THE SHARP BOUNDS OF HANKEL DETERMINANTS FOR THE FOUR-LEAF-TYPE BOUNDED TURNING FUNCTIONS*

Dong Guo¹, Huo Tang², Xi Luo³ and Zong-Tao Li^{4,†}

Abstract In the paper, a family of bounded turning functions involving a four-leaf-type domain is studied in the unit disk. The goal of the study is to explore the bounds of second and the third Hankel determinant for functions in the class. All of obtained bounds have been sharp.

Keywords Hankel determinant, bounded turning functions, four-leaf-type.

MSC(2010) 30C45, 30C50.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{H} be the class of all functions $g(\zeta)$ which are holomorphic in unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : |\zeta| < 1\}$ and with the normalization g(0) = g'(0) - 1 = 0. Therefore, for $g(\zeta) \in \mathcal{H}$, one has

$$g(\zeta) = \zeta + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n \zeta^n \quad (\zeta \in \mathbb{U}).$$
(1.1)

Let $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{H}$ represent all functions that are univalent in \mathbb{U} .

For given parameters $j, n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3...\}$, the Hankel determinant $H_{j,n}(g)$ was defined by Pommerenke [8,9] for a function $g \in S$ having power series expansion

[†]The corresponding author.

 $^{^1\}mathrm{School}$ of Mathematical Sciences, Yangzhou Polytechnic College, Jiangsu 225009, China

 $^{^2 {\}rm College}$ of Mathematics and Computer Science, Chifeng University, Inner Mongolia024000, China

³School of Mathematics, Jiaying University, Guangdong 514015, China

⁴School of Public Basic Education, Guangzhou Civil Aviation College, Guangdong 510403, China

^{*}The authors were supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Anhui Provincial Department of Education (No. KJ2020A0993, KJ2020ZD74) and the high-level talent research start-up project (No. DC2300000286). Email: gd791217@163.com(D. Guo),

thth2009@163.com(H. Tang),

^{93030910@}qq.com(X. Luo),

lizt2046@163.com(Z.-T. Li)

(1.1) as follows:

$$H_{j,n}(g) = \begin{vmatrix} b_n & b_{n+1} \cdots & b_{n+j-1} \\ b_{n+1} & b_{n+2} \cdots & b_{n+j} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ b_{n+j-1} & b_{n+j} \cdots & b_{n+2j-2} \end{vmatrix}$$

1

The bound of $|H_{j,n}(g)|$ has been evaluated for different subclasses of univalent functions. Exceptionally, for each of the sets \mathcal{K} , \mathcal{S}^* and \mathcal{R} the sharp bound of the determinant $|H_{2,2}(g)| = |b_2b_4 - b_3^3|$ were obtained by Janteng et al. [3,4]. For more work on $|H_{2,2}(g)|$, see [2,7,13]. The determinant

$$H_{3,1}(g) = 2b_2b_3b_4 - b_3^3 - b_4^2 + b_3b_5 - b_2^2b_5$$
(1.2)

is known as third order Hankel determinant and the estimation of this determinant $|H_{3,1}(g)|$ is a challenging task. Recently, the sharp bounds of $|H_{3,1}(g)|$ for some subclasses of univalent functions were found by few authors [5,10,11,14–18,20–23].

In [1], Alshehry and his coauthors introduced a subfamily of starlike functions associated with a four-leaf function defined by

$$\mathcal{S}_{4l}^* = \bigg\{ g \in \mathcal{S} : \frac{\zeta g'(\zeta)}{g(\zeta)} \prec 1 + \frac{5}{6}\zeta + \frac{1}{6}\zeta^5, (\zeta \in \mathbb{U}) \bigg\}.$$

Similar to the definition of \mathcal{S}_{4l}^* , Sunthrayuth et al. [19] defined a new subclass of bounded turning functions defined by

$$\mathcal{B}T_{4l} = \left\{ g \in \mathcal{S} : g'(\zeta) \prec 1 + \frac{5}{6}\zeta + \frac{1}{6}\zeta^5, (\zeta \in \mathbb{U}) \right\}.$$

Alshehry et al. [1] obtained the sharp bounds of logarithmic coefficients, and the second-order Hankel determinant of of logarithmic coefficients. In 2022, Shi et al. [14] obtained the sharp bound of the third-order Hankel determinant and showned that $|H_{3,1}| \leq \frac{25}{324}$ for the class \mathcal{S}_{4l}^* . Sunthrayuth and his coauthors [19] studied the second-order Hankel determinant, Kruskal inequality and the bounds of the coefficient inequalities of the class \mathcal{BT}_{4l} .

In the paper, we study and obtain the sharp bounds of the second and thirdorder Hankel determinant of bounded turning class $\mathcal{B}T_{4l}$.

Let \mathcal{P} be the family of functions p that are holomorphic in \mathbb{U} with $Rep(\zeta) > 0$ and the power series form as follow:

$$p(\zeta) = p_1 \zeta + p_2 \zeta^2 + p_3 \zeta^3 + \dots \quad (\zeta \in \mathbb{U}).$$
(1.3)

Lemma 1.1 ([6,12]). If $p \in \mathcal{P}$ is of the form (1.3), then

$$2p_2 = p_1^2 + y(4 - p_1^2), (1.4)$$

$$4p_3 = p_1^3 + 2p_1y(4 - p_1^2) - p_1y^2(4 - p_1^2) + 2(4 - p_1^2)(1 - |y|^2)\delta,$$
(1.5)

$$8p_4 = p_1^4 + (4 - p_1^2)y[p_1^2(y^2 - 3y + 3) + 4y] - 4(4 - p_1^2)(1 - |y|^2) \\ \times \left[p_1(y - 1)\delta + \overline{y}\delta^2 - (1 - |\delta|^2)\sigma\right],$$
(1.6)

for some y, δ, σ with $|y| \leq 1$, $|\delta| \leq 1$ and $|\sigma| \leq 1$.

2. Main results

Theorem 2.1. If $g \in \mathcal{B}T_{4l}$, then

$$|H_{3,1}(g)| \le \frac{25}{576}.$$

The bound is sharp.

Proof. Since $g \in \mathcal{B}T_{4l}$, from subordination definition there exists a Schwarz function $w(\zeta)$ with w(0) = 0 and $|w(\zeta)| < 1$, in such a way that

$$g'(\zeta) = 1 + \frac{5}{6}w(\zeta) + \frac{1}{6}w^5(\zeta).$$

Define a function

$$p(\zeta) = \frac{1 + \omega(\zeta)}{1 - \omega(\zeta)} = 1 + p_1 \zeta + p_2 \zeta^2 + p_3 \zeta^3 + p_4 \zeta^4 + \cdots.$$

Clearly, we have $p(\zeta) \in \mathcal{P}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\zeta) &= \frac{p(\zeta) - 1}{1 + p(\zeta)} = \frac{p_1 \zeta + p_2 \zeta^2 + p_3 \zeta^3 + p_4 \zeta^4 + \cdots}{2 + p_1 \zeta + p_2 \zeta^2 + p_3 \zeta^3 + p_4 \zeta^4 + \cdots} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} p_1 \zeta + (\frac{1}{2} p_2 - \frac{1}{4} p_1^2) \zeta^2 + (\frac{1}{8} p_1^3 - \frac{1}{2} p_1 p_2 + \frac{1}{2} p_3) \zeta^3 \\ &+ (\frac{1}{2} p_4 - \frac{1}{2} p_1 p_3 - \frac{1}{4} p_2^2 - \frac{1}{16} p_1^4 + \frac{3}{8} p_1^2 p_2) \zeta^4 + \cdots. \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$1 + \frac{5}{6}w(\zeta) + \frac{1}{6}w^{5}(\zeta)$$

=1 + $\frac{5}{12}p_{1}\zeta + (\frac{5}{12}p_{2} - \frac{5}{24}p_{1}^{2})\zeta^{2} + (\frac{5}{12}p_{3} - \frac{5}{12}p_{1}p_{2} + \frac{5}{48}p_{1}^{3})\zeta^{3}$
+ $(\frac{5}{12}p_{4} - \frac{5}{24}p_{2}^{2} - \frac{5}{12}p_{1}p_{3} + \frac{5}{16}p_{1}^{2}p_{2} - \frac{5}{96}p_{1}^{4})\zeta^{4} + \cdots$ (2.1)

From (1.1), we yield

$$g'(\zeta) = 1 + 2b_2\zeta + 3b_3\zeta^2 + 4b_4\zeta^3 + 5b_5\zeta^4 + \cdots$$
 (2.2)

Comparing (2.1) and (2.2), we may obtain

$$\begin{cases} b_2 = \frac{5p_1}{24}, \\ b_3 = \frac{1}{72}(-5p_1^2 + 10p_2), \\ b_4 = \frac{1}{192}(20p_3 + 5p_1^3 - 20p_1p_2), \\ b_5 = \frac{1}{480}(-20p_2^2 + 40p_4 + 30p_1^2p_2 - 5p_1^4 - 40p_1p_3). \end{cases}$$
(2.3)

From (2.3) and (1.2), we have

$$H_{3,1}(g) = \frac{1}{14929920} (-8400p_1^4p_2 + 14400p_1^3p_3 + 1175p_1^6 + 7800p_1^2p_2^2 + 172800p_2p_4)$$

Substituting these expressions into (2.4), we obtain

$$\begin{split} H_{3,1}\left(g\right) &= \frac{1}{14929920} [43200l^2y^3 + 675p^2l^2y^4 + 6750p^4ly^2 + 6750p^2l^2y^2 \\ &- 22050p^2l^2y^3 - 6750p^4ly^3 - 27000p^2ly^2 - 15800l^3y^3 \\ &+ 22500pl^2y(1-|y|^2)\delta + 27000p^3y(1-|y|^2)l\delta \\ &+ 27000p^2(1-|y|^2)l\bar{y}\delta^2 - 27000p^2(1-|y|^2)(1-|\delta|^2)l\sigma \\ &- 2700pl^2y^2(1-|y|^2)\delta - 43200l^2(1-|y|^2)y\bar{y}\delta^2 \\ &+ 43200l^2y(1-|y|^2)(1-|\delta|^2)\sigma - 40500l^2\delta^2(1-|y|^2)^2]. \end{split}$$

Thus, we achieve

$$H_{3,1}(g) = \frac{1}{14929920} \left(\eta_1(p, y) + \eta_2(p, y) \,\delta + \eta_3(p, y) \,\delta^2 + \eta_4(p, y, \delta) \,\sigma \right),$$

where

$$\eta_1(p,y) = (4-p^2) y \left[(4-p^2) y \left(-20000y + 675p^2y^2 + 6750p^2 - 6250p^2y \right) + 6750p^4y - 6750p^4y^2 - 27000p^2y \right],$$

$$\eta_{2}(p,y) = py \left(4-p^{2}\right) \left(1-|y|^{2}\right) \left[(22500-2700y) \left(4-p^{2}\right)+27000p^{2} \right],$$

$$\eta_{3}(p,y) = \left(4-p^{2}\right) \left(1-|y|^{2}\right) \left[-\left(2700 |y|^{2}+40500\right) \left(4-p^{2}\right)+27000\bar{y}p^{2} \right],$$

$$\eta_{4}(p,y,\delta) = \left(4-p^{2}\right) \left(1-|y|^{2}\right) \left(1-|\delta|^{2}\right) \left[43200y \left(4-p^{2}\right)-27000p^{2} \right].$$

Now, by setting |y| = y, $|\delta| = x$ and upon taking $|\sigma| \le 1$, we get

$$|H_{3,1}(g)| \leq \frac{1}{14929920} \left(|\eta_1(p,y)| + |\eta_2(p,y)| x + |\eta_3(p,y)| x^2 + |\eta_4(p,y,\delta)| \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{14929920} \varphi(p,y,x), \qquad (2.5)$$

where

$$\varphi(p, y, x) = \theta_1(p, y) + \theta_2(p, y) x + \theta_3(p, y) x^2 + \theta_4(p, y) (1 - x^2), \qquad (2.6)$$

with

$$\theta_1(p,y) = (4-p^2) y \left[(4-p^2) y (20000y + 675p^2y^2 + 6750p^2 + 6250p^2y) \right. \\ \left. + 6750p^4y + 6750p^4y^2 + 27000p^2y \right], \\ \theta_2(p,y) = py (4-p^2) (1-y^2) \left[(22500 + 2700y) (4-p^2) + 27000p^2 \right], \\ \theta_3(p,y) = (4-p^2) (1-y^2) \left[(2700y^2 + 40500) (4-p^2) + 27000yp^2 \right]$$

and

$$\theta_4(p,y) = (4-p^2)(1-y^2)[43200y(4-p^2)+27000p^2].$$

(1) For p = 2,

$$\varphi\left(2, y, x\right) = 0.$$

(2) For p = 0,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi\left(0,y,x\right) &= 691200y - 371200y^3 \\ &+ (648000 - 691200y - 604800y^2 + 691200y^3 - 43200y^4)x^2 \\ &= \varrho_1(y,x). \end{aligned}$$

The optimal points of ρ_1 satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \varrho_1}{\partial y} = 691200 - 1113600y^2 + (-691200 - 1209600y + 2073600y^2 - 172800y^3)x^2 \\ = 0, \\ \frac{\partial \varrho_1}{\partial x} = (1296000 - 1382400y - 1209600y^2 + 1382400y^3 - 86400y^4)x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Utilizing numerical computations, we yield

$$\begin{cases} y_1 = -0.7878, \\ x_1 = 0, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} y_2 = 0.7878, \\ x_2 = 0, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} y_3 = -1, \\ x_3 = 0.3909, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} y_4 = -1, \\ x_4 = -0.3909. \end{cases}$$

Thus the function ρ_1 has no optimal point in $(0, 1) \times (0, 1)$. (3) For y = 0,

$$\varphi(p,0,x) = 40500(4-p^2)^2 x^2 + 27000p^2(4-p^2)(1-x^2)$$

= 108000p^2 - 27000p^4 + (648000 - 432000p^2 + 67500p^4)x^2
= \varrho_2(p,x).

 $\operatorname{Consider}$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \varrho_2}{\partial p} = 216000p - 108000p^3 + (-864000p + 270000p^3)x^2 = 0, \\ \frac{\partial \varrho_2}{\partial x} = (1296000 - 864000p^2 + 135000p^4)x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Utilizing numerical computations, we yield

$$\begin{cases} p_1 = 0, \\ x_1 = 0, \end{cases} \begin{cases} p_2 = 1.4142, \\ x_2 = 0, \end{cases} \begin{cases} p_3 = -1.4142, \\ x_3 = 0, \end{cases} \begin{cases} p_4 = 2, \\ x_4 = 1, \end{cases} \begin{cases} p_5 = 2, \\ x_5 = -1, \end{cases} \\ \\ x_5 = -1, \end{cases} \\ \begin{cases} p_6 = -2, \\ x_6 = 1, \end{cases} \begin{cases} p_7 = -2, \\ x_7 = -1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, ρ_2 has no optimal point in $(0,2) \times (0,1)$.

(4) For y = 1

$$\varphi(p, 1, x) = 175p^{6} - 62400p^{4} + 166800p^{2} + 320000 = \varrho_{3}(p) \le \varrho_{3}(1.1594) = 431890.$$
(5) For $x = 0$

$$\varphi(p, y, 0) = 108000p^{2} - 27000p^{4} + (691200 - 345600p^{2} + 43200p^{4})y + (-27000p^{4} + 108000p^{2})y^{2} + (-500p^{6} - 46200p^{4} + 285600p^{2} - 371200)y^{3} + (675p^{6} - 5400p^{4} + 10800p^{2})y^{4} = \varrho_{4}(p, y).$$

 $\operatorname{Consider}$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \varrho_4}{\partial p} = 216000p - 108000p^3 + (-691200p + 172800p^3)y \\ + (-108000p^3 + 216000p)y^2 + (-3000p^5 - 184800p^3 + 571200p)y^3 \\ + (4050p^5 - 21600p^3 + 21600p)y^4 \\ = 0, \\ \frac{\partial \varrho_4}{\partial y} = 691200 - 345600p^2 + 43200p^4 + (-54000p^4 + 216000p^2)y + (-1500p^6 \\ -138600p^4 + 856800p^2 - 1113600)y^2 + (2700p^6 - 21600p^4 + 43200p^2)y^3 \\ = 0. \end{cases}$$

Using numerical computations, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} p_1 = 0, \\ y_1 = -0.7878, \end{cases} \begin{cases} p_2 = 0, \\ y_2 = 0.7878, \end{cases} \begin{cases} p_3 = 2, \\ y_3 = -1.4656, \end{cases} \begin{cases} p_4 = -2, \\ y_4 = -1.4656, \end{cases} \\ y_6 = -1.5304, \\ y_5 = -1.2699, \end{cases} \begin{cases} p_6 = -1.5304, \\ y_6 = -1.2699, \end{cases} \begin{cases} p_7 = 5.7826, \\ y_7 = 2.5389, \end{cases} \begin{cases} p_8 = -5.7826, \\ y_8 = 2.5389. \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

Thus, ρ_4 has no critical point in $(0, 2) \times (0, 1)$. (6) For x = 1

$$\begin{split} \varphi\left(p,y,1\right) =& 40500p^4 - 324000p^2 + 648000 + (-4500p^5 - 27000p^4 - 72000p^3 \\&+ 108000p^2 + 360000p)y + (2700p^5 - 91800p^4 - 21600p^3 + 518400p^2 \\&+ 43200p - 604800)y^2 + (-500p^6 + 4500p^5 + 24000p^4 + 72000p^3 \\&- 168000p^2 - 360000p + 320000)y^3 + (675p^6 - 2700p^5 - 8100p^4 \\&+ 21600p^3 + 32400p^2 - 43200p - 43200)y^4 \\&= g_5(p,y). \end{split}$$

Taking the partial derivative with respect to p, and y respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \varrho_5}{\partial p} =& 162000p^3 - 648000p + (-22500p^4 - 108000p^3 - 216000p^2 + 216000p \\ &+ 360000)y + (13500p^4 - 367200p^3 - 64800p^2 + 1036800p + 43200)y^2 \\ &+ (-3000p^5 + 22500p^4 + 96000p^3 + 216000p^2 - 336000p - 360000)y^3 \\ &+ (4050p^5 - 13500p^4 - 32400p^3 + 64800p^2 + 64800p - 43200)y^4 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \varrho_5}{\partial y} &= -4500p^5 - 27000p^4 - 72000p^3 + 108000p^2 + 360000p + (5400p^5 - 183600p^4 \\ &- 43200p^3 + 1036800p^2 + 86400p - 1209600)y + (-1500p^6 + 13500p^5 \\ &+ 72000p^4 + 216000p^3 - 504000p^2 - 1080000p + 960000)y^2 + (2700p^6 \\ &- 10800p^5 - 32400p^4 + 86400p^3 + 129600p^2 - 172800p - 172800)y^3. \end{aligned}$$

A computation show that the following system of equations has no solution:

$$\frac{\partial \varrho_5}{\partial p} = \frac{\partial \varrho_5}{\partial y} = 0$$

in $(0, 2) \times (0, 1)$.

(7) For y = x = 0, $\varphi(p, 0, 0) = 108000p^2 - 27000p^4 = \varrho_6(p) \le \varrho_6(\sqrt{2}) = 108000.$

(8) For y = 0, x = 1 $\varphi(p, 0, 1) = 648000 - 324000p^2 + 40500p^4 \le 648000.$ (9) For p = y = 0, $\varphi(0,0,x) = 648000x^2 < 648000.$ (10) For y = x = 1, or y = 1, x = 0, $\varphi(p,1,1) = \varphi(p,1,0)$ $= 175p^6 - 62400p^4 + 166800p^2 + 32000$ $= \varrho_3(p)$ $\leq \varrho_3(1.1594)$ = 431890.(11) For p = 0, y = 1 $\varphi(0, 1, x) = 320000.$ (12) For p = 2, y = 1, or p = 2, y = 0, or p = 2, x = 0, or p = 2, x = 1, $\varphi(2,1,x) = \varphi(2,0,x) = \varphi(2,y,0) = \varphi(2,y,1) = 0.$ (13) For p = x = 0, $\varphi(0, y, 0) = 691200y - 371200y^3 = \varrho_7(y) \le \varrho_7(0.7878) = 363040.$ (14) For p = 0, x = 1, $\varphi(0, y, 1) = 648000 - 604800y^2 + 320000y^3 - 43200y^4 < 648000.$ The equation (2.6) can be written as $\varphi(p, y, x) = (675p^6 - 5400p^4 + 10800p^2)y^4 + (-500p^6 - 46200p^4 + 285600p^2)y^4 + (-500p^6 - 46200p^6 - 46200p^4 + 285600p^2)y^4 + (-500p^6 - 46200p^6 - 46200p^6 + 285600p^2)y^4 + (-500p^6 - 46200p^6 + 285600p^2)y^4 + (-500p^6 - 46200p^6 + 285600p^2)y^4 + (-500p^6 - 46200p^6 - 46200p^6 + 285600p^2)y^4 + (-500p^6 - 4600p^6 + 285600p^6 + 2856000p^6 + 285600p^6 + 285600p^6 + 2856000p^6 + 285600$ $(-371200)y^{3} + (-27000p^{4} + 108000p^{2})y^{2} + (43200p^{4} - 345600p^{2})y^{2}$ $+691200)y - 27000p^{4} + 108000p^{2} + [(-2700p^{5} + 21600p^{3} - 43200p)y^{4}]$ $+(4500p^5+72000p^3-36000p)y^3+(2700p^5-21600p^3+43200p)y^2$

$$+ (-4500p^5 - 72000p^3 + 360000p)y]x + [(-2700p^4 + 21600p^2 - 43200)y^4 + (70200p^4 - 453600p^2 + 691200)y^3 + (-64800p^4 + 410400p^2 - 604800)y^2 + (-70200p^4 + 453600p^2 - 691200)y + 67500p^4 - 432000p^2 + 64800]x^2.$$

Now considering the interior region of $(0,2) \times (0,1) \times (0,1)$. Note that all real

solutions (p, y, x) of the system of equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial p} = (4050p^5 - 21600p^3 + 21600p^2)y^4 + (-3000p^5 - 184800p^3 + 571200p)y^3 \\ + (-108000p^3 + 216000p)y^2 + (172800p^3 - 691200p)y - 108000p^3 \\ + 216000p + [(-13500p^4 + 64800p^2 - 43200)y^4 + (22500p^4 - 216000p^2 \\ - 360000)y^3 + (13500p^4 - 64800p^2 + 43200)y^2 + (-22500p^4 - 216000p^2 \\ + 360000)y]x + [(-10800p^3 + 43200p)y^4 + (280800p^3 - 907200p)y^3 \\ + (-259200p^3 + 820800p)y^2 + (-280800p^3 + 907200p)y \\ + 270000p^3 - 864000p]x^2 \\ = 0, \\ \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y} = (2700p^6 - 21600p^4 + 43200p^2)y^3 + (-1500p^6 - 138600p^4 + 856800p^2 \\ - 1113600)y^2 + (-54000p^4 + 216000p^2)y + 43200p^4 - 345600p^2 + 691200 \\ + [(-10800p^5 + 86400p^3 - 172800p)y^3 + (13500p^5 + 216000p^3 \\ - 108000p)y^2 + (5400p^5 - 43200p^3 + 86400p)y - 4500p^5 - 72000p^3 \\ + 360000p]x + [(-10800p^4 + 86400p^2 - 172800)y^3 + (210600p^4 \\ - 1360800p^2 + 2073600)y^2 + (-129600p^4 + 820800p^2 - 1209600)y \\ - 70200p^4 + 453600p^2 - 691200]x^2 \\ = 0, \\ \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} = (-2700p^5 + 21600p^3 - 43200p)y^4 + (4500p^5 + 72000p^3 - 360000p)y^3 \\ + (2700p^5 - 21600p^3 + 43200p)y^2 + (-4500p^5 - 72000p^3 + 360000p)y \\ + [(-5400p^4 + 43200p^2 - 86400)y^4 + (140400p^4 - 907200p^2 + 1382400)y^3 \\ + (-129600p^4 + 820800p^2 - 1209600)y^2 + (-140400p^4 + 907200p^2 \\ - 1382400)y + 135000p^4 - 864000p^2 + 1296000]x \\ = 0. \end{cases}$$

After a numerical calculation, there is no optimal point in $(0,2) \times (0,1) \times (0,1)$.

The sharp bound for this Hankel determinant is determined by

$$|H_{3,1}(g)| = \frac{25}{576},$$

with an extremal function

$$g(\zeta) = \int_0^{\zeta} (1 + \frac{5}{6}t^3 + \frac{1}{6}t^{15})dt = \zeta + \frac{5}{24}\zeta^4 + \frac{1}{96}\zeta^{16}.$$

Theorem 2.2. $g \in \mathcal{B}T_{4l}$, then

$$|H_{2,3}(g)| = |b_3b_5 - b_4^2| \le \frac{25}{576}.$$

The bound is sharp.

Proof. Let $g \in \mathcal{B}T_{4l}$. From (2.3), we get

$$b_{3}b_{5} - b_{4}^{2} = \frac{1}{552960} (-200p_{1}^{4}p_{2} + 200p_{1}^{3}p_{3} + 25p_{1}^{6} + 400p_{1}^{2}p_{2}^{2} + 6400p_{2}p_{4} + 5600p_{1}p_{2}p_{3} - 3200p_{1}^{2}p_{4} - 3200p_{2}^{3} - 6000p_{3}^{2}).$$
(2.7)

Using Lemma 1.1, we have

$$b_3b_5 - b_4^2 = \frac{1}{552960} \left(\lambda_1(p, y) + \lambda_2(p, y)\delta + \lambda_3(p, y)\delta^2 + \lambda_4(p, y, \delta)\sigma \right), \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$\lambda_{1}(p, y) = 25(4 - p^{2})^{2}p^{2}y^{4},$$

$$\lambda_{2}(p, y) = -100py^{2} (4 - p^{2})^{2} (1 - |y|^{2}),$$

$$\lambda_{3}(p, y) = 100 (4 - p^{2})^{2} (1 - |y|^{2}) (-15 - |y|^{2}),$$

$$\lambda_{4}(p, y, \delta) = 1600 (4 - p^{2})^{2} y (1 - |y|^{2}) (1 - |\delta|^{2}).$$

Now, using $y = |y|, x = |\delta|$ and taking $|\sigma| \le 1$, we yield

$$\begin{aligned} |b_{3}b_{5} - b_{4}^{2}| &\leq \frac{1}{552960} \left(|\lambda_{1}(p, y)| + |\lambda_{2}(p, y)|x + |\lambda_{3}(p, y)|x^{2} + |\lambda_{4}(p, y, \delta)| \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{552960} \psi(p, y, x), \end{aligned}$$
(2.9)

where

$$\psi(p, y, x) = \rho_1(p, y) + \rho_2(p, y)x + \rho_3(p, y)x^2 + \rho_4(p, y)(1 - x^2)$$
(2.10)

and

$$\rho_{1}(p, y) = 25(4 - p^{2})^{2}p^{2}y^{4},$$

$$\rho_{2}(p, y) = 100py^{2}(4 - p^{2})^{2}(1 - y^{2}),$$

$$\rho_{3}(p, y) = 100(4 - p^{2})^{2}(1 - y^{2})(15 + y^{2}),$$

$$\rho_{4}(p, y) = 1600(4 - p^{2})^{2}y(1 - y^{2}).$$

Let $\Pi : [0,2] \times [0,1]^2$ be the closed cuboid. The function ψ can be written as

$$\begin{split} \psi(p,y,x) &= (25p^6 - 200p^4 + 400p^2)y^4 + (-1600p^4 + 12800p^2 - 25600)y^3 \\ &+ (1600p^4 - 12800p^2 + 25600)y + [(-100p^5 + 800p^3 - 1600p)y^4 \\ &+ (100p^5 - 800p^3 + 1600p)y^2]x + [(-100p^4 + 800p^2 - 1600)y^4 \\ &+ (1600p^4 - 12800p^2 + 25600)y^3 + (-1400p^4 + 11200p^2 - 22400)y^2 \end{split}$$

 $+(-1600p^4 + 12800p^2 - 25600)y + 1500p^4 - 12000p^2 + 24000]x^2.$

By taking the partial derivative, we achieve

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial p} =& (150p^5 - 800p^3 + 800p)y^4 + (-6400p^3 + 25600p)y^3 + (6400p^3 - 25600p)y \\ &+ [(-500p^4 + 2400p^2 - 1600)y^4 + (500p^4 - 2400p^2 + 1600)y^2]x + [(-400p^3 + 1600p)y^4 + (6400p^3 - 25600p)y^3 + (-5600p^3 + 22400p)y^2 + (-6400p^3 + 25600p)y + 6000p^3 - 24000p]x^2, \\ \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} =& (100p^6 - 800p^4 + 1600p^2)y^3 + (-4800p^4 + 38400p^2 - 76800)y^2 + 1600p^4 \\ &- 12800p^2 + 25600 + [(-400p^5 + 3200p^3 - 6400p)y^3 + (200p^5 - 1600p^3 + 3200p)y]x + [(-400p^4 + 3200p^2 - 6400)y^3 + (4800p^4 - 38400p^2 + 76800)y^2 \\ &+ (-2800p^4 + 22400p^2 - 44800)y - 1600p^4 + 12800p^2 - 25600]x^2 \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} &= (-100p^5 + 800p^3 - 1600p)y^4 + (100p^5 - 800p^3 + 1600p)y^2 + [(-200p^4 + 1600p^2 - 3200)y^4 + (3200p^4 - 25600p^2 + 51200)y^3 + (-2800p^4 + 22400p^2 - 44800)y^2 + (-3200p^4 + 25600p^2 - 51200)y + 3000p^4 - 24000p^2 + 48000]x. \end{aligned}$$

By setting $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial p} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} = 0$ and by utilizing numerical computations, we obtain $\begin{cases} p_1 = 2, \\ y_1 = y_1, \\ x_1 = x_1, \end{cases} \begin{cases} p_2 = -2, \\ y_2 = y_2, \\ y_3 = 1, \\ x_3 = -\frac{23\sqrt{3}}{3}, \end{cases} \begin{cases} p_4 = -\frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3}, \\ y_4 = 1, \\ x_4 = \frac{23\sqrt{3}}{3}, \end{cases}$ $\begin{cases} p_5 = 0, \\ y_5 = -1, \\ x_5 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \end{cases} \begin{cases} p_6 = 0, \\ y_6 = -1, \\ x_6 = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \end{cases} \begin{cases} p_7 = \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3}, \\ y_7 = -1, \\ x_7 = \frac{-\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{4803}}{96}, \end{cases} \begin{cases} p_8 = \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3}, \\ y_8 = -1, \\ x_8 = \frac{-\sqrt{3} - \sqrt{4803}}{96}, \end{cases}$ $\begin{cases} p_9 = -\frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3}, \\ y_{9} = -1, \\ x_9 = \frac{\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{4803}}{3}, \end{cases} \begin{cases} p_{10} = -\frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3}, \\ y_{10} = -1, \\ x_{10} = \frac{\sqrt{3} - \sqrt{4803}}{3}, \end{cases} \begin{cases} p_{11} = 0, \\ y_{11} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}, \\ x_{11} = 0, \end{cases} \begin{cases} p_{12} = 0, \\ y_{12} = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}, \\ x_{12} = 0, \end{cases} \end{cases}$

$$\begin{cases} p_{13} = -1.3095, \\ y_{13} = 19.2008, \\ x_{13} = 3.1572, \end{cases} \begin{cases} p_{14} = 1.3095, \\ y_{14} = 19.2008, \\ x_{14} = -3.1572. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the function ψ has no optimal point in Π . (1) For p = 2,

$$\psi(2, y, x) = 0.$$

(2) For p = 0,

$$\begin{split} \psi(0,y,x) =& 1600(1-y^2)(15+y^2)x^2+25600y(1-y^2)(1-x^2)\\ =& 25600y-25600y^3+(-1600y^4+25600y^3-22400y^2\\ &-25600y+24000)x^2\\ =& \gamma_1(y,x). \end{split}$$

The critical points of γ_1 satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \gamma_1}{\partial y} = 25600 - 76800y^2 + (-6400y^3 + 76800y^2 - 44800y - 25600)x^2 = 0, \\ \frac{\partial \gamma_1}{\partial x} = (-3200y^4 + 51200y^3 - 44800y^2 - 51200y + 48000)x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Utilizing numerical computations, we yiled

$$\begin{cases} y_1 = -0.5774, \\ x_1 = 0, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} y_2 = 0.5774, \\ x_2 = 0, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} y_3 = -1, \\ x_3 = 0.7071, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} y_4 = 1, \\ x_4 = -0.7071. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the γ_1 has no optimal points in $(0, 1) \times (0, 1)$. (3) For y = 0,

$$\psi(p, 0, x) = 1500(4 - p^2)x^2 \le 6000.$$

(4) For y = 1,

$$\psi(p,1,x) = 25p^6 - 200p^4 + 400p^2 = \gamma_2(p) \le \gamma_2(1.1547) = 237.0370.$$

(5) For x = 0,

$$\psi(p, y, 0) = (25p^6 - 200p^4 + 400p^2)y^4 + (1600p^4 - 12800p^2 + 25600)(y - y^3)$$

= $\gamma_3(p, y)$.

The optimal points of γ_3 satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \gamma_3}{\partial p} = (150p^5 - 800p^3 + 800p)y^4 + (6400p^3 - 25600p)(y - y^3) = 0, \\ \frac{\partial \gamma_3}{\partial y} = (100p^6 - 800p^4 + 1600p^2)y^3 + (-4800p^4 + 38400p^2 - 76800)y^2 + 1600p^4 \\ -12800p^2 + 25600 \\ = 0. \end{cases}$$

A computation reveals that there is no optimal point in $(0, 2) \times (0, 1)$.

(6) For
$$x = 1$$
,
 $\psi(p, y, 1) = (25p^6 - 100p^5 - 300p^4 + 800p^3 + 1200p^2 - 1600p - 1600)y^4 + (100p^5 - 1400p^4 - 800p^3 + 11200p^2 + 1600p - 22400)y^2 + 1500p^4 - 12000p^2 + 24000$
 $= \gamma_4(p, y).$

Consider

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \gamma_4}{\partial p} = (150p^5 - 500p^4 - 1200p^3 + 2400p^2 + 2400p - 1600)y^4 + (500p^4 - 5600p^3 \\ -2400p^2 + 22400p + 1600)y^2 + 6000p^4 - 24000p \\ = 0, \\\\ \frac{\partial \gamma_4}{\partial y} = (100p^6 - 400p^5 - 1200p^4 + 3200p^3 + 4800p^2 - 6400p - 6400)y^3 + (200p^5 \\ -2800p^4 - 1600p^3 + 22400p^2 + 3200p - 44800)y \\ = 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{cases} p_1 = 0, \\ y_1 = 0, \end{cases} \begin{cases} p_2 = 2, \\ y_2 = 0, \end{cases} \begin{cases} p_3 = -2, \\ y_3 = y_3, \end{cases} \begin{cases} p_4 = -2.8439, \\ y_4 = 1.4760, \end{cases} \begin{cases} p_5 = -2.8439, \\ y_5 = -1.4760. \end{cases}$$

Thus, the γ_4 has on critical point in $(0, 2) \times (0, 1)$. (7) For y = x = 0, or p = 2, y = 1, or p = 2, y = 0, or p = 2, x = 0, or p = 2, x = 1, or p = 0, y = 1,

$$\psi(p,0,0)=\psi(2,1,x)=\psi(2,0,x)=\psi(2,y,0)=\psi(2,y,1)=\psi(0,1,x)=0.$$

(8) For y = 0, x = 1,

$$\psi(p, 0, 1) = 1500(4 - p^2) \le 6000.$$

(9) For y = x = 1, or y = 1, x = 0,

$$\psi(p,1,1) = \psi(p,1,0) = 25p^6 - 200p^4 + 400p^2 = \gamma_2(p) \le \gamma_2(1.1547) = 237.0370.$$

(10) For p = x = 0,

$$\psi(0, y, 0) = 25600y - 25600y^2 = \gamma_5(y) \le \gamma_5(\frac{1}{2}) = 6400.$$

(11) For p = 0 and x = 1,

$$\psi(0, y, 1) = 24000 - 22400y^2 - 1600y^4 = \gamma_6(y) \le \gamma_6(0) = 24000.$$

(12) For p = y = 0,

$$\psi(0,0,x) = 24000x^2 \le 24000.$$

Then, the sharp bound for this Hankel determinant is determined by

$$|H_{2,3}(g)| = \frac{25}{576},$$

with an extremal function

$$g(\zeta) = \int_0^{\zeta} (1 + \frac{5}{6}t^3 + \frac{1}{6}t^{15})dt = \zeta + \frac{5}{24}\zeta^4 + \frac{1}{96}\zeta^{16}.$$

Acknowledgements

We thank the referees for their time and comments.

References

- A. S. Alshehry, R. Shah and A. Bariq, The second Hankel determinant of logarithmic coefficients for starlike and convex functions involving four-leafshaped domain, Journal of Function Spaces, 2022, 2022, Art. 2621811.
- [2] D. Bansal, Upper bound of second Hankel determinant for a new class of analytic functions, Appl. Math. Lett., 2013, 23, 103–107.
- [3] A. Jangteng, S. A. Halim and M. Darus, Coefficient inequality for a function whose derivative has a positive real part, J. Inequal Pure. Appl. Math., 2006, 7(2), 1–5.
- [4] A. Jangteng, S. A. Halim and M. Darus, Coefficient inequality for starlike and convex functions, Int. J. Math. Anal., 2007, 1(13), 619–625.
- [5] B. Kowalczyk, A. Lecko and Y. J. Sim, The sharp bound of the Hankel determinant of the third kind for convex functions, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., 2018, 97, 435–445.
- [6] O. S. Kwon, A. Lecho and Y. J. Sim, On the fourth coefficient of functions in the Carathéodory class, Comput. Methods Funct. Theory, 2018, 18(2), 307–314.
- [7] H. Orhan, N. Magesh and J. Yamint, Bounds for the second Hankel determinant of certain bi-univalent functions, Turkish Journal of Mathematics, 2016, 40, 679–687.
- [8] C. Pommerenke, On the coefficients and Hankel determinants of univalent functions, J. Lond. Math. Soc., 1966, 1, 111–122.
- [9] C. Pommerenke, On the Hankel determinants of univalent functions, Mathematika., 1967, 14, 108–112.
- [10] B. Rath, K. S. Kumar and D. V. Krishna, An exact estimate of the third Hankel determinants for functions inverse to convex functions, Matematychni Studii., 2023, 60(1), 34–39.
- [11] B. Rath, K. S. Kumar, D. V. Krishna and G. K. S. Viswanadh, The sharp bound of the third Hankel determinants for inverse of starlike functions with respect to symmetric points, Matematychni Studii, 2022, 58(1), 45–50.

- [12] R. J. Rlibera and E. J. Zlotkiewicz, Early coefficients of the inverse of a regular convex function, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1982, 85(2), 225–230.
- [13] L. Shi, M. Arif, M. Raza and M. Abbas, Hankel determinant containing logarithmic coefficients for bounded turning functions connected to a three-leafshaped domain, Mathematics, 2022, 10, Art. 2924.
- [14] L. Shi, M. Arif, K. Ullah, N. Alreshidi and M. Shutaywi, On sharp estimate of third Hankel determinant for a subclass of starlike functions, Fractal Fract., 2022, 6, Art. 437.
- [15] L. Shi, H. M. Srivastava, N. E. Cho and M. Arif, Sharp coefficient bounds for a subclass of bounded turning functions with a cardioid domain, Axioms, 2023, 12(8), 860–873.
- [16] H. M. Srivastava, B. Rath, K. S. Kumar and D. V. Krishna, Some sharp bounds of the third-order Hankel determinant for the inverses of the Ozaki type closeto-convex functions, Bull. Sci. Math., 2024, 191, Art. 103381.
- [17] Y. J. Sun, M. Arif, L. Shi and M. I. Faisal, Some further coefficient bounds on a new subclass of analytic functions, Mathematics, 2023, 11, Art. 2784.
- [18] Y. J. Sun, M. Arif, K. Ullah, L. Shi and M. I. Faisal, Hankel determinant for certain new classes of analytic functions associated the activation functions, Heliyon, 2023, 9, Art. e21449.
- [19] P. Sunthrayuth, Y. Jawarneh, M. Naeem and N. Iqbal, Some sharp results on coefficient estimate problems for four-leaf-type bounded turning functions, Journal of Function Spaces, 2022, 2022, Art. 8356125.
- [20] H. Tang, A. Ahmad, A. Rasheed, A. Ali, S. Hussain and S. Noor, *Sharp coefficient and Hankel problems related to a symmetric domain*, Symmetry, 2023, 15, Art. 1865.
- [21] K. Ullah, H. M. Srivastava, A. Rafiq and M. Arif, A study of sharp coefficient bounds for anew subfamily of starlike functions, J. Inequalities Appl., 2021, 2021, Art. 194.
- [22] Z. G. Wang, M. Arif, Z. H. Liu, S. Zainab, R. Fayyaz, M. Ihsan and M. Shutaywi, Sharp bounds on Hankel determinants for certain subclass of starlike functions, J. Appl. Anal. Comput., 2023, 13(2), 860–873.
- [23] Z. G. Wang, M. Raza, M. Arif and K. Ahmad, On the third and fourth Hankel determinants of a subclass of analytic functions, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., 2022, 45, 323–359.