## THE FRACTIONAL TIKHONOV REGULARIZATION METHOD FOR SIMULTANEOUS INVERSION OF THE SOURCE TERM AND INITIAL VALUE IN A SPACE-FRACTIONAL ALLEN-CAHN EQUATION\*

#### Lu-Lu Yan, Fan Yang<sup>†</sup> and Xiao-Xiao Li

**Abstract** In this paper, we consider the inverse problem for identifying the source term and initial value simultaneously in a space-fractional Allen-Cahn equation. This problem is ill-posed, i.e., the solution of this problem does not depend continuously on the data. The fractional Tikhonov method is used to solve this problem. Under the a priori and the a posteriori regularization parameter choice rules, the error estimates between the regularization solutions and the exact solutions are obtained, respectively. Different numerical examples are presented to illustrate the validity and effectiveness of our method.

**Keywords** Space-fractional Allen-Cahn equation, fractional Tikhonov regularization method, ill-posed problem, identifying the source and initial value.

MSC(2010) 35R25, 47A52, 35R30.

### 1. Introduction

In recent years, fractional Allen-Cahn equation (ACe) have attracted wide attentions. The ACe was originally proposed by Allen and Cahn [1], which is a phase model that simulates the anti-phase boundary motion of a crystalline solid. And the ACe is widely used in various interface problems, for example, vesicle membranes, the nucleation of solids and the motion by mean curvature and so on [2–4]. Recently, more and more people pay attention to the fractional differential equations [5–8]. In particular, the space fractional Allen-Cahn equation (SFACe) is a class of the fractional differential equations, which can be seen as a fractional analogue of the classic ACe. Currently, most research is focused on numerical solutions to this equation. In [9], Zhang et al. proposed energy stability of high-order implicit Runge-Kutta schemes for the SFACe. In [10], Hou et al. constructed a fully discretized Crank-Nicolson scheme for fractional-in-space ACe. And then the non-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>The corresponding author.

School of Science, Lanzhou University of Technology, Lanzhou, Gansu 730050, China

<sup>\*</sup>The authors were supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11961044) and the Doctor Fund of Lan Zhou University of Technology. Email: luluyalut@163.com(L. Yan), yfggd114@163.com(F. Yang),

lixiaoxiaogood@126.com(X. Li)

linear iteration is required during the solution process. Moreover, He et al. [11] proved that a spatial fourth-order maximum principle preserving operator splitting scheme for the multi-dimensional fractional ACe. For more research on the SFACe, we can refer to the literatures [12, 13].

Compared to the above problems, the study on inverse problem of SFACe is still limited. Especially, the problem of simultaneous inversion of the source term and initial value in a SFACe involve only a few. By reading [16], we know most of the inverse problems are ill-posed, we need to use regularization method to resolve this problem. We can refer to some regularization methods for diffusion equation to solve inverse problem of SFACe. In [14], Yang et al. made use of the Landweber iterative method to identify a space-dependent source for the time-fractional diffusion equation. In [17–19], Yang et al. identified the unknown source for fractional diffusion equation in frequency domain by using different regularization methods. In [15], Yang et al. applied Landweber regularization method to identify the unknown source of the time-fractional inhomogeneous diffusion equation.

In this paper, we consider the following space-fractional Allen-Cahn equation:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} = \varepsilon^2 L_{\alpha} u(x,t) + f(x), & x \in \Omega, \ t \in (0,T], \\ u(x,0) = \varphi(x), & x \in \Omega, \\ u(x,t) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \ t \in (0,T], \\ u(x,t_0) = g(x), & x \in \Omega, \ t_0 \in (0,T], \\ u(x,T) = h(x), & x \in \Omega, \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where  $\Omega = (a, b)^d$ ,  $d = 1, 2, 0 < \alpha < 1$  is the order of a fractional derivative and the parameter  $\varepsilon$  is a positive constant. And  $L_{\alpha}$  denotes the Riesz fractional derivative operator. In one-dimension, it is given by

$$L_{\alpha}u := \frac{\partial^{\alpha}}{\partial |x|^{\alpha}}u = -\frac{1}{2}\cos(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2})({}_{a}D_{x}^{\alpha}u + {}_{x}D_{b}^{\alpha}u) := C_{\alpha}({}_{a}D_{x}^{\alpha}u + {}_{x}D_{b}^{\alpha}u), \quad (1.2)$$

here,  $C_{\alpha} = -\frac{1}{2}\cos(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2})$ . The left and right-sided Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives  ${}_{a}D_{x}^{\alpha}u$  and  ${}_{x}D_{b}^{\alpha}u$  are defined by

$${}_{a}D_{x}^{\alpha}u = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\int_{a}^{x} \frac{u(\zeta)}{(x-\zeta)^{\alpha-1}}\,\mathrm{d}\zeta,$$

and

$$_{x}D_{b}^{\alpha}u = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\int_{x}^{b}\frac{u(\zeta)}{(\zeta-x)^{\alpha-1}}\,\mathrm{d}\zeta,$$

respectively, where  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  is Gamma function. Similarly, in two-dimension, the fractional derivative operator  $L_{\alpha}$  can be defined as

$$L_{\alpha}u := \frac{\partial^{\alpha}}{\partial |x|^{\alpha}}u + \frac{\partial^{\alpha}}{\partial |y|^{\alpha}}u$$

where  $\frac{\partial^{\alpha}}{\partial |y|^{\alpha}}u = C_{\alpha}({}_{a}D_{y}^{\alpha}u + {}_{y}D_{b}^{\alpha}u).$ 

One will see the relationship between this operator and Laplace is as [21]

$$-(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u = C_{\alpha}({}_aD_x^{\alpha}u + {}_xD_b^{\alpha}u) = L_{\alpha}u.$$

If the initial value  $\varphi(x)$  and source term f(x) are known, we can figure out u(x,t) by solving the initial boundary value problem (1.1), this is the direct problem. But, now  $\varphi(x)$  and f(x) are unknown and need to be determined. The inverse problem is: recover the initial value  $u(x,0) = \varphi(x)$  and source term f(x) from a pair of measurements (g,h). Because the measurements are error-prone, we remark the measurements with error as  $g^{\delta}(x)$  and  $h^{\delta}(x)$  and satisfy

$$\|g^{\delta}(x) - g(x)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \le \delta,$$
 (1.3)

$$\|h^{\delta}(x) - h(x)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le \delta.$$

$$(1.4)$$

In our paper, we use the fractional Tikhonov regularized to identify the source term and initial value of problem (1.1). In [22], Klann firstly posed the fractional Tikhonov method in 2008. In [23], Xue et al. used the fractional Tikhonov method to identify the source of a time-fractional diffusion equation. Compared with the standard Tikhonov method, its numerical fitting effect is more better.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents some important lemmas used in this paper. The ill-posedness about the simultaneous inversion of the source term and initial value problem is deduced in Section 3. Section 4 constructs the fractional Tikhonov regularization method. In Section 5, error estimations under two regularization parameter choice rules are obtained. Numerical examples are given in Section 6. In the final Section, we give a brief conclusion.

### 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we use the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1.** For  $0 \le t_0 \le T$  and  $\alpha > 0$ , then

$$e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} T p} \le \left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} t_0} - e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} T}}{(T - t_0)\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha}}\right)^p.$$
(2.1)

**Proof.** For  $0 \le t_0 \le T$ , we have

$$\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} t_0} - e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} T}}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha}} = \frac{e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} t_0} (1 - e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} (T - t_0)})}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha}}$$
$$\geq \frac{e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} t_0} (T - t_0) \varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} (T - t_0)}}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha}}$$
$$= (T - t_0) e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} T}.$$

Thus we have

$$\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} t_0} (1 - e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} (T - t_0)})}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} (T - t_0)} \ge e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} T}.$$

To the power of p on both sides of the above equation, we obtain

$$e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} T p} \le \left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} t_0} - e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} T}}{(T - t_0)\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha}}\right)^p.$$

Then we complete the proof.

**Lemma 2.2.** For  $\lambda_n > \lambda_1 > 0$ ,  $n = 2, 3, \dots$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $0 \le t_0 \le T$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} t_0}}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha}} &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_1^{\alpha}}; \quad \frac{1 - e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} T}}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha}} \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_1^{\alpha}}, \\ e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} t_0} &\leq 1; \qquad e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} T} \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** The proof is simple, we omit the proof.

**Lemma 2.3** (Lemma 3.1, [20]). If the constants  $\beta > 0$  and b > a > 0, we have the inequality for the variable t > 0,

$$\frac{t^{a}}{1+\beta t^{b}} \le \frac{b-a}{b} (\frac{a}{b-a})^{\frac{a}{b}} \beta^{\frac{-a}{b}}.$$
(2.2)

**Lemma 2.4.** For  $x \ge 0$ , we have

$$xe^{-x} \le 1 - e^{-x} \le x. \tag{2.3}$$

**Proof.** Let

$$f_1(x) = 1 - e^{-x} - xe^{-x}.$$

Taking the derivative of function  $f_1(x)$  yields

$$f_1'(x) = e^{-x} - e^{-x} + xe^{-x} \ge 0.$$

Similarly, we have

$$f_2(x) = x - 1 + e^{-x}.$$

Taking the derivative of function  $f_2(x)$  yields

$$f_2'(x) = 1 - e^{-x} \ge 0.$$

Thus

$$xe^{-x} \le 1 - e^{-x} \le x.$$

Then we complete the proof.

**Lemma 2.5.** For constants D > 0,  $\mu > 0$ ,  $0 < \beta < 1$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , T > 0,  $s \ge 0$ , we have

$$F(s) = \frac{\mu e^{\varepsilon^2 s T(\beta+1-p)}}{D + \mu e^{\varepsilon^2 s T(\beta+1)}} \le \begin{cases} \frac{\mu (\frac{(\beta+1-p)D}{\mu p})^{\frac{\beta+1-p}{\beta+1}}}{D + \frac{(\beta+1-p)D}{p}}, & 0 (2.4)$$

**Proof.** For  $0 , let <math>F'(s_0) = 0$ , we obtain  $e^{\epsilon^2 s_0 T(\beta + 1)} = \frac{(\beta + 1 - p)D}{\mu p}$ , thus

$$F(s) \le F(s_0) = \frac{\mu(\frac{(\beta+1-p)D}{\mu p})^{\frac{\beta+1-p}{\beta+1}}}{D + \frac{(\beta+1-p)D}{p}}.$$

For  $p \ge \beta + 1$ , we have

$$F(s) \le \frac{\mu}{D}$$

Then we complete the proof.

### 3. Ill-posed analysis

In this section, we give some results which are very useful for our main conclusions. Denote the eigenvalues of the operator  $\Delta$  as  $\lambda_n$  and the corresponding eigenfunctions as  $X_n$ , satisfies  $(-\Delta)X_n = \lambda_n^2 X_n$ .  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  are the positive zeros and satisfy

$$0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \lambda_3 < \dots < \lambda_n < \dots, \quad \lim_{n \to +\infty} \lambda_n = +\infty.$$
(3.1)

Thus  $(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} X_n = \lambda_n^{\alpha} X_n$ .

Using the separation of variables, we obtain the solution for (1.1) as follows:

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 - e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} t}}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha}} f_n + e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} t} \varphi_n\right) X_n(x),$$
(3.2)

where  $f_n = (f(x), X_n(x)), \varphi_n = (\varphi(x), X_n(x))$ .  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $\|\cdot\|$  is the inner product and norm in  $L^2(\Omega)$ , respectively.

Let  $t = t_0$  and t = T in equation (3.2), we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1-e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} t_0}}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha}} f_n + e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} t_0} \varphi_n\right) X_n(x) = g(x),$$
(3.3)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 - e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} T}}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha}} f_n + e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} T} \varphi_n\right) X_n(x) = h(x).$$
(3.4)

For given source term f(x) and initial function  $\varphi(x)$ , we can define a pair of linear operators  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  to solve problem (1.1):

$$K_1 : (f, \varphi) \mapsto u(x, t_0),$$
  
$$K_2 : (f, \varphi) \mapsto u(x, T).$$

Similarly, we can define four linear operators respectively

$$\begin{split} K_{11}: f \mapsto u(x,t_0), \quad K_{12}: f \mapsto u(x,T), \\ K_{21}: \varphi \mapsto u(x,t_0), \quad K_{22}: \varphi \mapsto u(x,T). \end{split}$$

By using the solution expression (3.3) and (3.4), we can obtain operator equations:

$$(K_{11}(f))(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} t_0}}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha}} f_n X_n(x),$$
  

$$(K_{12}(f)(x)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} T}}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha}} f_n X_n(x),$$
(3.5)

$$(K_{21}(\varphi))(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} t_0} \varphi_n X_n(x),$$
  

$$(K_{22}(\varphi))(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} T} \varphi_n X_n(x).$$
(3.6)

Then we have the follow expressions for the operator equations

$$u(x,t_0) = (K_{11}(f))(x) + (K_{21}(\varphi))(x) = g(x),$$
(3.7)

$$u(x,T) = (K_{12}(f))(x) + (K_{22}(\varphi))(x) = h(x).$$
(3.8)

By using equations (3.7) and (3.8), we obtain the solutions  $(f, \varphi)$  of the system as follows

$$\begin{cases} K_{11}f + K_{21}\varphi = g, \\ K_{12}f + K_{22}\varphi = h. \end{cases}$$
(3.9)

Applying operator  $K_{22}$  to the first equation in the system (3.9) and operator  $K_{21}$  to the second one yield:

$$K_{22}K_{11}f + K_{22}K_{21}\varphi = K_{22}g, (3.10)$$

$$K_{21}K_{12}f + K_{21}K_{22}\varphi = K_{21}h. ag{3.11}$$

By subtracting equations (3.10) and (3.11), we have

$$(K_{21}K_{12} - K_{22}K_{11})f = K_{21}h - K_{22}g.$$

Similarly, we apply operator  $K_{12}$  to the first equation in the system (3.9) and  $K_{11}$  to the second one

$$K_{12}K_{11}f + K_{12}K_{21}\varphi = K_{12}g, (3.12)$$

$$K_{11}K_{12}f + K_{11}K_{22}\varphi = K_{11}h.$$
(3.13)

We obtain the result as follows

$$(K_{21}K_{12} - K_{22}K_{11})\varphi = K_{12}g - K_{11}h.$$

So system (3.9) is equivalent to the system

$$\begin{cases} Kf = K_{21}h - K_{22}g, \\ K\varphi = K_{12}g - K_{11}h, \end{cases}$$
(3.14)

where  $K = K_{21}K_{12} - K_{22}K_{11}$ .

Using the properties of singular values, the singular values of the operator  $K_{11}$ ,  $K_{12}$ ,  $K_{21}$ ,  $K_{22}$  are obtained as follows

$$k_{11} = \frac{1 - e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} t_0}}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha}}, \quad k_{12} = \frac{1 - e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} T}}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha}},$$
$$k_{21} = e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} t_0}, \quad k_{22} = e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} T}.$$

Thus it is easy to obtain the singular values of operator K as follows

$$k = \frac{e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} t_0} - e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} T}}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha}}.$$
(3.15)

Now the problem (1.1) becomes the following operator equation

$$Kf(x) = \eta(x), \tag{3.16}$$

where  $\eta(x) = K_{21}h(x) - K_{22}g(x)$ . From the operator K, we obtain

$$f = K^{-1}\eta = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} (\eta, X_n) X_n.$$

So we can obtain

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha}}{e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} t_0} - e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} T}} (k_{21} h_n - k_{22} g_n) X_n(x), \qquad (3.17)$$

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha}}{e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} t_0} - e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} T}} (k_{12} g_n - k_{11} h_n) X_n(x), \qquad (3.18)$$

where  $g_n = (g(x), X_n(x)), h_n = (h(x), X_n(x))$ . Since  $1/k \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ , the problem is ill-posed, that is, the solution does not persistently depend on the given data.

Next, we define the priori boundary of f(x) and  $\varphi(x)$ ,

$$||f(x)||_{(D(-L_{\alpha}))^{p}} = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{2\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}Tp} |(f(x), X_{n})|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leqslant E, \quad p > 0,$$
(3.19)

$$\|\varphi(x)\|_{(D(-L_{\alpha}))^{p}} = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{2\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}Tp} |(\varphi(x), X_{n})|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leqslant E, \quad p > 0,$$
(3.20)

where E > 0 is a constant.

### 4. The fractional Tikhonov regularization method

In this section, we use the fractional Tikhonov regularization method to solve the problem (1.1) and give the fractional Tikhonov regularization solution.

Since the inverse problem is ill-posed, we use the fractional Tikhonov regularization method to solve it. This kind of idea was proposed by Hochstenbach in [25]. It is a penalized least-squares problem of the following form

$$\min_{f \in L^2(\Omega)} \{ \|Kf - \eta\|_Y^2 + \mu \|f\|^2 \},$$
(4.1)

where  $\|\cdot\|_{Y}$  is a weighted seminorm as  $\|z\|_{Y} = \|Y^{\frac{1}{2}}z\|$ , for any z. The problem (4.1) has a unique solution  $f_{\mu}$  for all positive values of the regularization parameter  $\mu$ .

We propose to let

$$Y = (K^*K)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} \tag{4.2}$$

for a suitable value of  $0 < \beta < 1$ , and if  $\beta < 1$ , we define Y with the aid of the Moore-Penrose pseudo-inverse of  $K^*K$ . The seminorm  $\|\cdot\|_Y$  allows the parameter  $\beta$  to be chosen to improve the quality of the computed solution of problem (4.1). We refer to problem (4.1) with Y given by equation (4.2) as the fractional Tikhonov regularization. When  $\beta = 1$ , it is the standard Tikhonov regularization, then Y is the identifying matrix.

The normal equation associate with the Tikhonov minimization problem (4.1) with Y defined by equation (4.2) is given by

$$\left(\left(K^*K\right)^{\frac{(\beta+1)}{2}} + \mu I\right)f_{\mu} = \left(K^*K\right)^{\frac{(\beta-1)}{2}}K^*\eta,\tag{4.3}$$

the solution of equation (4.3) is uniquely determined for any  $\mu > 0$  and  $\beta > 0$ . By the singular values of the linear operator K, we can obtain

$$f_{\mu}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}\right)^{\beta}}{\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}\right)^{\beta+1} + \mu} (k_{21}h_{n} - k_{22}g_{n})X_{n}(x),$$
(4.4)

$$\varphi_{\mu}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}\right)^{\beta}}{\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}\right)^{\beta+1} + \mu} (k_{12}g_{n} - k_{11}h_{n})X_{n}(x), \qquad (4.5)$$

where  $0 < \beta < 1$  is the order of the fractional Tikhonov regularization solution. For the noisy data, we have the fractional Tikhonov regularized solution

$$f_{\mu}^{\delta}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}\right)^{\beta}}{\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}\right)^{\beta+1} + \mu} (k_{21}h_{n}^{\delta} - k_{22}g_{n}^{\delta})X_{n}(x),$$
(4.6)

$$\varphi_{\mu}^{\delta}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}\right)^{\beta}}{\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}\right)^{\beta+1} + \mu} (k_{12}g_{n}^{\delta} - k_{11}h_{n}^{\delta})X_{n}(x).$$
(4.7)

### 5. Error estimation

# 5.1. The priori regularization parameter choice rule of the source term

**Theorem 5.1.** Let f(x) be given by equation (3.17) and  $f^{\delta}_{\mu}(x)$  be given by equation (4.6). Suppose that f(x) satisfies a priori bound condition (3.19) and assumptions (1.3), (1.4) hold. Choosing the regularization parameter:

$$\mu = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\delta}{E}\right)^{\frac{\beta+1}{p+1}}, & 0 (5.1)$$

then we obtain the following error estimate:

$$\|f_{\mu}^{\delta}(x) - f(x)\| \leq \begin{cases} (c+c_1)E^{\frac{1}{p+1}}\delta^{\frac{p}{p+1}}, & 0 (5.2)$$

where  $c := 2 \frac{1}{\beta+1} \beta^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}}, c_1 := \frac{(\frac{(\beta+1-p)(T-t_0)^{\beta+1}}{p})^{\frac{\beta+1-p}{\beta+1}}}{(T-t_0)^{\beta+1} + \frac{(\beta+1-p)(T-t_0)^{\beta+1}}{p}}, c_2 := \frac{1}{(T-t_0)^{\beta+1}}.$ 

**Proof.** By using the triangle inequality, we have

$$\|f_{\mu}^{\delta}(x) - f(x)\| \le \|f_{\mu}^{\delta}(x) - f_{\mu}(x)\| + \|f_{\mu}(x) - f(x)\|.$$

Firstly, we give an estimate for the first term. From equations (4.4), (4.6) and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\|f^{\delta}_{\mu}(x) - f_{\mu}(x)\|$$

$$= \|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}}-e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}\right)^{\beta}}{\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}}-e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}\right)^{\beta+1} + \mu} ((k_{21}h_{n}^{\delta} - k_{22}g_{n}^{\delta}) - (k_{21}h_{n} - k_{22}g_{n}))]X_{n}(x)\|$$

$$\leq \sup_{n\geq 1} \left| \frac{\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}}-e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}\right)^{\beta+1} + \mu}{\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}}-e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}\right)^{\beta+1} + \mu} \right| \left(\|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_{21}(h_{n}^{\delta} - h_{n})X_{n}(x)\|\right)$$

$$+ \|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_{22}(g_{n}^{\delta} - g_{n})X_{n}(x)\|\right)$$

$$\leq \sup_{n\geq 1} |A(n)| \left(\|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (h_{n}^{\delta} - h_{n})X_{n}(x)\| + \|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (g_{n}^{\delta} - g_{n})X_{n}(x)\|\right)$$

$$\leq \sup_{n\geq 1} |A(n)| 2\delta, \qquad (5.3)$$

where 
$$A(n) = \frac{\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} t_0} - e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} T}}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha}}\right)^{\beta}}{\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} t_0} - \varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} T}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha}}\right)^{\beta+1} + \mu}$$
.  
Applying Lemma 2.3, we obtain

Applying Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$A(n) = \frac{\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} t_0} - e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} T}}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha}}\right)^{\beta}}{\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} t_0} - e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} T}}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha}}\right)^{\beta+1} + \mu}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} t_0} - e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} T}}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha}}\right)^{\beta}}{\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} t_0} - e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} T}}{\mu}\right)^{\beta+1}} + 1$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{\beta+1} \beta^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}} \left(\frac{1}{\mu}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}.$$
(5.4)

Then we obtain

$$\|f_{\mu}^{\delta}(x) - f_{\mu}(x)\| \le c(\frac{1}{\mu})^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}\delta,$$
(5.5)

where  $c = 2 \frac{1}{\beta+1} \beta^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}}$ . Then we estimate the second term by equations (4.4), (3.17).

$$\begin{split} \|f_{\mu}(x) - f(x)\| \\ &= \|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [(\frac{(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}})^{\beta}}{(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}})^{\beta+1} + \mu} - \frac{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}})(k_{21}h_{n} - k_{22}g_{n})]X_{n}(x)\| \\ &= \|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\frac{\mu}{[(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}})^{\beta+1} + \mu](\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}})}{(e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}})^{\beta+1} + \mu} |E \\ &\leq \sup_{n\geq 1} |\frac{\mu e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}}{(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}})^{\beta+1} + \mu}|E \\ &= \sup_{n\geq 1} |B(n)|E, \end{split}$$
(5.6) where  $B(n) = \frac{\mu e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}})^{\beta+1} + \mu}. \end{split}$ 

Applying Lemma 2.4, we can infer

$$B(n) = \frac{\mu e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} T p}}{\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} t_0} (1 - e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} (T - t_0)})}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha}}\right)^{\beta + 1} + \mu}$$

$$\leq \frac{\mu e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} T p}}{\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} t_0} \varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} (T - t_0) e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} (T - t_0)}}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha}}\right)^{\beta + 1} + \mu}$$

$$= \frac{\mu e^{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} T (\beta + 1 - p)}}{(T - t_0)^{\beta + 1} + \mu e^{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} T (\beta + 1)}}.$$

Let  $\lambda_n^{\alpha} = s$ , we obtain

$$B(s) = \frac{\mu e^{\varepsilon^2 s T(\beta + 1 - p)}}{(T - t_0)^{\beta + 1} + \mu e^{\varepsilon^2 s T(\beta + 1)}}$$

By Lemma 2.5, we deduce that

$$B(s) \le \begin{cases} c_1 \mu^{\frac{p}{\beta+1}}, & 0 (5.7)$$

where  $c_1 = \frac{\left(\frac{(\beta+1-p)(T-t_0)^{\beta+1}}{p}\right)^{\frac{\beta+1-p}{\beta+1}}}{(T-t_0)^{\beta+1}+\frac{(\beta+1-p)(T-t_0)^{\beta+1}}{p}}, c_2 = \frac{1}{(T-t_0)^{\beta+1}}$ . Then we have

$$\|f_{\mu}(x) - f(x)\| \le \begin{cases} c_1 \mu^{\frac{p}{\beta+1}} E, & 0 (5.8)$$

Combining equation (5.5) with equation (5.8), we choose the regularized parameter  $\mu$  by

$$\mu = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\delta}{E}\right)^{\frac{\beta+1}{p+1}}, & 0$$

We have

$$\|f_{\mu}^{\delta}(x) - f(x)\| \leq \begin{cases} (c+c_1)E^{\frac{1}{p+1}}\delta^{\frac{p}{p+1}}, & 0$$

The proof is completed.

# 5.2. The posteriori regularization choice rule of the source term

Now we consider a posteriori regularization parameter choice rule called the Morozov discrepancy principle. We can obtain a convergent rate for the fractional Tikhonov regularization solution (4.4).

The Morozov discrepancy principle here is to find  $\mu$  such that

$$\|Kf^{\delta}_{\mu}(x) - (K_{21}h^{\delta}(x) - K_{22}g^{\delta}(x))\| = \tau_1\delta,$$
(5.9)

where  $\tau_1 > 2$  is a constant. According to the following lemma, we know there exists a unique solution for equation (5.9) if  $||K_{21}h^{\delta}(x) - K_{22}g^{\delta}(x)|| > \tau_1\delta$ .

**Lemma 5.1.**  $\rho_1(\mu) = \|Kf_{\mu}^{\delta}(x) - (K_{21}h^{\delta}(x) - K_{22}g^{\delta}(x))\|$ , the following results hold

- (a)  $\rho_1(\mu)$  is a continuous function;
- (b) lim<sub>μ→0</sub> ρ<sub>1</sub>(μ) = 0;
  (c) lim<sub>μ→∞</sub> ρ<sub>1</sub>(μ) = ||K<sub>21</sub>h<sup>δ</sup>(x) K<sub>22</sub>g<sup>δ</sup>(x)||;
  (d) ρ<sub>1</sub>(μ) is a strictly increasing function over μ ∈ (0,∞).

**Proof.** By equation (5.9), we have

$$\rho_{1}(\mu) = \|Kf_{\mu}^{\delta}(x) - (K_{21}h^{\delta}(x) - K_{22}g^{\delta}(x))\|$$

$$= \|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}})^{\beta+1}}{(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}})^{\beta+1} + \mu}(k_{21}h_{n}^{\delta} - k_{22}g_{n}^{\delta}) - (k_{21}h_{n}^{\delta} - k_{22}g_{n}^{\delta})]X_{n}(x)\|$$

$$= \|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(k_{21}h_{n}^{\delta} - k_{22}g_{n}^{\delta})}{(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}})^{\beta+1} + \mu}(x)\|.$$
(5.10)

Obviously, the conclusions (a), (b), (c), (d) hold.

**Lemma 5.2.** Suppose equations (1.3), (1.4) and the priori bound condition (3.19) hold, we obtain

$$\mu^{-1} \leq \begin{cases} \left(\frac{c_4}{\tau_1 - 2}\right)^{\frac{\beta+1}{p+1}} \left(\frac{E}{\delta}\right)^{\frac{\beta+1}{p+1}}, & 0 (5.11)$$

where  $c_4 := \left(\frac{1}{T-t_0}\right)^p \frac{\beta-p}{\beta+1} \left(\frac{p+1}{\beta-p}\right)^{\frac{p+1}{\beta+1}}, c_5 := \frac{1}{(T-t_0)^p (\varepsilon^2 \lambda_1^{\alpha})^{p-\beta}}.$ 

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{1}\delta &= \|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(k_{21}h_{n}^{\delta} - k_{22}g_{n}^{\delta})}{(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}})^{\beta+1} + \mu} X_{n}(x)\| \\ &\leq \|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu[k_{21}(h_{n}^{\delta} - h_{n}) - k_{22}(g_{n}^{\delta} - g_{n})]}{(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}})^{\beta+1} + \mu} X_{n}(x)\| \\ &+ \|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(k_{21}h_{n} - k_{22}g_{n})}{(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}})^{\beta+1} + \mu} X_{n}(x)\| \\ &\leq 2\delta + \sup_{n\geq 1} |\frac{\mu \frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}}{(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}})^{\beta+1} + \mu} |E \\ &= 2\delta + \sup_{n\geq 1} |C(n)|E. \end{aligned}$$

$$(5.12)$$

Using Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$C(n) \leq (\frac{1}{T-t_0})^p \frac{\mu(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^\alpha t_0} - e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^\alpha T}}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^\alpha})^{p+1}}{(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^\alpha t_0} - e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^\alpha T}}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^\alpha})^{\beta+1} + \mu}$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{T-t_0}\right)^p \frac{\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^\alpha t_0} - e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^\alpha T}}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^\alpha}\right)^{p+1}}{\frac{1}{\mu} \left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^\alpha t_0} - e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^\alpha T}}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^\alpha}\right)^{\beta+1} + 1}.$$

By Lemma 2.3 and 0 , we have

$$C(n) \le c_4 \mu^{\frac{p+1}{\beta+1}},$$

where  $c_4 = (\frac{1}{T-t_0})^p \frac{\beta-p}{\beta+1} (\frac{p+1}{\beta-p})^{\frac{p+1}{\beta+1}}$ . For  $p \ge \beta$ , we have

$$C(n) \leq \left(\frac{1}{T-t_0}\right)^p \frac{\mu\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^\alpha t_0} - e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^\alpha T}}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^\alpha}\right)^{p+1}}{\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^\alpha t_0} - e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^\alpha T}}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^\alpha}\right)^{\beta+1} + \mu}$$
$$\leq \mu \frac{1}{(T-t_0)^p} \left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^\alpha t_0} - e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^\alpha T}}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^\alpha}\right)^{p-\beta}$$
$$\leq \frac{\mu}{(T-t_0)^p (\varepsilon^2 \lambda_1^\alpha)^{p-\beta}}$$
$$= c_5 \mu.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\mu^{-1} \leq \begin{cases} \left(\frac{c_4}{\tau_1 - 2}\right)^{\frac{\beta+1}{p+1}} \left(\frac{E}{\delta}\right)^{\frac{\beta+1}{p+1}}, & 0$$

This proof is completed.

**Lemma 5.3.** Let  $f_{\mu}(x)$  be given by equation (4.4) and  $f_{\mu}^{\delta}(x)$  be given by equation (4.6), then we have

$$\|f_{\mu}^{\delta}(x) - f_{\mu}(x)\| \leq \begin{cases} c(\frac{c_{4}}{\tau_{1} - 2})^{\frac{1}{p+1}} E^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \delta^{\frac{p}{p+1}}, & 0 (5.13)$$

**Proof.** Using Lemma 5.2 and equation (5.5), we have

$$\begin{split} \|f_{\mu}^{\delta}(x) - f_{\mu}(x)\| &\leq c(\frac{1}{\mu})^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}\delta \\ &\leq \begin{cases} c(\frac{c_{4}}{\tau_{1}-2})^{\frac{1}{p+1}}E^{\frac{1}{p+1}}\delta^{\frac{p}{p+1}}, & 0$$

**Theorem 5.2.** Let f(x) be given by equation (3.17) and  $f^{\delta}_{\mu}(x)$  be given by equation (4.6). Suppose that f(x) satisfies a priori bound condition (3.19) and assumptions (1.3) and (1.4) hold. The regularization parameter  $\mu > 0$  is chosen by the Morozov discrepancy principle (5.9). Then

$$\|f_{\mu}^{\delta}(x) - f(x)\| \leq \begin{cases} (c(\frac{c_4}{\tau_1 - 2})^{\frac{1}{p+1}} + c_6) E^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \delta^{\frac{p}{p+1}}, & 0 (5.14)$$

where  $c_6 := \left(\frac{1}{T-t_0}\right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}} (8+2\tau_1^2)^{\frac{p}{2p+2}}.$ 

**Proof.** By the triangle inequality, we have

$$\|f_{\mu}^{\delta}(x) - f(x)\| \le \|f_{\mu}^{\delta}(x) - f_{\mu}(x)\| + \|f_{\mu}(x) - f(x)\|.$$

Firstly, we give an estimate for the second term.

$$\begin{split} \|f_{\mu}(x) - f(x)\|^{2} \\ = \|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(k_{21}h_{n} - k_{22}g_{n})}{\left[\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}\right)^{\beta+1} + \mu\right]\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \\ & \times \left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}} + \mu\right]\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}} + \mu\right]\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}} + \mu\right]\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}} + \mu\right]\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}} + \mu\right]\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \left(\frac{\mu(k_{21}h_{n} - k_{22}g_{n})}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}$$

$$\leq \sup_{n\geq 1}\left(\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}}$$

$$\leq \sup_{n\geq 1}\left(\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}}}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}}\right)^{2}$$

$$\leq \sup_{n\geq 1}\left(\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}}}}{\varepsilon$$

Thus

$$\|f_{\mu}(x) - f(x)\| \le \left(\frac{1}{T - t_0}\right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}} (8 + 2\tau_1^2)^{\frac{p}{2p+2}} E^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \delta^{\frac{p}{p+1}} = c_6 E^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \delta^{\frac{p}{p+1}}, \quad (5.15)$$

where  $c_6 = (\frac{1}{T-t_0})^{\frac{p}{p+1}} (8+2\tau_1^2)^{\frac{p}{2p+2}}$ . Combining Lemma 5.3, we have

$$\|f_{\mu}^{\delta}(x) - f(x)\| \leq \begin{cases} (c(\frac{c_4}{\tau_1 - 2})^{\frac{1}{p+1}} + c_6) E^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \delta^{\frac{p}{p+1}}, & 0$$

#### 5.3. The priori regularization parameter choice rule of the initial value

**Theorem 5.3.** Let  $\varphi(x)$  be given by equation (3.18) and  $\varphi_{\mu}^{\delta}(x)$  be given by equation (4.7). Suppose that  $\varphi(x)$  satisfies a priori bounded condition (3.20) and assumptions (1.3), (1.4) hold. Choosing the regularization parameter:

$$\mu = \begin{cases} (\frac{\delta}{E})^{\frac{\beta+1}{p+1}}, & 0$$

then we obtain the following error estimate:

$$\|\varphi_{\mu}^{\delta}(x) - \varphi(x)\| \leq \begin{cases} (c'+c_1)E^{\frac{1}{p+1}}\delta^{\frac{p}{p+1}}, & 0 (5.16)$$

where  $c' := 2 \frac{1}{\beta+1} \beta^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_1^{\alpha}}$ .

**Proof.** By using the triangle inequality, we have

$$\|\varphi_{\mu}^{\delta}(x) - \varphi(x)\| \le \|\varphi_{\mu}^{\delta}(x) - \varphi_{\mu}(x)\| + \|\varphi_{\mu}(x) - \varphi(x)\|.$$

Firstly, we give an estimate for the first term. From equations (4.5), (4.7), we have

$$\begin{split} \|\varphi_{\mu}^{\delta}(x) - \varphi_{\mu}(x)\| \\ = \|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}})^{\beta} [(k_{12}g_{n}^{\delta} - k_{11}h_{n}^{\delta}) - (k_{12}g_{n} - k_{11}h_{n})]}{(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}})^{\beta+1} + \mu} X_{n}(x)\| \\ \leq \sup_{n\geq 1} |\frac{(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}})^{\beta}}{(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}})^{\beta+1} + \mu} |(\|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_{12}(g_{n}^{\delta} - g_{n})X_{n}(x)\| \\ + \|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_{11}(h_{n}^{\delta} - h_{n})X_{n}(x)\|) \\ \leq \sup_{n\geq 1} |A(n)|\frac{2}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{1}^{\alpha}}}\delta, \end{split}$$
(5.17)

where  $A(n) = \frac{(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} t_0} - e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} T}}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha}})^{\beta}}{(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} t_0} - \varepsilon^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha} T}}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_n^{\alpha}})^{\beta+1} + \mu}$ .

By using equation (5.4), we obtain

$$\|\varphi_{\mu}^{\delta}(x) - \varphi_{\mu}(x)\| \le c'(\frac{1}{\mu})^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}\delta,$$
(5.18)

where  $c' = 2 \frac{1}{\beta+1} \beta^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_1^{\alpha}}$ .

Then we estimate the second term by equations (4.5) and (3.18),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_{\mu}(x) - \varphi(x)\| \\ = \|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}\right)^{\beta}}{\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}\right)^{\beta+1} + \mu} - \frac{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}})(k_{12}g_{n} - k_{11}h_{n})X_{n}(x)\| \\ = \|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu}{\left[\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}\right)^{\beta+1} + \mu\right]\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}\right)}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}\right)(k_{12}g_{n} - k_{11}h_{n})X_{n}(x)\| \\ \leq \sup_{n\geq 1} |B(n)|E. \end{aligned}$$
(5.19)

By equation (5.7), we deduce that

$$\|\varphi_{\mu}(x) - \varphi(x)\| \le \begin{cases} c_{1}\mu^{\frac{p}{\beta+1}}E, & 0 (5.20)$$

Combining equation (5.18) with equation (5.20), we choose the regularized parameter  $\mu$  by

$$\mu = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\delta}{E}\right)^{\frac{\beta+1}{p+1}}, & 0$$

Then

$$\|\varphi_{\mu}^{\delta}(x) - \varphi(x)\| \le \begin{cases} (c'+c_1)E^{\frac{1}{p+1}}\delta^{\frac{p}{p+1}}, & 0$$

The proof is completed.

# 5.4. The posteriori regularization choice rule of the initial value

The Morozov discrepancy principle is used to find  $\mu$  as follows:

$$\|K\varphi_{\mu}^{\delta}(x) - (K_{12}g^{\delta}(x) - K_{11}h^{\delta}(x))\| = \tau_2\delta,$$
(5.21)

where  $\tau_2 > \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_1^{\alpha}}$  is a constant. According to the following lemma, we know there exists a unique solution for equation (5.21) if  $||K_{12}g^{\delta}(x) - K_{11}h^{\delta}(x)|| > \tau_2 \delta$ .

**Lemma 5.4.**  $\rho_2(\mu) = \|K\varphi_{\mu}^{\delta}(x) - (K_{12}g^{\delta}(x) - K_{11}h^{\delta}(x))\|$ , the following results hold

- (a)  $\rho_2(\mu)$  is a continuous function;
- (b)  $\lim_{\mu \to 0} \rho_2(\mu) = 0;$
- (c)  $\lim_{\mu \to \infty} \rho_2(\mu) = \|K_{12}g^{\delta}(x) K_{11}h^{\delta}(x)\|;$
- (d)  $\rho_2(\mu)$  is a strictly increasing function over  $\mu \in (0, \infty)$ .

Lemma 5.5. Suppose (1.3), (1.4) and the priori bound condition (3.20) hold, we obtain

$$\mu^{-1} \leq \begin{cases} \left(\frac{c_4}{\tau_2 - \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_1^{\alpha}}}\right)^{\frac{\beta+1}{p+1}} \left(\frac{E}{\delta}\right)^{\frac{\beta+1}{p+1}}, & 0 (5.22)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{2}\delta &= \|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(k_{12}g_{n}^{\delta} - k_{11}h_{n}^{\delta})}{(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}})^{\beta+1} + \mu} X_{n}(x)\| \\ &\leq \|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu[k_{12}(g_{n}^{\delta} - g_{n}) - k_{11}(h_{n}^{\delta} - h_{n})]}{(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}})^{\beta+1} + \mu} X_{n}(x)\| \\ &+ \|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(k_{12}g_{n} - k_{11}h_{n})}{(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}})^{\beta+1} + \mu} X_{n}(x)\| \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{1}^{\alpha}} \delta + \sup_{n\geq 1} |\frac{\mu \frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}})^{\beta+1} + \mu}| E \\ &= \frac{2}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{1}^{\alpha}} \delta + \sup_{n\geq 1} |C(n)|E. \end{aligned}$$
(5.23)

From the previous analysis of C(n), we obtain

$$\mu^{-1} \leq \begin{cases} \left(\frac{c_4}{\tau_2 - \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_1^{\alpha}}}\right)^{\frac{\beta+1}{p+1}} \left(\frac{E}{\delta}\right)^{\frac{\beta+1}{p+1}}, & 0$$

This proof is completed.

**Lemma 5.6.** Let  $\varphi_{\mu}(x)$  be given by equation (4.5) and  $\varphi_{\mu}^{\delta}(x)$  be given by equation (4.7), then we have

$$\|\varphi_{\mu}^{\delta}(x) - \varphi_{\mu}(x)\| \leq \begin{cases} c'(\frac{c_{4}}{\tau_{2} - \frac{2}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{1}^{\alpha}}})^{\frac{1}{p+1}}E^{\frac{1}{p+1}}\delta^{\frac{p}{p+1}}, & 0 (5.24)$$

**Proof.** Using Lemma 5.5 and equation (5.18), we have

$$\|\varphi_{\mu}^{\delta}(x) - \varphi_{\mu}(x)\| \le c'(\frac{1}{\mu})^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}\delta$$

$$\leq \begin{cases} c'(\frac{c_4}{\tau_2 - \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_1^{\alpha}}})^{\frac{1}{p+1}} E^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \delta^{\frac{p}{p+1}}, & 0$$

**Theorem 5.4.** Let  $\varphi(x)$  be given by equation (3.18) and  $\varphi_{\mu}^{\delta}(x)$  be given by equation (4.7). Suppose that  $\varphi(x)$  satisfies a priori bound condition (3.20) and assumptions (1.3) and (1.4) hold. The regularization parameter  $\mu > 0$  is chosen by the Morozov discrepancy principle (5.21). Then

$$\|\varphi_{\mu}^{\delta}(x) - \varphi(x)\| \leq \begin{cases} (c'(\frac{c_4}{\tau_2 - \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_1^{\alpha}}})^{\frac{1}{p+1}} + c_7) E^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \delta^{\frac{p}{p+1}}, & 0 (5.25)$$

where  $c_7 := \left(\frac{1}{T-t_0}\right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}} \left(\frac{8}{(\varepsilon^2 \lambda_1^{\alpha})^2} + 2\tau_2^2\right)^{\frac{p}{2p+2}}$ .

**Proof.** By using the triangle inequality, we have

$$\|\varphi_{\mu}^{\delta}(x) - \varphi(x)\| \le \|\varphi_{\mu}^{\delta}(x) - \varphi_{\mu}(x)\| + \|\varphi_{\mu}(x) - \varphi(x)\|.$$

Firstly, we give an estimate for the second term.

$$\begin{split} &\|\varphi_{\mu}(x)-\varphi(x)\|^{2} \\ = \|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(k_{12}g_{n}-k_{11}h_{n})}{[(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}}-e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}})^{\beta+1}+\mu](\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}}-e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}})^{X_{n}(x)}\|^{2} \\ = \|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\frac{\mu(k_{12}g_{n}-k_{11}h_{n})}{[(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}}-e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}})^{\beta+1}+\mu](\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}}-e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}))^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \\ & \times (\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}}-e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}})^{\frac{p}{p+1}}(\frac{\mu(k_{12}g_{n}-k_{11}h_{n})}{[(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}}-e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}})^{\beta+1}+\mu])^{\frac{p}{p+1}}X_{n}(x)\|^{2} \\ \leq (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ((\frac{\mu(k_{12}g_{n}-k_{11}h_{n})}{((\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}}-e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}})^{\beta+1}+\mu](\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}}-e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}})^{\beta+1}+\mu])^{\frac{p}{p+1}})^{\frac{p}{p+1}})^{\frac{p}{p+1}})^{\frac{p}{p+1}})^{\frac{p}{p+1}} \\ \times (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ((\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}}-e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}})^{\frac{-p}{p+1}}e^{\frac{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}})^{\beta+1}+\mu]})^{2} E^{\frac{p}{p+1}} \\ & \times (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ((\frac{\mu(k_{12}g_{n}-k_{11}h_{n})}{((\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}}-e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}})^{\beta+1}+\mu]})^{\frac{p}{p+1}})^{\frac{p}{p+1}}} \\ & \leq \sup_{n\geq 1} (D(n))^{2} E^{\frac{p}{2}+1} (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\frac{\mu(k_{12}g_{n}-k_{11}h_{n})}{((\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}}-e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}})^{\beta+1}+\mu]})^{2} \\ & \leq \sup_{n\geq 1} (D(n))^{2} E^{\frac{p}{2}+1} (2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\frac{\mu(k_{12}g_{n}-k_{11}h_{n})}{((\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}}-e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}})^{\beta+1}+\mu]})^{2} \\ & \leq \sup_{n\geq 1} (D(n))^{2} E^{\frac{p}{2}+1} \cdot (2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\frac{\mu(k_{12}g_{n}-g_{n}h_{1}+k_{n})}{((\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}-e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}}})^{\beta+1}+\mu]})^{2} \\ \end{array}$$

$$+ 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\mu(k_{12}g_n^{\delta} - k_{11}h_n^{\delta})}{\left[\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^2\lambda_n^{\alpha}t_0} - e^{-\varepsilon^2\lambda_n^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^2\lambda_n^{\alpha}}\right)^{\beta+1} + \mu\right]}\right)^2\right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}} \\ \leq \sup_{n\geq 1} (D(n))^2 E^{\frac{2}{p+1}} \left(\frac{8}{(\varepsilon^2\lambda_1^{\alpha})^2} + 2\tau_2^2\right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}} \delta^{\frac{2p}{p+1}}, \\ \text{where } D(n) = \left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^2\lambda_n^{\alpha}t_0} - e^{-\varepsilon^2\lambda_n^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^2\lambda_n^{\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{-p}{p+1}} e^{\frac{-\varepsilon^2\lambda_n^{\alpha}Tp}{p+1}}. \\ \text{Thus} \\ \|\varphi_{\mu}(x) - \varphi(x)\| \leq \left(\frac{1}{T-t_0}\right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}} \left(\frac{8}{(\varepsilon^2\lambda_1^{\alpha})^2} + 2\tau_2^2\right)^{\frac{p}{2p+2}} E^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \delta^{\frac{p}{p+1}}.$$
(5.26)

Combining Lemma 5.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_{\mu}^{\delta}(x) - \varphi(x)\| &\leq \begin{cases} (c'(\frac{c_4}{\tau_2 - \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2 \lambda_1^{\alpha}}})^{\frac{1}{p+1}} + c_7) E^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \delta^{\frac{p}{p+1}}, & 0 
re  $c_7 = (\frac{1}{\tau_1 + \epsilon_1})^{\frac{p}{p+1}} (\frac{8}{(\varepsilon^2)^{\alpha}} + 2\tau_2^2)^{\frac{p}{2p+2}}.$$$

where  $c_7 = \left(\frac{1}{T-t_0}\right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}} \left(\frac{8}{(\varepsilon^2 \lambda_1^{\alpha})^2} + 2\tau_2^2\right)^{\frac{p}{2p+2}}$ .

### 6. Numerical implementation

In this section, we are going to use numerical examples and software to verify the efficiency of our method. We solve the following direct problem to obtain g(x) and h(x).

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} = \varepsilon^2 L_{\alpha} u(x,t) + f(x), & x \in \Omega, \ t \in (0,T], \\ u(x,0) = \varphi(x), & x \in \Omega, \\ u(x,t) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \ t \in (0,T], \\ u(x,t_0) = g(x), & x \in \Omega, \ t_0 \in (0,T], \\ u(x,T) = h(x), & x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$
(6.1)

We define

$$x_i = i\Delta x \ (i = 0, 1, \cdots, M+1), t_j = j\Delta t \ (j = 0, 1, \cdots, N),$$

where  $\Delta x = \frac{1}{M+1}$  is the step size of space and  $\Delta t$  is the step size of time. Let g(x) = 0,  $\lambda = 0$  in [24], we can obtain

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}U = C_{\alpha}BU,$$
  

$$U_{i} = u(x_{i}), i = 1, 2, \cdots, M,$$
  

$$U = (U_{1}, U_{2}, \cdots, U_{M})^{T},$$
  

$$B = (h_{i,p})_{i,p=1}^{M},$$
  

$$\varphi = (\varphi(x_{1}), \varphi(x_{2}), \cdots, \varphi(x_{M}))^{T},$$
  

$$F = (f(x_{1}), f(x_{2}), \cdots, f(x_{M}))^{T},$$

where  $C_{\alpha} = \frac{4^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \Gamma(1/2 + \frac{\alpha}{2})}{\pi^{1/2} |\Gamma(-\frac{\alpha}{2})|}$ , and *B* is a strictly diagonally dominant symmetric positive-definite matrix.  $B \triangleq (h_{i,p})_{i,p=1}^{M}$ , where

$$h_{i,p} \triangleq \begin{cases} -(Z_1(i,p+1) + Z_2(i,p))\frac{1}{i-p}, & 1 \le p \le i-2, \\ -\frac{h^{-\alpha}}{2-\alpha} - Z_2(i,i-1), & p = i-1, \\ -\frac{h^{-\alpha}}{2-\alpha} - Z_3(i,i+2), & p = i+1, \\ -(Z_3(i,p+1) + Z_4(i,p))\frac{1}{p-i}, & i+2 \le p \le M, \end{cases}$$

and  $h_{i,i}$  satisfies:

$$h_{i,i} + \sum_{p=1, p \neq i}^{M} h_{i,p} - Y_1(i) - Y_2(i) = \begin{cases} \frac{h^{-\alpha}}{2-\alpha} + \frac{Z_4(i, M+1)}{M+1-i}, & i = 1, \\ \frac{Z_1(i, 1)}{i} + \frac{Z_4(i, M+1)}{M+1-i}, & 2 \le i \le M-1, \\ \frac{h^{-\alpha}}{2-\alpha} + \frac{Z_1(i, 1)}{i}, & i = M. \end{cases}$$

By a simple calculation, for  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ , we have

$$\begin{split} Z_1(i,p+1) + Z_2(i,p) &= Z_3(i,p+1) + Z_4(i,p) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{h^{-\alpha}}{(\alpha-1)(2-\alpha)} [2|i-p|^{2-\alpha} - (|i-p|-1)^{2-\alpha} \\ -(|i-p|+1)^{2-\alpha}], & \alpha \neq 1, \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{h^{-\alpha}}{h} [-2|i-p|\ln(|i-p|) \\ +(|i-p|+1)\ln(|i-p|+1) \\ +(|i-p|-1)\ln(|i-p|-1)], & \alpha = 1, \end{cases} \\ Z_2(i,i-1) &= Z_3(i,i+2) = \begin{cases} \frac{h^{-\alpha}}{(\alpha-1)(2-\alpha)} (3-\alpha-2^{2-\alpha}), & \alpha \neq 1, \\ \frac{1}{h} [2\ln 2-1], & \alpha = 1, \end{cases} \\ Z_1(i,1) &= \begin{cases} \frac{h^{-\alpha}}{(\alpha-1)(2-\alpha)} [i^{2-\alpha} - (i-1)^{2-\alpha} - (2-\alpha)i^{1-\alpha}], & \alpha \neq 1, \\ \frac{1}{h} [(1-i)\ln(\frac{i}{i-1})+1], & \alpha = 1, \end{cases} \\ Z_4(i,M+1) &= \begin{cases} \frac{h^{-\alpha}}{(\alpha-1)(2-\alpha)} [(M+1-i)^{2-\alpha} - (M-i)^{2-\alpha} \\ -(2-\alpha)(M+1-i)^{1-\alpha}], & \alpha \neq 1, \\ \frac{1}{h} [(i-M)\ln(\frac{M+1-i}{M-i})+1], & \alpha = 1, \end{cases} \end{split}$$

$$Y_1(i) = \frac{(x_i)^{-\alpha}}{\alpha}, \quad Y_2(i) = \frac{(1-x_i)^{-\alpha}}{\alpha}$$

According to the numerical differentiation formula, we have

$$\frac{\partial u(x_i, t_j)}{\partial t} \approx \frac{1}{\Delta t} (u_i^j - u_i^{j-1})$$

Thus we can obtain

$$\frac{1}{\Delta t}(U^j - U^{j-1}) + C_{\alpha}BU^j = F, \quad 1 \le j \le N,$$
$$U_0 = \varphi.$$

The following iterative format can be obtained.

$$(I + \Delta t C_{\alpha} B)U^{j} = U^{j-1} + \Delta t F, \quad 1 \le j \le N.$$

$$(6.2)$$

Using the Tikhonov regularization method, we obtain the regularized solutions of measurement data  $g^{\delta}(x)$  and  $h^{\delta}(x)$  with error:

$$f_{\mu}^{\delta}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}\right)^{\beta}}{\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}\right)^{\beta+1} + \mu} (k_{21}h_{n}^{\delta} - k_{22}g_{n}^{\delta})X_{n}(x),$$
  
$$\varphi_{\mu}^{\delta}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}\right)^{\beta}}{\left(\frac{e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}t_{0}} - e^{-\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}T}}{\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}\right)^{\beta+1} + \mu} (k_{12}g_{n}^{\delta} - k_{11}h_{n}^{\delta})X_{n}(x).$$

m is the truncation parameter and m = 10.

We generate the noise-contaminated data by adding a random perturbation, i.e.,

$$g^{\delta}(x) = g(x) + \varepsilon \cdot g(x) rand(size(g)), \qquad (6.3)$$

$$h^{\delta}(x) = h(x) + \varepsilon \cdot h(x) rand(size(h)), \qquad (6.4)$$

here, size(g) represents the size of g in space, size(h) represents the size of h in space, the function  $rand(\cdot)$  generates arrays of random numbers whose elements are normally distributed with mean 0, variance  $\sigma^2 = 1$ , and the noise level is:

$$\delta_1 = \|g^{\delta} - g\| = \sqrt{\frac{1}{M+1} \sum_{i=1}^{M+1} (g_i - g_i^{\delta})^2},$$
(6.5)

$$\delta_2 = \|h^{\delta} - h\| = \sqrt{\frac{1}{M+1} \sum_{i=1}^{M+1} (h_i - h_i^{\delta})^2}.$$
(6.6)

In general, the priori bound E is difficult to obtain, thus we choose the posteriori parameter rule which is independent of E and let takes  $\tau_1 = 2.1, \tau_2 = 1.1$ . To verify the stability of numerical results, the following Root-mean-square deviation is defined:

$$e_{r_1} = \frac{\sqrt{\sum (f - f_{\mu}^{\delta})^2}}{\sqrt{\sum f^2}},$$
(6.7)



Figure 1. The exact solution f(x) and regularization solution  $f_{\mu}^{\delta}(x)$  for  $(a)\alpha = 0.3$ ,  $(b)\alpha = 0.7$ .



Figure 2. The exact solution  $\varphi(x)$  and regularization solution  $\varphi_{\mu}^{\delta}(x)$  for (a) $\alpha = 0.3$ , (b) $\alpha = 0.7$ .

$$e_{r_2} = \frac{\sqrt{\sum(\varphi - \varphi_{\mu}^{\delta})^2}}{\sqrt{\sum \varphi^2}}.$$
(6.8)

For convenience, we let M = 100, N = 30,  $\varepsilon = 1$ , d = 1,  $\Omega = (0, 1)$ ,  $T_0 = 0.5$ and T = 1.  $X_n(x)$  and  $\lambda_n^{\alpha}$  are the characteristic functions and eigenvalues of operator  $(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ . By calculation, the characteristic function  $X_n(x) = \sqrt{2}sin(n\pi x)$ and eigenvalues  $\lambda_n = n\pi$  can be obtained, where  $n = 1, 2, \cdots$ .

**Example 6.1.** We consider the following equations:

$$f(x) = 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\sin(x), \ \ \varphi(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\sin(x), \ \ x \in [0,1].$$

**Table 1.** Numerical results of Example 1 for different  $\varepsilon$ 

ε			0.05	0.01	0.001
f(x)	$\alpha = 0.3$	$e_{r1}$	0.0040	0.0039	0.0039
	$\alpha = 0.7$	$e_{r1}$	0.0037	0.0035	0.0035
$\varphi(x)$	$\alpha = 0.3$	$e_{r2}$	0.0054	0.0033	0.0029
	$\alpha = 0.7$	$e_{r2}$	0.0029	0.0015	0.0012



Figure 3. The exact solution f(x) and regularization solution  $f_{\mu}^{\delta}(x)$  for  $(a)\alpha = 0.3$ ,  $(b)\alpha = 0.55$ .



**Figure 4.** The exact solution  $\varphi(x)$  and regularization solution  $\varphi_{\mu}^{\delta}(x)$  for (a) $\alpha = 0.3$ , (b) $\alpha = 0.55$ .

In Table 1, we can see when  $\alpha = 0.3$  and  $\alpha = 0.7$ , the larger the noisy level, the larger the relative error level for the exact solutions and the regularization solutions, respectively. It can say that when the space-fractional order  $\alpha$  is fixed a constant, as the noise level increases, the numerical effect becomes worse and worse.

Figure 1 shows the exact f(x) and its Tikhonov regularization solution  $f^{\delta}_{\mu}(x)$  for the relative error levels  $\varepsilon = 0.05, 0.01, 0.001$  with various values  $\alpha = 0.3, 0.7$ . Figure 2 shows the exact  $\varphi(x)$  and its Tikhonov regularization solution  $\varphi^{\delta}_{\mu}(x)$  for the relative error levels  $\varepsilon = 0.05, 0.01, 0.001$  with various values  $\alpha = 0.3, 0.7$ .

It can be seen from Figures 1-2 that the Tikhonov regularization method is very effective for solving the inverse problem of space-fractional Allen-Cahn equation.

**Example 6.2.** Consider the following equations:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 4x, & x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}), \\ -4(x-1), & x \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1], \end{cases}$$
$$\varphi(x) = \sin(2\pi x), & x \in [0, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Figure 3 shows the exact f(x) and its Tikhonov regularization solution  $f^{\delta}_{\mu}(x)$  for the relative error levels  $\varepsilon = 0.05, 0.01, 0.001$  with value  $\alpha = 0.3, 0.55$ . Figure 4 shows the exact  $\varphi(x)$  and its Tikhonov regularization solution  $\varphi^{\delta}_{\mu}(x)$  for the relative error levels  $\varepsilon = 0.05, 0.01, 0.001$  with value  $\alpha = 0.3, 0.55$ . From these images, it can

ε			0.05	0.01	0.001
f(x)	$\alpha = 0.3$	$e_{r1}$	0.0156	0.0130	0.0127
	$\alpha=0.55$	$e_{r1}$	0.0066	0.0051	0.0050
$\varphi(x)$	$\alpha = 0.3$	$e_{r2}$	0.0212	0.0099	0.0094
	$\alpha = 0.55$	$e_{r2}$	0.0283	0.0163	0.0169

**Table 2.** Numerical results of Example 2 for different  $\varepsilon$ 

be seen that Tikhonov regularization method has certain limitations in handing inflection points.

**Example 6.3.** Consider the following discontinuous equations:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}), \\ 1, & x \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1], \end{cases}$$
$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in [0, \frac{3}{10}), \\ 0, & x \in [\frac{3}{10}, \frac{3}{4}), \\ 1, & x \in [\frac{3}{4}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Figure 5 shows the exact f(x) and its Tikhonov regularization solution  $f_{\mu}^{\delta}(x)$ 

ε			0.05	0.01	0.001
f(x)	$\alpha = 0.3$	$e_{r1}$	0.0517	0.0464	0.0466
	$\alpha=0.45$	$e_{r1}$	0.0088	0.0061	0.0060
$\varphi(x)$	$\alpha = 0.3$	$e_{r2}$	0.0260	0.0158	0.0160
	$\alpha=0.45$	$e_{r2}$	0.0860	0.0612	0.0805

**Table 3.** Numerical results of Example 3 for different  $\varepsilon$ 

for the relative error levels  $\varepsilon = 0.05, 0.01, 0.001$  with value  $\alpha = 0.3, 0.45$ . Figure 6 shows the exact  $\varphi(x)$  and its Tikhonov regularization solution  $\varphi_{\mu}^{\delta}(x)$  for the relative error levels  $\varepsilon = 0.05, 0.01, 0.001$  with value  $\alpha = 0.3, 0.45$ . Obviously, this method produces large errors when dealing with discontinuous function, but it can still be used to approximate the exact solution.

Through the above examples, we find that from Figures 1-6, it can be seen that the fitting results of different  $\alpha$  are not significantly different. From Tables 1-3, it can be seen that the smaller the relative error level, the better the approximation effect. This indicates that regardless of how  $\alpha$  changes in [0, 1], image fitting is relatively stable. This also means that the fractional order Tikhonov regularization method is effective.



Figure 5. The exact solution f(x) and regularization solution  $f_{\mu}^{\delta}(x)$  for  $(a)\alpha = 0.3$ ,  $(b)\alpha = 0.45$ .



Figure 6. The exact solution  $\varphi(x)$  and regularization solution  $\varphi_{\mu}^{\delta}(x)$  for  $(a)\alpha = 0.3$ ,  $(b)\alpha = 0.45$ .

### 7. Conclusion

In this paper, we consider an inverse problem to identify simultaneously the source term and initial value of space-fractional Allen-Cahn equation. We use the fractional Tikhonov method to overcome the ill-posedness. The error estimations are obtained under a priori regularization parameter choice rule and a posteriori regularization parameter choice rule, respectively. And we compare this method from error estimates and numerical results. The numerical tests are presented to show the validity and the advantage of the proposed schemes.

### **Disclosure** statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author.

#### References

- S. M. Allen and J. W. Cahn, A microscopic theory for antiphase boundary motion and its application to antiphase domain coarsening, Acta Metall., 1979, 27(6), 1085–1095.
- [2] Q. Du, C. Liu and X. Wang, A phase field approach in the numerical study

of the elastic bending energy for vesicle membranes, J. Comput. Phys., 2004, 198(2), 450–468.

- [3] L. C. Evans, H. M. Soner and P. E. Souganidis, *Phase transitions and generalized motion by mean curvature*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math., 1992, 45(9), 1097–1123.
- [4] L. C. Evans and J. Spruck, Motion of level sets by mean curvature, J. Differ. Geom., 1991, 33(3), 635–681.
- [5] X. F. Yang, Error analysis of stabilized semi-implicit method of Allen-Cahn equation, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems - B, 2009, 11(4), 1057– 1070.
- [6] T. Tang and J. Yang, Implicit-explicit scheme for the Allen-Cahn equation preserves the maximum principle, J. Comput. Math., 2016, 34(5), 471–781.
- [7] J. W. Choi, H. G. Lee, et al., An unconditionally gradient stable numerical method for solving the Allen-Cahn equation, Physica A, 2009, 388(9), 1791– 1803.
- [8] T. Hou, D. Xiu and W. Jiang, A new second-order maximum-principle preserving finite difference scheme for Allen-Cahn equations with periodic boundary conditions, Appl. Math. Lett., 2020, 104(0), 106265.
- [9] H. Zhang, J. Yan, X. Qian, et al., On the preserving of the maximum principle and energy stability of high-order implicit-explicit Runge-Kutta schemes for the space-fractional Allen-Cahn equation, Numer. Algorithms, 2021, 88(3), 1309– 1336.
- [10] T. Hou, T. Tang and J. Yang, Numerical analysis of fully discretized Crank-Nicolson scheme for fractional-in-space Allen-Cahn equations, J. Sci. Comput., 2017, 72(3), 1214–1231.
- [11] D. He, K. Pan and H. Hu, A spatial fourth-order maximum principle preserving operator splitting scheme for the multi-dimensional fractional Allen-Cahn equation, Appl. Numer. Math., 2020. DOI: 10.1016/j.apnum.2019.12.018.
- [12] H. Chen and H. Sun, A dimensional splitting exponential time differencing scheme for multidimensional fractional Allen-Cahn equations, J. Sci. Comput., 2021, 87(1), 1–25.
- [13] H. Chen and H. Sun, Second-order maximum principle preserving Strang's splitting schemes for anisotropic fractional Allen-Cahn equations, Numer. Algorithms, 2022, 90(2), 749–771.
- [14] F. Yang, Y. P. Ren and X. X. Li, Landweber iterative method for identifying a space-dependent source for the time-fractional diffusion equation, Bound. Value Probl., 2017, 2017(1), 163.
- [15] F. Yang, X. Liu and X. X. Li, Landweber iterative regularization method for identifying the unknown source of the time-fractional diffusion equation, Adv. Differ. Equ., 2017, 2017(1), 388.
- [16] H. Jafari, A. Babaei and S. Banihashemi, A novel approach for solving an inverse reaction-diffusion-convection problem, J. Optimiz. Theory App., 2019, 183(2), 688–704.

- [17] F. Yang and C. L. Fu, The quasi-reversibility regularization method for identifying the unknown source for time fractional diffusion equation, Appl. Math. Model., 2015, 39(5–6), 1500–1512.
- [18] F. Yang, C. L. Fu and X. X. Li, The inverse source problem for time fractional diffusion equation: Stability analysis and regularization, Inverse Probl. Sci. Eng., 2015, 23(6), 969–996.
- [19] F. Yang, C. L. Fu and X. X. Li, A mollification regularization method for unknown source in time-fractional diffusion equation, Int. J. Comput. Math., 2014, 91(7), 1516–1534.
- [20] Z. Qian and X. L. Feng, A fractional Tikhonov method for solving a Cauchy problem of Helmholtz equation, Appl. Anal., 2017, 96(10), 1656–1668.
- [21] Q. Yang, F. Liu and I. Turner, Numerical methods for fractional partial differential equations with riesz space fractional derivatives, Appl. Math. Model., 2010, 34(1), 200–218.
- [22] E. Klann and R. Ramlau, Regularization by fractional filter methods and data smoothing, Inverse Prol., 2008, 24(2), 025018.
- [23] X. M. Xue and X. T. Xiong, A fractional Tikhonov regularization method for identifying a space-dependent source in the time-fractional diffusion equation, Appl. Math. Comput., 2019. DOI: 10.1016/j.amc.2018.12.063.
- [24] Z. J. Zhang, W. H. Deng and H. T. Fan, *Finite difference schemes for the tempered fractional Laplacian*, Numer. Math. Theor. Meth. Appl., 2019, 12(2), 492–516.
- [25] M. E. Hochstenbach and L. Reichel, Fractional Tikhonov regularization for linear discrete ill-posed problems, BIT., 2011, 51(1), 197–215.