

EXISTENCE ON GROUND STATE ROTATING PERIODIC SOLUTIONS FOR A CLASS OF p -HAMILTONIAN SYSTEMS

Tai-Jin Zhao and Chun Li[†]

Abstract In this paper, we investigate the existence of ground state rotating periodic solutions for a class of p -Hamiltonian systems by variational methods in critical point theory.

Keywords Rotating periodic solutions, ground state solutions, p -Hamiltonian systems, (C) condition, mountain pass lemma.

MSC(2010) 34A34, 34C25, 35A15, 35B38.

1. Introduction and main result

In this paper, we consider the following p -Hamiltonian systems

$$\begin{cases} -(|u'|^{p-2}u')' = -A(t)|u|^{p-2}u + \nabla G(t, u), & a.e. t \in [0, T], \\ u(T) = Qu(0), u'(T) = Qu'(0), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $p > 1$, $T > 0$, $N \geq 1$ and $\nabla G(t, u) := (\frac{\partial G}{\partial u_1}, \frac{\partial G}{\partial u_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial G}{\partial u_N})$. Besides, $G(t, 0) = 0$ and $\nabla G(t+T, u) = Q\nabla G(t, Q^{-1}u)$ for some $Q \in O(N)$. Here, $O(N)$ denotes the orthogonal matrix group on \mathbb{R}^N . $A(t) := (a_{ij}(t))_{N \times N}$ is a continuous symmetric positive definite matrix with $A(t+T) = QA(t)Q^{-1}$. Moreover, there is a constant $\underline{\mu} > 0$ such that $(A(t)|u|^{p-2}u, u) \geq \underline{\mu}|u|^p$ for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $a.e. t \in [0, T]$. $G : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the following assumption:

(A) $G(t, x)$ is measurable in t for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, continuously differentiable in x for $a.e. t \in [0, T]$ and there exist $a \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$, $b \in L^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that

$$|G(t, x)| \leq a(|x|)b(t), \quad |\nabla G(t, x)| \leq a(|x|)b(t)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $a.e. t \in [0, T]$.

Our goal in this paper is to find nontrivial solutions with the form $u(t+T) = Qu(t)$ of system (1.1). In [22], this type of solutions of system (1.1) are called rotating periodic solutions or Q -rotating periodic solutions. If $Q = I_N$, where I_N is identity matrix in \mathbb{R}^N , this type of solutions are periodic solutions. If $Q^k = I_N$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $k \geq 2$, they are subharmonic solutions. If $Q^k \neq I_N$ for

[†]The corresponding author.

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Southwest University, Chongqing
400715, China
Email: ztjztj102266@email.swu.edu.cn(T.-J. Zhao),
Lch1999@swu.edu.cn(C. Li)

any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, this type of solutions are quasi-periodic solutions. Besides, a solution is called a ground state solution to system (1.1) if the solution is one nontrivial solution with least energy.

Actually, if $u(t)$ satisfies (1.1), then one has

$$\begin{aligned} & -(|Q^{-1}u'(t+T)|^{p-2}Q^{-1}u'(t+T))' \\ &= Q^{-1}(-|u'(t+T)|^{p-2}u'(t+T))' \\ &= Q^{-1}(-A(t+T)|u(t+T)|^{p-2}u(t+T) + \nabla G(t+T, u(t+T))) \\ &= -Q^{-1}QA(t)Q^{-1}|u(t+T)|^{p-2}u(t+T) + Q^{-1}Q\nabla G(t, Q^{-1}u(t+T)) \\ &= -A(t)|Q^{-1}u(t+T)|^{p-2}Q^{-1}u(t+T) + \nabla G(t, Q^{-1}u(t+T)). \end{aligned}$$

On the one hand, it means that $Q^{-1}u(t+T)$ is a solution of system (1.1). On the other hand, by the uniqueness of solution, we have $Q^{-1}u(0+T) = u(0)$ and $Q^{-1}u'(0+T) = u'(0)$. So, we deduce that $Q^{-1}u(t+T) = u(t)$, i.e., $u(t+T) = Qu(t)$ for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$. Hence, $u(t)$ is a rotating periodic solution of system (1.1).

Let $W_{QT}^{1,p}$ be the Sobolev space defined by

$$W_{QT}^{1,p} = \left\{ u : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N \left| \begin{array}{l} u \text{ is absolutely continuous,} \\ u(T) = Qu(0), u' \in L^p(0, T; \mathbb{R}^N) \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

with the norm

$$\|u\| = \left(\int_0^T |u(t)|^p dt + \int_0^T |u'(t)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Denoting $\|\cdot\|_\infty = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\cdot|$, $|\cdot|$ is the usual norm on \mathbb{R}^N , and

$$\|u\|_p = \left(\int_0^T |u(t)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} (A(t)|u|^{p-2}u, u) &= |u|^{p-2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{ij}(t)u_i u_j \\ &\leq |u|^{p-2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N |a_{ij}(t)| |u_i| |u_j| \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^N \|a_{ij}(t)\|_\infty \right) |u|^p, \end{aligned}$$

then there exists a constant $\bar{\mu} \geq \sum_{i,j=1}^N \|a_{ij}(t)\|_\infty$ such that $(A(t)|u|^{p-2}u, u) \leq \bar{\mu}|u|^p$ for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Since $(A(t)|u|^{p-2}u, u) \geq \underline{\mu}|u|^p$ for some $\underline{\mu} > 0$. So, there is

$$\underline{\mu}|u|^p \leq (A(t)|u|^{p-2}u, u) \leq \bar{\mu}|u|^p$$

for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^N$, and it follows that

$$\min\{1, \underline{\mu}\} \|u\|^p \leq \|u\|_A^p \leq \max\{1, \bar{\mu}\} \|u\|^p,$$

where

$$\|u\|_A = \left(\int_0^T |u'(t)|^p dt + \int_0^T (A(t)|u(t)|^{p-2}u(t), u(t)) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Hence, the norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_A$ are equivalent.

Define the corresponding functional I on $W_{QT}^{1,p}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} I(u) &= \frac{1}{p} \int_0^T |u'(t)|^p dt + \frac{1}{p} \int_0^T (A(t)|u(t)|^{p-2}u(t), u(t)) dt \\ &\quad - \int_0^T G(t, u(t)) dt \end{aligned}$$

for all $u \in W_{QT}^{1,p}$. From assumption **(A)**, I is continuously differentiable on $W_{QT}^{1,p}$. So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle I'(u), v \rangle &= \int_0^T (|u'(t)|^{p-2}u'(t), v'(t)) dt + \int_0^T (A(t)|u(t)|^{p-2}u(t), v(t)) dt \\ &\quad - \int_0^T (\nabla G(t, u(t)), v(t)) dt \end{aligned}$$

for all $u, v \in W_{QT}^{1,p}$. If $u \in W_{QT}^{1,p}$ is a critical point of I , then for any $v \in W_{QT}^{1,p}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle I'(u), v \rangle \\ &= \int_0^T (|u'(t)|^{p-2}u'(t), v'(t)) dt + \int_0^T (A(t)|u(t)|^{p-2}u(t), v(t)) dt \\ &\quad - \int_0^T (\nabla G(t, u(t)), v(t)) dt \\ &= |u'(T)|^{p-2}u'(T)v(T) - |u'(0)|^{p-2}u'(0)v(0) - \int_0^T ((|u'(t)|^{p-2}u'(t))', v(t)) dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^T (A(t)|u(t)|^{p-2}u(t), v(t)) dt - \int_0^T (\nabla G(t, u(t)), v(t)) dt \\ &= |Qu'(0)|^{p-2}Qu'(0)Qv(0) - |u'(0)|^{p-2}u'(0)v(0) - \int_0^T ((|u'(t)|^{p-2}u'(t))', v(t)) dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^T (A(t)|u(t)|^{p-2}u(t), v(t)) dt - \int_0^T (\nabla G(t, u(t)), v(t)) dt \\ &= \int_0^T ((- (|u'(t)|^{p-2}u'(t))' + A(t)|u(t)|^{p-2}u(t) - \nabla G(t, u(t))), v(t)) dt, \end{aligned}$$

which means that the solutions of system (1.1) are equivalent to the critical points of functional I . So, we can employ the variational approaches in critical point theory to study the existence of solutions for system (1.1).

Over the past few decades, the existence and multiplicity of periodic solutions for p -Hamiltonian systems have been extensively investigated, see [7, 8, 12, 15–18] and references therein. If $Q = I_N$ and $A(t) = 0$, system (1.1) becomes

$$\begin{cases} -(|u'|^{p-2}u')' = \nabla G(t, u), & a.e. \ t \in [0, T], \\ u(T) = u(0), \ u'(T) = u'(0). \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

Jebelean and Papageorgiou [12] studied the existence and multiplicity of periodic solutions for system (1.2) by applying the linking method and the second deformation theorem. By using the generalized mountain pass theorem, Li, Agarwal and Ou [15] proved that system (1.2) has a nonconstant T -periodic solution. In [16], Li, Agarwal and Tang got the existence of infinitely many periodic solutions of system (1.2) by minimax methods in critical point theory.

If $p = 2$, system (1.1) degenerates as a second order Hamiltonian system. Liu, Li and Yang [23] used Morse theory to study the existence and multiplicity of solutions for the following second order Hamiltonian systems

$$\begin{cases} u'' + A(t)u + \nabla G(t, u) = 0, & \text{a.e. } t \in [0, T], \\ u(T) = Qu(0), \quad u'(T) = Qu'(0). \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

Recently, many authors are interested in the existence of solutions for system (1.3), and a variety of existence results are obtained by variational methods. In [22], Liu, Li and Yang investigated system (1.3) with resonance at infinity and obtained the existence of solutions by applying the Morse theory and the technique of penalized functionals. If $A(t) = 0$, by using topological degree theory, Li, Chang and Li [19] proved that system (1.3) with Hartman-type nonlinearity has nontrivial solutions. In [31], by employing the index and the Leray-Schauder degree theory, Ye, Liu and Shen obtained the existence of nontrivial solutions for system (1.3). For more results about rotating periodic solutions, see [24, 25, 30] and references therein.

For the past few years, there have been a range of existence results about the ground state solutions for differential equations, but most of the existence results are related to the Schrödinger equation, such as Schrödinger-Poisson system, Schrödinger-KdV system, Chern-Simons-Schrödinger system and so on, see [5, 9, 13, 14, 20, 21, 33] and references therein. However, there are only a few works on the existence of ground state solutions for second-order Hamiltonian systems. When $Q = I_N$, Ye and Tang [32] got the existence of ground state T -periodic solutions for system (1.3). Basing on a variant generalized weak linking theorem introduced by Schechter and Zou [27], Chen and Ma [4] obtained the existence of at least one nontrivial ground state T -periodic solution for system (1.3). In [6], by using generalized Nehari manifold method, Chen, Krawcewicz and Xiao established the existence of ground state periodic solutions with the prescribed minimal period to system (1.3). To our best knowledge, there is no literature on the existence of ground state periodic solutions for p -Hamiltonian systems.

Motivated by [22, 23, 32], we are interested in the existence of ground state rotating periodic solutions for system (1.1). Now we state the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that G satisfies (A) and the following conditions:*

$$(H_1) \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G(t, x)}{|x|^p} = +\infty \text{ uniformly in a.e. } t \in [0, T].$$

$$(H_2) \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} \frac{|\nabla G(t, x)|}{|x|^{p-1}} = 0 \text{ uniformly in a.e. } t \in [0, T].$$

$$(H_3) \quad \text{There exists } \theta \geq 1 \text{ such that}$$

$$\mathcal{G}(t, \tau x) \leq \theta \mathcal{G}(t, x)$$

for all $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\tau \in [0, 1]$, where $\mathcal{G}(t, x) := (\nabla G(t, x), x) - pG(t, x)$.

Then system (1.1) possesses at least one ground state rotating periodic solution.

Remark 1.1. If $p = 2$ and $Q = I_N$, under conditions (H_1) , (H_2) , (H_3) , Ye and Tang [32] obtained the existence of at least one ground state T -periodic solution for second-order Hamiltonian systems (1.3) by generalized mountain pass theorem. In this paper, we get the existence of one ground state rotating periodic solution for p -Hamiltonian systems (1.1). Our result is new. In fact, inspired by a general monotonicity technique developed by Struwe (see [28, 29]), this kind of condition (H_3) was first introduced by Jeanjean in [10], which was originally used to study the existence of positive solutions for semilinear problems on \mathbb{R}^N .

2. Proof of main result

In this section, we first show the mountain pass lemma, see [1] for more details. As stated in [2], a deformation lemma was ensured under the weaker (C) condition, which will be explained later. It turns out that the mountain pass lemma still holds under the (C) condition. Hence, one has the following result.

Theorem 2.1 (Mountain Pass Lemma, [1]). *Let $(W, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space, and $I \in C^1(W, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying the (C) condition. Suppose that $I(0) = 0$ and*

- (i) *There exist positive constants ρ and α such that $I(u) \geq \alpha > 0$ for all $u \in W$ with $\|u\| = \rho$.*
- (ii) *There exists $e \in W$ with $\|e\| > \rho$ such that $I(e) < 0$.*

Then I possesses a critical value $c \geq \alpha$ given by

$$c := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup_{s \in [0,1]} I(\gamma(s)),$$

where

$$\Gamma := \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], W) \mid \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = e\}.$$

Next, we will prove the main result.

Proof. Our proof is composed of three steps.

Step 1. We prove that I satisfies the (C) condition due to Cerami [3]. That is, for every constant c and sequence $\{u_n\} \subset W_{QT}^{1,p}$, $\{u_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence if

$$\|I'(u_n)\|(1 + \|u_n\|_A) \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } I(u_n) \rightarrow c \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.1)$$

Hence, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \left(\frac{1}{p} (\nabla G(t, u_n), u_n) - G(t, u_n) \right) dt = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(I(u_n) - \frac{1}{p} \langle I'(u_n), u_n \rangle \right) = c. \quad (2.2)$$

Since the embedding

$$W_{QT}^{1,p} \hookrightarrow C(0, T; \mathbb{R}^N)$$

is compact. By standard argument, it suffices to prove that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded.

Arguing by contradiction, if $\{u_n\}$ is unbounded, without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$\|u_n\|_A \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let $z_n = \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|_A}$, then $\|z_n\|_A = 1$. So, there is a $z \in W_{QT}^{1,p}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} z_n &\rightharpoonup z \quad \text{in } W_{QT}^{1,p}, \\ z_n &\rightarrow z \quad \text{in } C(0, T; \mathbb{R}^N). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

If $z \equiv 0$, motivated by [10], let $\{\tau_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ satisfy

$$I(\tau_n u_n) = \max_{\tau \in [0,1]} I(\tau u_n).$$

For any $m > 0$, denoting $\nu_n = \sqrt[p]{2pm} z_n$, then, one gets from (2.3) that

$$\nu_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } C(0, T; \mathbb{R}^N). \quad (2.4)$$

Observe that $\frac{\sqrt[p]{2pm}}{\|u_n\|_A} \in (0, 1)$ for n large enough, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\tau \in [0,1]} I(\tau u_n) &= I(\tau_n u_n) \\ &\geq I(\nu_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \|\nu_n\|_A^p - \int_0^T G(t, \nu_n) dt \\ &= 2m - \int_0^T G(t, \nu_n) dt. \end{aligned}$$

According to (2.4), it yields that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(\tau_n u_n) \geq 2m - \int_0^T G(t, 0) dt > m.$$

Due to the arbitrariness of m , we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(\tau_n u_n) = +\infty. \quad (2.5)$$

For the reasons of $I(0) < +\infty$ and $I(u_n) \rightarrow c$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, one sees that $\tau_n \in (0, 1)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \tau_n \frac{dI(\tau u_n)}{d\tau} \Big|_{\tau=\tau_n} \\ &= \langle I'(\tau_n u_n), \tau_n u_n \rangle \\ &= \int_0^T |\tau_n u_n'|^p dt + \int_0^T (A(t) |\tau_n u_n|^{p-2} \tau_n u_n, \tau_n u_n) dt - \int_0^T (\nabla G(t, \tau_n u_n), \tau_n u_n) dt \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

for n large enough. Hence, from (2.5), (2.6) and (H_3) , we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^T \left(\frac{1}{p} (\nabla G(t, u_n), u_n) - G(t, u_n) \right) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \int_0^T \mathcal{G}(t, u_n) dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \frac{1}{p\theta} \int_0^T \mathcal{G}(t, \tau_n u_n) dt \\
&= \frac{1}{\theta} \int_0^T \left(\frac{1}{p} (\nabla G(t, \tau_n u_n), \tau_n u_n) - G(t, \tau_n u_n) \right) dt \\
&= \frac{1}{\theta} \int_0^T \left(\frac{1}{p} |\tau_n u'_n|^p + \frac{1}{p} (A(t) |\tau_n u_n|^{p-2} \tau_n u_n, \tau_n u_n) - G(t, \tau_n u_n) \right) dt \\
&= \frac{1}{\theta} I(\tau_n u_n) \\
&\rightarrow +\infty,
\end{aligned}$$

which contradicts with (2.2).

If $z \not\equiv 0$, since

$$I(u_n) = \frac{1}{p} \|u_n\|_A^p - \int_0^T G(t, u_n) dt,$$

by (2.1) and (2.3), we have

$$\frac{1}{p} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \frac{G(t, u_n)}{\|u_n\|_A^p} dt = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{z=0} + \int_{z \neq 0} \right) \frac{G(t, u_n)}{\|u_n\|_A^p} dt. \quad (2.7)$$

From (H_1) , there exists $M_1 > 0$ such that

$$G(t, x) \geq 0$$

for all $|x| \geq M_1$ and a.e. $t \in [0, T]$. Uniting assumption **(A)**, it follows that

$$G(t, x) \geq -a_{M_1} b(t)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and a.e. $t \in [0, T]$, where $a_{M_1} = \max_{|x| \in [0, M_1]} a(|x|)$. Then, one obtains that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{z=0} \frac{G(t, u_n)}{\|u_n\|_A^p} dt &\geq -\frac{a_{M_1}}{\|u_n\|_A^p} \int_{z=0} b(t) dt \\
&\geq -\frac{a_{M_1}}{\|u_n\|_A^p} \int_0^T b(t) dt
\end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which leads to

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{z=0} \frac{G(t, u_n)}{\|u_n\|_A^p} dt \geq 0.$$

In addition, for $t \in \Omega_* := \{t \in [0, T] : z(t) \neq 0\}$, one has $|u_n(t)| \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, one deduces from (H_1) that

$$\frac{G(t, u_n)}{|u_n|^p} |z_n|^p \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since $\text{meas}(\Omega_*) > 0$, by the Lebesgue-Fatou lemma, it yields that

$$\int_{z \neq 0} \frac{G(t, u_n)}{\|u_n\|_A^p} dt = \int_{z \neq 0} \frac{G(t, u_n)}{|u_n|^p} |z_n|^p dt \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

which contradicts with (2.7). Hence, from the both situations, we can draw a conclusion that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $W_{QT}^{1,p}$.

Step 2. We show that I satisfies conditions of Theorem 2.1.

On the one hand, by Sobolev's inequality (proposition 1.1, [26]), there is $M_2 > 0$ such that

$$\|u\|_\infty \leq M_2 \|u\|_A \quad (2.8)$$

for all $u \in W_{QT}^{1,p}$. From (H_2) , for any $\varepsilon \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2pM_2^p T}\right)$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|\nabla G(t, x)| \leq p\varepsilon |x|^{p-1}$$

for all $|x| \leq \delta$ and *a.e.* $t \in [0, T]$. Hence, one has

$$|G(t, x)| \leq \varepsilon |x|^p \quad (2.9)$$

for all $|x| \leq \delta$ and *a.e.* $t \in [0, T]$. For $u \in W_{QT}^{1,p}$ with $\|u\|_A < \frac{\delta}{M_2}$, by (2.8), we have $\|u\|_\infty < \delta$. From (2.9) and taking $\|u\|_A = \rho$ with $\rho \in \left(0, \frac{\delta}{M_2}\right)$, it turns out that

$$\begin{aligned} I(u) &= \frac{1}{p} \int_0^T |u'(t)|^p dt + \frac{1}{p} \int_0^T (A(t)|u(t)|^{p-2}u(t), u(t)) dt - \int_0^T G(t, u(t)) dt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_A^p - \varepsilon \int_0^T |u(t)|^p dt \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \varepsilon M_2^p T\right) \|u\|_A^p \\ &\geq \frac{\rho^p}{2p}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $\alpha = \frac{\rho^p}{2p}$, one has $\inf_{\|u\|_A=\rho} I(u) \geq \alpha > 0$.

On the other hand, choosing

$$\eta(t) = (\sin(\omega t), 0, \dots, 0) \in W_{QT}^{1,p},$$

where $\omega = \frac{2\pi}{T}$. From (H_1) , for $M_3 = \frac{\omega^p + \bar{\mu}}{p} + 1$, there exists $M_4 > 0$ such that

$$G(t, x) \geq M_3 |x|^p$$

for all $|x| \geq M_4$ and *a.e.* $t \in [0, T]$. So, by assumption **(A)**, it follows that

$$G(t, x) \geq M_3 |x|^p - M_3 M_4^p - a_{M_4} b(t) \quad (2.10)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and *a.e.* $t \in [0, T]$, where $a_{M_4} = \max_{|x| \in [0, M_4]} a(|x|)$. Now, we deduce

from (2.10) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 I(s\eta) &= \frac{1}{p} \int_0^T |s\eta'|^p dt + \frac{1}{p} \int_0^T (A(t)|s\eta|^{p-2}(s\eta), (s\eta)) dt - \int_0^T G(t, s\eta) dt \\
 &\leq \frac{\omega^p}{p} |s|^p \int_0^T |\cos(\omega t)|^p dt + \left(\frac{\bar{\mu}}{p} - M_3 \right) \int_0^T |s\eta|^p dt \\
 &\quad + a_{M_4} \int_0^T b(t) dt + M_3 M_4^p T \\
 &= \left(\frac{\omega^p}{p} + \frac{\bar{\mu}}{p} - M_3 \right) |s|^p \int_0^T |\eta|^p dt + a_{M_4} \int_0^T b(t) dt + M_3 M_4^p T \\
 &= -|s|^p \int_0^T |\eta|^p dt + a_{M_4} \int_0^T b(t) dt + M_3 M_4^p T.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_0^T |\eta|^p dt > 0$, we have

$$I(s\eta) \rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow \infty.$$

So, there exists $e \in W_{QT}^{1,p}$ such that $\|e\|_A \geq \rho$ and $I(e) < 0$. Hence, there is a nontrivial critical point $u^* \in W_{QT}^{1,p}$ such that $I(u^*) \geq \alpha > 0$ according to Theorem 2.1.

Step 3. We prove that there exists at least one ground state solution. Going after the argument of Jeanjean and Tanaka [11], we denote

$$\mathcal{K} = \{u \in W_{QT}^{1,p} : I'(u) = 0, u \neq 0\},$$

and

$$\kappa = \inf\{I(u) : u \in \mathcal{K}\}.$$

In virtue of (H_3) , it holds that

$$\mathcal{G}(t, x) \geq \frac{1}{\theta} \mathcal{G}(t, 0) = 0$$

for all $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^N$, i.e.,

$$(\nabla G(t, x), x) - pG(t, x) \geq 0 \quad (2.11)$$

for all $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^N$. For any $u \in \mathcal{K}$, applying (2.11), one sees

$$\begin{aligned}
 I(u) &= I(u) - \frac{1}{p} \langle I'(u), u \rangle \\
 &= \int_0^T \left(\frac{1}{p} (\nabla G(t, u), u) - G(t, u) \right) dt \\
 &\geq 0.
 \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Hence, it is easy to get that $I(u^*) \geq \kappa \geq 0$. Now, we assume that there exists $\{w_n\} \subset \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$I(w_n) \rightarrow \kappa \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then according to step 1, one knows that $\{w_n\}$ is bounded. So, there is a $w \in W_{QT}^{1,p}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} w_n &\rightharpoonup w \text{ in } W_{QT}^{1,p}, \\ w_n &\rightarrow w \text{ in } C(0, T; \mathbb{R}^N). \end{aligned}$$

Using (H_2) again, for any $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, there exists $M_5 > 0$ such that

$$|\nabla G(t, x)| \leq \varepsilon_1 |x|^{p-1} \quad (2.13)$$

for all $|x| \leq M_5$ and *a.e.* $t \in [0, T]$. Next, we want to prove that $w \neq 0$. Otherwise, if $w = 0$, then by Sobolev inequality, there exists $N_1 > 0$ such that

$$\|w_n\|_\infty \leq M_5 \quad (2.14)$$

for all $n \geq N_1$. Noting that $\{w_n\} \subset \mathcal{K}$, so it follows that

$$0 = \langle I'(w_n), w_n \rangle = \|w_n\|_A^p - \int_0^T (\nabla G(t, w_n), w_n) dt \quad (2.15)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, one can get from (2.13), (2.14) and (2.15) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_n\|_A^p &\leq \int_0^T |\nabla G(t, w_n)| |w_n| dt \\ &\leq \varepsilon_1 \int_0^T |w_n|^{p-1} |w_n| dt \\ &\leq \varepsilon_1 T \|w_n\|_\infty^p \\ &\leq \varepsilon_1 T M_5^p \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \geq N_1$. Owing to the arbitrariness of ε_1 , it implies that $\|w_n\|_A = 0$, a contradiction. Therefore, $w \neq 0$. In accordance with (2.12) and Fatou's lemma, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(w_n) \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(I(w_n) - \frac{1}{p} \langle I'(w_n), w_n \rangle \right) \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \left(\frac{1}{p} (\nabla G(t, w_n), w_n) - G(t, w_n) \right) dt \\ &\geq \int_0^T \left(\frac{1}{p} (\nabla G(t, w), w) - G(t, w) \right) dt \\ &= I(w) \\ &\geq \kappa. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $I(w) = \kappa$. w is a nontrivial critical point of functional I with least energy. So, we get at least one ground state rotating periodic solution for system (1.1). \square

3. Example

In this section, we give an example. We consider the following p -Hamiltonian systems

$$\begin{cases} -(|u'|^{p-2}u')' = -\lambda|u|^{p-2}u + \nabla G(t, u), & a.e. \ t \in [0, T], \\ u(T) = Qu(0), \ u'(T) = Qu'(0), \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\nabla G(t, u) = p \left(3 + \cos \frac{2\pi}{T} t \right) \left(\ln(1 + |u|^p) + \frac{|u|^p}{1 + |u|^p} \right) |u|^{p-2} u.$$

Hence, by simple calculating, one has

$$G(t, u) = \left(3 + \cos \frac{2\pi}{T} t \right) |u|^p \ln(1 + |u|^p),$$

and

$$\mathcal{G}(t, u) := (\nabla G(t, u), u) - pG(t, u) = p \left(3 + \cos \frac{2\pi}{T} t \right) \frac{|u|^{2p}}{1 + |u|^p}.$$

In the following part, it is easy to verify that conditions $G(t, u) \in C^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$ with $\nabla G(t+T, u) = Q\nabla G(t, Q^{-1}u)$ for some $Q \in O(N)$, $G(t, 0) = 0$ and (H_1) , (H_2) are satisfied. Taking

$$\begin{aligned} f(\tau) &= \frac{\mathcal{G}(t, \tau u)}{\mathcal{G}(t, u)} \\ &= \frac{(\nabla G(t, \tau u), \tau u) - pG(t, \tau u)}{(\nabla G(t, u), u) - pG(t, u)} \\ &= \frac{p \left(3 + \cos \frac{2\pi}{T} t \right) \frac{|\tau u|^{2p}}{1 + |\tau u|^p}}{p \left(3 + \cos \frac{2\pi}{T} t \right) \frac{|u|^{2p}}{1 + |u|^p}} \\ &= \frac{(1 + |u|^p) \tau^{2p}}{1 + |\tau u|^p}. \end{aligned}$$

By straightforward computation, we have

$$\frac{df(\tau)}{d\tau} = \frac{p(2 + |\tau u|^p)(1 + |u|^p)\tau^{2p-1}}{(1 + |\tau u|^p)^2} \geq 0$$

for all $\tau \in [0, 1]$. So, one can deduce that $f(\tau) \leq f(1) = 1$. Then there exists $\theta \geq 1$ such that

$$\frac{\mathcal{G}(t, \tau u)}{\mathcal{G}(t, u)} \leq \theta \quad \text{for all } (t, u) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Therefore, condition (H_3) holds. By Theorem 1.1, there exists at least one ground state rotating periodic solution for system (3.1).

References

- [1] A. Ambrosetti and P. H. Rabinowitz, *Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications*, J. Funct. Anal., 1973, 14(4), 349–381.

- [2] P. Bartolo, V. Benci and D. Fortunato, *Abstract critical point theorems and applications to some nonlinear problems with strong resonance at infinity*, Nonlinear Anal., 1983, 7(9), 981–1012.
- [3] G. Cerami, *An existence criterion for the critical points on unbounded manifolds*, Istit. Lombardo Accad. Sci. Lett. Rend. A, 1978, 112(2), 332–336. (in Italian).
- [4] G. Chen and S. Ma, *Ground state periodic solutions of second order Hamiltonian systems without spectrum 0*, Israel J. Math., 2013, 198(1), 111–127.
- [5] S. Chen, X. Tang and N. Zhang, *Ground state solutions for the Chern-Simons-Schrödinger equation with zero mass potential*, Differ. Integral Equ., 2022, 35(11–12), 767–794.
- [6] X. Chen, W. Krawcewicz and H. Xiao, *Ground state solutions and periodic solutions with minimal periods to second-order Hamiltonian systems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2023, 518(2), 126715.
- [7] H. Haghshenas and G. A. Afrouzi, *Three solutions for p -Hamiltonian systems with impulsive effects*, Kragujevac J. Math., 2013, 47(4), 499–509.
- [8] T. Heidari, R. Tavani and A. Nazari, *Multiple periodic solutions for a class of p -Hamiltonian systems*, J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.), 2022, 89(3–4), 419–429.
- [9] L.-X. Huang, X.-P. Wu and C.-L. Tang, *Ground state solutions and multiple solutions for nonhomogeneous Schrödinger equations with Berestycki-Lions type conditions*, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ., 2021, 66(10), 1717–1730.
- [10] L. Jeanjean, *On the existence of bounded Palais-Smale sequences and application to a Landesman-Lazer type problem set on \mathbb{R}^N* , Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, 1999, 129(4), 787–809.
- [11] L. Jeanjean and K. Tanaka, *A positive solution for an asymptotically linear elliptic problem on \mathbb{R}^N autonomous at infinity*, ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var., 2002, 7, 597–614.
- [12] P. Jebelean and N. S. Papageorgiou, *On noncoercive periodic systems with vector p -Laplacian*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal., 2011, 38(2), 249–263.
- [13] J.-C. Kang, X.-P. Chen and C.-L. Tang, *Ground state solutions for Schrödinger-Poisson system with critical growth and nonperiodic potential*, J. Math. Phys., 2022, 63(10), 101501.
- [14] J.-C. Kang and C.-L. Tang, *Ground states for Chern-Simons-Schrödinger system with nonperiodic potential*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2023, 25(1), 1–17.
- [15] C. Li, R. P. Agarwal and Z.-Q. Ou, *Subharmonic solutions for a class of ordinary p -Laplacian systems*, Lith. Math. J., 2018, 58(2), 157–166.
- [16] C. Li, R. P. Agarwal and C.-L. Tang, *Infinitely many periodic solutions for ordinary p -Laplacian systems*, Adv. Nonlinear Anal., 2015, 4(4), 251–261.
- [17] C. Li, R. P. Agarwal, P. Yang and C.-L. Tang, *Nonconstant periodic solutions for a class of ordinary p -Laplacian systems*, Bound. Value Probl., 2016, 213, 1–12.
- [18] C. Li, Z.-Q. Ou and C.-L. Tang, *Three periodic solutions for p -Hamiltonian systems*, Nonlinear Anal., 2011, 74(5), 1596–1606.

- [19] J. Li, X. Chang and Y. Li, *Rotating periodic solutions for second order systems with Hartman-type nonlinearity*, Bound. Value. Probl., 2018, 37, 1–11.
- [20] Q. Li, J. Zhang, W. Wang and K. Teng, *Ground states for fractional Schrödinger equations involving critical or supercritical exponent*, Appl. Anal., 2023, 102(1), 52–64.
- [21] F.-F. Liang, X.-P. Wu and C.-L. Tang, *Ground state solutions for Schrödinger-KdV system with periodic potential*, Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst., 2023, 22(1), 1–12.
- [22] G. Liu, Y. Li and X. Yang, *Rotating periodic solutions for asymptotically linear second-order Hamiltonian systems with resonance at infinity*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci., 2017, 40(18), 7319–7150.
- [23] G. Liu, Y. Li and X. Yang, *Rotating periodic solutions for super-linear second order Hamiltonian systems*, Appl. Math. Lett., 2018, 79, 73–79.
- [24] G. Liu, Y. Li and X. Yang, *Infinitely many rotating periodic solutions for second-order Hamiltonian systems*, J. Dyn. Control Syst., 2019, 25(2), 159–174.
- [25] G. Liu, Y. Li and X. Yang, *Existence and multiplicity of rotating periodic solutions for Hamiltonian systems with a general twist condition*, J. Differential Equations, 2023, 369, 229–252.
- [26] J. Mawhin and M. Willem, *Critical Point Theory and Hamiltonian Systems*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
- [27] M. Schechter and W. Zou, *Weak linking theorems and Schrödinger equations with critical Sobolev exponent*, ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var., 2003, 9, 601–619.
- [28] M. Struwe, *The existence of surfaces of constant mean curvature with free boundaries*, Acta Math., 1988, 160(1–2), 19–64.
- [29] M. Struwe, *Variational Methods*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [30] J. Xing, X. Yang and Y. Li, *Rotating periodic solutions for convex Hamiltonian systems*, Appl. Math. Lett., 2019, 89, 91–96.
- [31] T. Ye, W. Liu and T. Shen, *Existence of nontrivial rotating periodic solutions for second-order Hamiltonian systems*, Appl. Math. Lett., 2023, 142(8), 108630.
- [32] Y.-W. Ye and C.-L. Tang, *Periodic solutions for second order Hamiltonian systems with general superquadratic potential*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin., 2014, 21(1), 1–18.
- [33] L. Zhang, X. Tang and S. Chen, *Nehari type ground state solutions for periodic Schrödinger-Poisson systems with variable growth*, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ., 2022, 67(4), 856–871.