	4.97×10^{-10}	0
59	0.920954	0.920954	1.10×10^{-9}	$2.74\times10^{\text{-}17}$	8.46×10^{-10}	0
61	0.952172	0.952172	2.00×10^{-10}	$3.42\times10^{\text{-}17}$	8.36×10^{-10}	0
63	0.983391	0.983391	4.00×10^{-10}	4.01×10^{-17}	8.32×10^{-10}	0
65	1.014610	1.014610	1.00×10^{-9}	4.38×10^{-17}	8.30×10^{-10}	0
67	1.045829	1.045829	1.00×10^{-9}	4.48×10^{-17}	8.29×10^{-10}	0
69	1.077047	1.077047	2.00×10^{-9}	4.28×10^{-17}	8.26×10^{-10}	0
119	1.857517	1.857517	4.00×10^{-9}	$4.99\times10^{\text{-}17}$	1.83×10^{-10}	0
121	1.888736	1.888736	4.00×10^{-9}	$3.55\times10^{\text{-}17}$	1.43×10^{-10}	0
123	1.919955	1.919955	4.00×10^{-9}	$3.97\times10^{\text{-}17}$	1.02×10^{-10}	0
125	1.951173	1.951173	4.00×10^{-9}	6.72×10^{-17}	6.21×10^{-11}	0
127	1.982392	1.982392	4.00×10^{-9}	$6.82\times10^{\text{-}17}$	2.08×10^{-11}	0

Table 3. Numerical comparison of absolute errors (AE) at t = 0.001 and N = 10.

Table 4. Numerical comparison of absolute errors (AE) at x = 0.1, 0.01 and 0.001(N = 10).

t/128		x = 0.1		:	x = 0.01			x = 0.1	
	Accurate Solu- tion	Solution by the CCCM	AE by the CCCM	Accurate Solu- tion	Solution by the CCCM	AE by the CCCM	Accurate Solu- tion	Solution by the CCCM	AE by the CCCM
1	0.198449	0.198449	0	0.019844	0.019844	0	0.001984	0.001984	0
3	0.195419	0.195419	0	0.019541	0.019541	0	0.001954	0.001954	0
5	0.192481	0.192481	0	0.019248	0.019248	0	0.001924	0.001924	0
7	0.189629	0.189629	0	0.018962	0.018962	0	0.001896	0.001896	0
9	0.186861	0.186861	0	0.018686	0.018686	0	0.001868	0.001868	0
59	0.136898	0.136898	0	0.013689	0.013689	0	0.001368	0.001368	0
61	0.135449	0.135449	0	0.013544	0.013544	0	0.001354	0.001354	0
63	0.134031	0.134031	0	0.013403	0.013403	0	0.001340	0.001340	0
65	0.132642	0.132642	0	0.013264	0.013264	0	0.001326	0.001326	0
67	0.131282	0.131282	0	0.013128	0.013128	0	0.001312	0.001312	0
69	0.129949	0.129949	0	0.012994	0.012994	0	0.001299	0.001299	0
119	0.103643	0.103643	0	0.010364	0.010364	0	0.001036	0.001036	0
121	0.102811	0.102811	0	0.010281	0.010281	0	0.001028	0.001028	0
123	0.101992	0.101992	0	0.010199	0.010199	0	0.001019	0.001019	0
125	0.101185	0.101185	0	0.010118	0.010118	0	0.001011	0.001011	0
127	0.100392	0.100392	0	0.010039	0.010039	0	0.001003	0.001003	0

	t = 0.1								
Error	CCCM Method	HWQA method [6]	BGFCF collocation method [19]	Cubic trigonomet- ric B-spline collocation method [9]					
L_2	0	3.40×10^{-3}	3.56×10^{-13}	1.97×10^{-7}					
RMS	0	8.52×10^{-4}	8.90×10^{-14}	4.93×10^{-8}					
\mathbf{L}_{∞}	0	1.37×10^{-3}	1.52×10^{-13}	7.16×10^{-8}					
		t = 0.01	Ĺ						
\mathbf{L}_2	0	4.76×10^{-6}	1.43×10^{-14}	2.65×10^{-8}					
RMS	0	1.19×10^{-6}	3.59×10^{-15}	$6.63 imes 10^{-9}$					
\mathbf{L}_{∞}	0	1.91×10^{-6}	6.49×10^{-15}	1.03×10^{-8}					
		t = 0.00	1						
\mathbf{L}_2	0	9.35×10^{-9}	1.54×10^{-16}	2.15×10^{-9}					
RMS	0	2.33×10^{-9}	3.85×10^{-17}	5.38×10^{-10}					
\mathbf{L}_{∞}	0	4.00×10^{-9}	6.82×10^{-17}	8.46×10^{-10}					

Table 5. Comparison of error norms at t = 0.1, 0.01, 0.001 for Example 5.1.

Table 6. Comparision of error norms at x = 0.1, 0.01, 0.001 for Example 5.1

Error	CCCM	Error	CCCM	Error	CCCM
	Method		Method		Method
L_2	4.3884×10^{-17}	L_2	5.2041×10^{-18}	L_2	3.7557×10^{-19}
RMS	1.1331×10^{-17}	RMS	1.3437×10^{-18}	RMS	$9.6974 imes 10^{-20}$
\mathbf{L}_{∞}	2.7755×10^{-17}	\mathbf{L}_{∞}	3.4694×10^{-18}	\mathbf{L}_{∞}	2.1684×10^{-19}



Figure 5. Graphical representation of the Exact, CCCM solution along with its absolute error at N = 10 (Example 5.1).

the obtained results were so close to the exact solution. Tables 10-11 provides the error analysis of the CCCM solution for different values of x and t. A graphical comparison of the accurate solution, CCCM solution, and the absolute error by the CCCM is shown in figures 10-12, and figures 13 and 14 indicate the error analysis.



Figure 6. Graphical representation of the Exact, CCCM solution at fixed x = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5 and $t \in [0, 1], \Delta t = 0.1$ for Example 5.1(N = 4).



Figure 7. Graphical representation of the Exact CCCM solution at N = 10, t = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5and $x \in [0, 1], \Delta x = 0.1$ for Example 5.1.

6. Conclusion

Here, we presented an efficient numerical method for the Hunter Saxton equation using the Clique polynomial of the cocktail party graph. As per the literature survey, the proposed method is a novel scheme for the Hunter-Saxton equation. Clique polynomials of Cocktail party graphs are effectively transformed into HSE through an operational matrix. The obtained numerical results are almost closer to



Figure 8. Graphical representation of Absolute errors of Example 5.1 at $N = 3, t \in [0, 1], \Delta t = 0.1, x = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5.$



Figure 9. Graphical representation of comparison of absolute errors of various numerical methods for Example 5.1 at $x \in [0, 1], \Delta x = 0.1, t = 0.1, N = 3$.

the exact solution when compared with the HWQA Solution [3], BGFCF collocation method [9], B-Spline collocation method [19], Fibonacci wavelet method [24], Laguerre wavelet method [17]. Convergence analysis assured the efficiency of the CCCM method and produced in terms of theorems. Application of the method through numerical examples reflects good agreement with an exact solution in the

x	Accurate	Solution	AE by	AE by	AE by	AE by the
	Solution	by the	Fib-	Laguerre	B-spline	CCCM
		\mathbf{CCCM}	bonocci	wavelet	collo-	
			wavelet	method	cation	
			\mathbf{method}	[17]	method	
			[24]		[19]	
0.1	3.287218	3.287218	$1.06\times10^{\text{-}10}$	1.80×10^{-10}	1.33×10^{-9}	1.07×10^{-14}
0.2	3.603134	3.603134	$4.03\times10^{\text{-}10}$	1.51×10^{-10}	5.79×10^{-9}	1.37×10^{-14}
0.3	3.913602	3.913602	2.57×10^{10}	3.92×10^{-9}	1.05×10^{-8}	6.20×10^{-15}
0.4	4.219412	4.219412	2.55×10^{10}	1.47×10^{-8}	5.64×10^{-7}	6.10×10^{-14}
0.5	4.521173	4.521173	1.10×10^{-9}	1.67×10^{-8}	5.45×10^{-7}	1.54×10^{-13}
0.6	4.819368	4.819368	$2.27\times10^{\text{-}11}$	1.90×10^{-8}	5.35×10^{-7}	2.77×10^{-13}
0.7	5.114386	5.114386	$1.99\times10^{\text{-}10}$	3.53×10^{-8}	5.82×10^{-8}	$3.97\times10^{\text{-}13}$
0.8	5.406549	5.406549	2.14×10^{10}	8.83×10^{-8}	3.52×10^{-8}	$4.58\times10^{\text{-}13}$
0.9	5.696123	5.696123	1.09×10^{-9}	2.43×10^{-8}	1.18×10^{-8}	3.69×10^{-13}

Table 7. Numerical comparison of absolute errors (AE) of the proposed CCCM with the FWM, LWM, and BSM of Example 5.2 for t = 0.1, N = 4.

Table 8. Numerical comparison of absolute errors (AE) of the proposed CCCM with the FWM, LWM, and BSM of Example 5.2 for t = 0.01, N = 4.

x	Accurate	Solution	AE by	AE by	AE by	AE by the
	Solution	by the	Fib-	Laguerre	B-spline	CCCM
		CCCM	wavelet	method	cation	
			\mathbf{method}	[17]	method	
			[24]		[19]	
0.1	3.868180	3.868180	$8.87\times10^{\text{-}11}$	2.58×10^{10}	3.81×10^{-9}	4.54×10^{-16}
0.2	4.213040	4.213040	3.37×10^{10}	3.98×10^{10}	8.65×10^{-8}	$3.15\times10^{\text{-}15}$
0.3	4.552303	4.552303	$2.20\times10^{\text{-}10}$	2.69×10^{-9}	8.03×10^{-8}	9.26×10^{-15}
0.4	4.886736	4.886736	$2.40\times10^{\text{-}10}$	7.10×10^{-9}	3.27×10^{-8}	$1.95\times10^{\text{-}14}$
0.5	5.216939	5.216939	$6.34\times10^{\text{-}10}$	8.98×10^{-9}	8.18×10^{-8}	3.36×10^{-14}
0.6	5.543393	5.543393	$1.90\times10^{\text{-}10}$	2.05×10^{-9}	8.74×10^{-9}	$4.97\times10^{\text{-}14}$
0.7	5.866489	5.866489	$1.41\times10^{\text{-}10}$	2.46×10^{-9}	9.45×10^{-9}	$6.35\times10^{\text{-}14}$
0.8	6.186554	6.186554	$1.64\times10^{\text{-}10}$	1.56×10^{-9}	5.73×10^{-9}	6.77×10^{-14}
0.9	6.503863	6.503863	$7.01\times10^{\text{-}10}$	8.65×10^{-9}	1.93×10^{-9}	5.16×10^{-14}

given domain. The absolute error analysis of example 1 of the proposed method solution with the exact solution is zero, confirming the CCCM's ability. Increasing the values of N (size of the matrix) leads to a better result, as seen in Tables 10-11.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

2056

Table 9. Numerical comparison of absolute errors (AE) of the proposed CCCM with the FWM, LWM, and BSM of Example 5.2 for t = 0.001, N = 4.

x	Accurate	Solution	AE by	AE by	AE by	AE by the
	Solution	by the	Fib-	Laguerre	B-spline	CCCM
		CCCM	bonocci	wavelet	collo-	
			wavelet	method	cation	
			method	[17]	method	
			[24]		[19]	
0.1	3.934893	3.934893	$8.77\times10^{\text{-}11}$	1.35×10^{10}	7.29×10^{-9}	7.52×10^{-17}
0.2	4.282935	4.282935	3.33×10^{10}	5.31×10^{-10}	6.15×10^{-9}	3.87×10^{-16}
0.3	4.625364	4.625364	2.18×10^{10}	8.25×10^{10}	7.05×10^{-9}	$1.05\times10^{\text{-}15}$
0.4	4.962946	4.962946	2.39×10^{10}	$1.05\times10^{\text{-}10}$	8.99×10^{-9}	$2.13\times10^{\text{-}15}$
0.5	5.296278	5.296278	$6.00\times10^{\text{-}10}$	$1.36\times10^{\text{-}10}$	8.87×10^{-9}	$3.59\times10^{\text{-}15}$
0.6	5.625842	5.625842	1.90×10^{10}	2.05×10^{10}	8.74×10^{-9}	$5.23\times10^{\text{-}15}$
0.7	5.952031	5.952031	1.37×10^{10}	$6.20\times10^{\text{-}11}$	9.97×10^{-10}	$6.66\times10^{\text{-}15}$
0.8	6.275169	6.275169	1.61×10^{10}	1.25×10^{-11}	6.06×10^{-10}	7.02×10^{-15}
0.9	6.595531	6.595531	$6.73\times10^{\text{-}10}$	$1.80\times10^{\text{-}10}$	2.04×10^{-10}	$5.33\times10^{\text{-}15}$

Table 10. Numerical comparison of absolute errors (AE) at t = 0.1, 0.01, 0.001 and N = 10, for Example 5.2.

x/128	Solution by	AE by the CCCM	Solution by	AE by the CCCM	Solution by	AE by the CCCM
	CCCM		CCCM		CCCM	
1	2.990248	5.39×10^{-15}	3.544440	1.01×10^{-17}	3.608211	4.17×10^{-18}
3	3.041016	1.34×10^{-14}	3.599747	2.50×10^{-16}	3.664018	4.06×10^{-17}
5	3.091598	1.79×10^{-14}	3.654870	8.77×10^{-16}	3.719639	1.13×10^{-16}
7	3.142003	1.89×10^{-14}	3.709813	1.86×10^{-15}	3.775081	2.22×10^{-16}
9	3.192235	1.65×10^{-14}	3.764582	3.19×10^{-15}	3.830348	3.67×10^{-16}
59	4.403746	9.18×10^{-13}	5.088424	1.20×10^{-13}	5.166543	1.23×10^{-14}
61	4.450784	9.76×10^{-13}	5.139900	1.27×10^{-13}	5.218508	1.30×10^{-14}
63	4.497732	1.03×10^{-12}	5.191282	1.33×10^{-13}	5.270378	1.36×10^{-14}
65	4.544592	1.09×10^{-12}	5.242572	1.39×10^{-13}	5.322156	1.42×10^{-14}
67	4.591367	1.15×10^{-12}	5.293772	1.46×10^{-13}	5.373842	1.49×10^{-14}
69	4.638056	1.20×10^{-12}	5.344883	1.52×10^{-13}	5.425440	1.55×10^{-14}
119	5.781627	9.03×10^{-13}	6.597568	1.02×10^{-13}	6.690140	1.03×10^{-14}
121	5.826547	7.40×10^{-13}	6.646799	8.33×10^{-14}	6.739846	8.42×10^{-15}
123	5.871412	5.56×10^{-13}	6.695971	6.25×10^{-14}	6.789493	6.32×10^{-15}
125	5.916223	3.51×10^{-13}	6.745085	3.94×10^{-14}	6.839081	3.98×10^{-15}
127	5.960979	1.23×10^{-13}	6.794140	1.37×10^{-14}	6.888610	1.39×10^{-15}

Author's contribution

Both authors have accepted responsibility for the entire content of this manuscript and approved its submission.

x/128	Solution by	AE by the CCCM	Solution by	AE by the CCCM	Solution by	AE by the CCCM
	CCCM		CCCM		CCCM	
1	2.990248	$7.69\!\times\!10^{-15}$	3.544440	$2.60\!\times\!10^{-17}$	3.608211	$4.29\!\times\!10^{-18}$
3	3.041016	1.97×10^{-15}	3.599747	$2.21\!\times\!10^{-16}$	3.664018	$4.25\!\times\!10^{-17}$
5	3.091598	2.75×10^{-14}	3.654870	8.53×10^{-16}	3.719639	6.14×10^{-15}
7	3.142003	3.12×10^{-14}	3.709813	1.85×10^{-15}	3.775081	$2.31\!\times\!10^{-17}$
9	3.192235	3.10×10^{-14}	3.764582	3.20×10^{-15}	3.830348	3.78×10^{-16}
59	4.403746	7.18×10^{-13}	5.088424	9.70×10^{-14}	5.166543	9.94×10^{-15}
61	4.450784	7.54×10^{-13}	5.139900	1.00×10^{-13}	5.218508	1.03×10^{-14}
63	4.497732	7.89×10^{-13}	5.191282	1.04×10^{-13}	5.270378	1.06×10^{-14}
65	4.544592	8.23×10^{-13}	5.242572	1.07×10^{-13}	5.322156	1.10×10^{-14}
67	4.591367	8.54×10^{-13}	5.293772	1.11×10^{-13}	5.373842	1.13×10^{-14}
69	4.638056	8.84×10^{-13}	5.344883	1.14×10^{-13}	5.425440	1.16×10^{-14}
119	5.781627	3.76×10^{-13}	6.597568	4.55×10^{-14}	6.690140	4.62×10^{-15}
121	5.826547	2.98×10^{-13}	6.646799	3.60×10^{-14}	6.739846	3.66×10^{-15}
123	5.871412	2.15×10^{-13}	6.695971	2.61×10^{-14}	6.789493	2.66×10^{-15}
125	5.916223	1.31×10^{-13}	6.745085	1.59×10^{-14}	6.839081	1.62×10^{-15}
127	5.960979	4.41×10^{-14}	6.794140	5.38×10^{-15}	6.888610	5.47×10^{-16}

Table 11. Numerical comparison of absolute errors (AE) at t = 0.1, 0.01, 0.001 and N = 6, for Example 5.2.

Table 12. Error Analysis of example 2 at t = 0.1, 0.01, 0.001(N = 4).

Error	CCCM	Error	CCCM	Error	CCCM
	Method		Method		Method
L_2	2.9421×10^{-12}	L_2	3.6835×10^{-13}	L_2	3.7549×10^{-14}
RMS	7.3552×10^{-13}	RMS	9.2087×10^{-14}	RMS	9.3873×10^{-15}
\mathbf{L}_{∞}	1.2065×10^{-14}	\mathbf{L}_{∞}	1.5203×10^{-13}	\mathbf{L}_{∞}	1.5503×10^{-14}



Figure 10. Graphical representation of the Exact, CCCM solution obtained at $N = 4, t = 0.1, x \in [0, 1], \Delta x = 0.1$ for Example 5.2.

Funding information

The authors state that no funding is involved.

2058



Figure 11. Graphical representation of the Exact, and CCCM solution at x = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5. (N = 4) for Example 5.2.



Figure 12. Graphical representation of the Exact, CCCM solution at t = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5. for Example 5.2 (N = 4).

Data Availibility

The data supporting this study's findings are available in the article.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was supported by the author(s).



Figure 13. Graphical representation of absolute errors for Example 5.2 at $x \in [0, 1], t = 0.1, 0.01, 0.001, N = 4$.



Figure 14. Graphical representation of comparison of absolute errors of CCCM, Fibonacci wavelet method(FWM), Haar wavelet method(HWM)), B-spline method(BSM) at $t = 0.1, N = 2, x \in [0, 1]$ for Example 5.2.

References

- [1] W. Adel and S. Kumbinarasaiah, A new clique polynomial approach for fractional partial differential equations, International Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Numerical Simulation, 2022, 11(0).
- [2] I. Ahmad, H. Ilyas, K. Kutlu, V. Anam, S. I. Hussain and J. L. Guirao, Numerical computing approach for solving hunter-saxton equation arising in liquid

crystal model through sinc collocation method, Heliyon, 2021, 7(7).

- [3] S. Arbabi, A. Nazari and M. T. Darvishi, A Semi-Analytical Solution of Hunter-Saxton Equation, Optik, 2016, 127(13), 5255–5258.
- [4] S. S. Behzadi, Numerical solution of hunter-saxton equation using iterative methods, J. Inform. Math. Sci., 2011, 3, 127–143.
- [5] A. Bressan, H. Holden and X. Raynaud, Lipschitz metric for the hunter-saxton equation, Journal de mathématiques pures et appliquées, 2010, 94(1), 68–92.
- [6] C. J. Cotter, J. Deasy and T. Pryer, The r-Hunter-Saxton equation, smooth and singular solutions and their approximation, Nonlinearity, 2020, 33(12), 7016.
- [7] R. M. Ganji, H. Jafari, M. Kgarose and A. Mohammadi, Numerical solutions of time-fractional Klein-Gordon equations by clique polynomials, Alexandria Engineering Journal, 2021, 60(5), 4563–4571.
- [8] H. Hajiabolhassan and M. L. Mehrabadi, On clique polynomials, Australasian Journal of Combinatorics, 1998, 18, 313–316.
- [9] M. S. Hashmi, M. Awais, A. Waheed and Q. Ali, Numerical treatment of hunter saxton equation using cubic trigonometric b-spline collocation method, AIP Advances, 2017, 7(9).
- [10] M. H. Heydari and M. Razzaghi, Highly accurate solutions for space-time fractional schrödinger equations with a non-smooth continuous solution using the hybrid clique functions, Mathematical Sciences, 2023, 17(1), 31–42.
- [11] K. J. Hunter and R. Saxton, Dynamics of director fields, SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics, 1991, 51, 1498–1521.
- [12] H. Jafari, R. M. Ganji, S. M. Narsale, M. Kgarose and V. T. Nguyen, Application of hosoya polynomial to splve a class of time-fractional diffusion equations, Fractals, 2023, 2340059.
- [13] B. Karaagac and A. Esen, The hunter-saxton equation: A numerical approach using collocation method, Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations, 2018, 34(5), 1637–1644.
- [14] A. Kaur, V. Kanwar and H. Ramos, An efficient algorithm combining an optimized hybrid block method and the differential quadrature method for solving hunter-saxton equation, Journal of Mathematical Chemistry, 2023, 61(4), 761– 776.
- [15] S. Kumbinarasaiah and K. R. Raghunatha, Study of special types of boundary layer natural convection flow problems through the clique polynomial method, Heat Transfer, 2022, 51(1), 434–450.
- [16] S. Kumbinarasaiah, H. S. Ramane, K. S. Pise and G. Hariharan, Numericalsolution-for-nonlinear-klein-gordon equation via operational-matrix by clique polynomial of complete graphs, International Journal of Applied and Computational Mathematics, 2021, 7, 1–9.
- [17] S. Kumbinarasaiah, H. Rezazadeh and W. Adel, Numerical investigation based on laguerre wavelet for solving the hunter Saxton equation, International Journal of Applied and Computational Mathematics, 2020, 1–14.
- [18] O. K. Kürkçü, E. Aslan and M. Sezer, An advanced method with convergence analysis for solving space-time fractional partial differential equations with multi delays, The European Physical Journal Plus, 2019, 134, 1–15.

- [19] K. Parand and M. Delkhosh, An efficient numerical solution of nonlinear hunter-saxton equation, Communications in Theoretical Physics, 2017, 67(5), 483.
- [20] A. Prathik, K. Uma and J. Anuradha, An Overview of the application of graph theory, International Journal of ChemTech Research, 2016, 9(2), 242–248.
- [21] S. Salati, M. Matinfar and H. Jafari, A numerical approach for solving bagelytorvik and fractional oscillation equations, Advanced Mathematical Models and Applications, 2023, 8(2).
- [22] M. C. Shanmukha, S. Lee, A. Usha, K. C. Shilpa and M. Azeem, Structural descriptors of anthracene using topological indices through CoM-polynomial, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems, Preprint, 2023, 1–12.
- [23] Y. Shi, M. Dehmer, X. Li and I. Gutman, eds., Graph Polynomials, CRC Press, 2016.
- [24] H. M. Srivastava, F. A. Shah and N. A. Nayied, Fibonacci wavelet method for the solution of the nonlinear hunter-saxton equation, Applied Sciences, 2022, 12(15), 7738.
- [25] R. Ullah, I. Ali, S. Shaheen, T. Khan, F. Faiz and H. Rahman, A mesh-free collocation method based on rbfs for the numerical solution of hunter-saxton and gardner Equations, Mathematical Problems in Engineering, 2022, 2022.
- [26] H. Wu, Y. Wang and W. Zhang, Numerical solution of a class of nonlinear partial differential equations by using barycentric interpolation collocation method, Mathematical Problems in Engineering, 2018, 2018, 1–10.
- [27] Y. Xu and C. W. Shu, *Dissipative numerical methods for the hunter-saxton* equation, Journal of Computational Mathematics, 2010, 606–620.
- [28] A. Zhang, R. M. Ganji, H. Jafari, M. N. Ncube and L. Agamalieva, Numerical solution of distributed order integro-differential equations, Fractals, 2022, 30(05), 2240123.
- [29] Z. Zhao, Conservation laws and nonlocally related systems of the hunter-saxton equation for liquid crystal, Analysis and Mathematical Physics, 2019, 2311– 2327.
- [30] P. Zhou, H. Jafari, R. M. Ganji and S. M. Narsale, Numerical study for a class of time-fractional diffusion equations using operational matrices based on hosoya polynomial, Electronic Research Archive, 2023, 31(8), 4530–4548.