Journal of Applied Analysis and Computation
Volume 15, Number 5, October 2025, 2761–2785
Website:http://www.jaac-online.com
DOI:10.11948/20240525

MAPPING PROPERTY FOR BILINEAR Θ-TYPE GENERALIZED FRACTIONAL INTEGRAL OPERATOR ON GRAND VARIABLE EXPONENTS HERZ-MORREY SPACES*

Jinqi Wang[1] and Xijuan Chen[1,†]

Abstract The aim of this paper is to establish the boundedness of the bilinear θ-type generalized fractional integral operators $B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}$ and their commutators $B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,b_1,b_2}$, generated by $b_1, b_2 \in \mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}$, on Lebesgue spaces with variable exponent $L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Under the assumption that variable exponents $\alpha(\cdot)$ and $q_i(\cdot)$ for $i = 1, 2$ satisfy the log decay at both infinity and the origin, the authors prove that the $B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}$ and $B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,b_1,b_2}$ are bounded on the grand variable exponents Herz spaces $\dot{K}^{\alpha(\cdot),p),\theta}_{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (or $K^{\alpha(\cdot),p),\theta}_{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$) and grand variable exponents Herz-Morrey spaces $M\dot{K}^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}_{p),\theta,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (or $MK^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}_{p),\theta,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$), respectively.

Keywords Bilinear θ-type generalized fractional integral, commutator, space $\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, grand variable exponents Herz-Morrey space, grand variable exponents Herz space.

MSC(2010) 42B20, 42B35, 47A07, 47B47.

1. Introduction

In 2022, Lu and Tao [13] introduced a bilinear θ-type generalized fractional integral operator $B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}$, which is defined on the non-homogeneous metric measure spaces. And also they showed that the $B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}$ is bounded from the product of spaces $L^{p_1}(\mu) \times L^{p_2}(\mu)$ into spaces $L^q(\mu)$, and it is also bounded from the product of Morrey spaces $M^{p_1}_{q_1}(\mu) \times M^{p_2}_{q_2}(\mu)$ into spaces $M_q(\mu)$. The following year, Lu et al. [19] prove that the $B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}$ is bounded from the product of the generalized Morrey spaces $M^{\mu_1}_{q_1}(\mu) \times M^{\mu_2}_{q_2}(\mu)$ into spaces $M^{\mu}_{q}(\mu)$ for $\mu_1\mu_2 = \mu$. More researches on the bilinear θ-type generalized fractional and related operators on various functions spaces can be seen in [12, 14–16, 18].

As we also know, the theory of function spaces has many applications in harmonic analysis, including partial differential equations and wavelet analysis [1,9,14].

†The corresponding author.
[1]College of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou 730070, China
*The authors were supported by the Master Foundation of Northwest Normal University (Grant No. KYZZS2025115).
Email: wjqxjy1920@126.com(J. Wang), chenxijuan2023@126.com(X. Chen)

In 1992, T. Iwaniec and C. Sbordone [8] first introduced the grand Lebesgue spaces $L^{p)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since then, many papers have focused on the bounded properties of integral operators on spaces $L^{p)}$, and we can find in [2, 26]. On these bases, further advances have been made in the study of the grand spaces with variable exponents. For example, in 2020, H. Nafis et al. [20] introduced the grand Herz spaces with variable exponents $\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),p),\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and they proved that sublinear operators T is bounded on spaces $\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),p),\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In 2022, H. Nafis et al. [21] proved the boundedness of multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators $\mathcal{T}$ on spaces $\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),p),\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $-n/q_i(\infty) < \alpha_i(0) \le \alpha_i(\infty) < n\big(1 - 1/q_i(0)\big)$. In the same year, B. Sultan et al. [22] introduced the grand Herz-Morrey spaces with variable exponents $M\dot{K}_{p),\theta,q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and proved that the Riesz potential operator I^γ is bounded from spaces $M\dot{K}_{p),\theta,q_1(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into spaces $M\dot{K}_{p),\theta,q_2(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Subsequently, the boundedness of a variety of operators within these spaces has been established, including the fractional type Marcinkiewicz integral operators $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,\rho,m}$ [3], higher order commutators of Marcinkiewicz integral $[b^m, \mu_\Phi]$ [23], among others. Moreover, more development of the grand spaces can be seen in [17, 18, 23, 24].

It is now necessary to confirm the symbols and nations of this article. C represents an constant independent of the main parameters, but it may be different from row to column. $p(\cdot)$ represents the conjugate exponents defined by $1/p(\cdot) + 1/p'(\cdot) = 1$. The expression $f \approx g$ means $C_1 f \le g \le C_2 f$. We also need denote $B_k = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \le 2^k\}$ and $D_k = B_k \backslash B_{k-1}$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some necessary definitions and notations. The definition of a bilinear θ-type generalized fractional integral operator $B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}$ and its commutator $B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,b_1,b_2}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is as follows:

Definition 2.1. Let θ be a non-negative and non-decreasing function defined on $(0,\infty)$ and satisfy

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\theta(t)}{t} \log\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \mathrm{d}t < \infty. \tag{2.1}$$

A function $K_{\beta,\theta}(\cdot,\cdot,\cdot) \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}\big((\mathbb{R}^n)^3 \backslash \{(x,x,x) : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}\big)$ is called a bilinear θ-type generalized fractional integral kernel if there exists a positive constant C such that,

(a) For all x, x', y_1, y_2 with $x \neq y_i$, $i = 1, 2$,

$$\big|K_{\beta,\theta}(x,y_1,y_2)\big| \le \frac{C}{\big(|x-y_1|^{(1-\beta)} + |x-y_2|^{(1-\beta)}\big)^{2n}}; \tag{2.2}$$

(b) For all x, y_1, y_2 with $|x - x'| \le 1/2 \max\{|x-y_1|, |x-y_2|\}$,

$$\big|K_{\beta,\theta}(x,y_1,y_2) - K_{\beta,\theta}(x',y_1,y_2)\big| \le \frac{C\theta\Big(\frac{|x-x'|}{|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|}\Big)}{\big(|x-y_1|^{(1-\beta)} + |x-y_2|^{(1-\beta)}\big)^{2n}}; \tag{2.3}$$

(c) For all x, y_1, y_1', y_2 with $|y_1 - y_1'| \le 1/2 \max\{|x-y_1|, |x-y_2|\}$,

$$\left|K_{\beta,\theta}(x,y_1,y_2) - K_{\beta,\theta}(x,y_1',y_2)\right| \le \frac{C\theta\left(\frac{|y_1-y_1'|}{|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|}\right)}{\left(|x-y_1|^{(1-\beta)} + |x-y_2|^{(1-\beta)}\right)^{2n}}; \tag{2.4}$$

(d) For all x, y_1, y_2, y_2' with $|y_2 - y_2'| \le 1/2 \max\{|x-y_1|, |x-y_2|\}$,

$$\left|K_{\beta,\theta}(x,y_1,y_2) - K_{\beta,\theta}(x,y_1,y_2')\right| \le \frac{C\theta\left(\frac{|y_2-y_2'|}{|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|}\right)}{\left(|x-y_1|^{(1-\beta)} + |x-y_2|^{(1-\beta)}\right)^{2n}}, \tag{2.5}$$

where $\beta \in (0,\infty)$. A bilinear operator $B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}$ is called a bilinear θ-type generalized fractional integral operator with kernels $K_{\beta,\theta}$ satisfying (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5) if, for all $f_1, f_2 \in L_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $x \notin \left(\mathrm{supp}(f_1) \cap \mathrm{supp}(f_2)\right)$,

$$B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1,f_2)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} K_{\beta,\theta}(x,y_1,y_2) f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2) \mathrm{d}y_1 \mathrm{d}y_2.$$

Definition 2.2. Given $b_1, b_2 \in \mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the commutators $B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,b_1,b_2}$ generated by b_1, b_2 and the $B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,b_1,b_2}(f_1,f_2)(x) =& b_1(x)b_2(x)B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1,f_2)(x) - b_1(x)B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}\big(f_1, b_2(\cdot)f_2\big)(x) \\ & - b_2(x)B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}\big(b_1(\cdot)f_1, f_2\big)(x) + B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}\big(b_1(\cdot)f_1, b_2(\cdot)f_2\big)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Also, the following definitions are provided for the commutators $B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,b_1}$ and $B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,b_2}$:

$$\begin{aligned} B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,b_1}(f_1,f_2)(x) &= b_1(x)B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1,f_2)(x) - B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}\big(b_1(\cdot)f_1, f_2\big)(x), \\ B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,b_2}(f_1,f_2)(x) &= b_2(x)B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1,f_2)(x) - B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}\big(f_1, b_2(\cdot)f_2\big)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.1. (a) For any $\delta \in (0,1)$ and $t > 0$, if we take the $\theta(t) = t^\delta$, then the above bilinear θ-type generalized fractional integral operators $B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}$ is just the bilinear generalized fractional integral operators $B\widetilde{T}_\beta$ associated with kernel K_β (see [5]); (b) If we take $\beta = 0$ in (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5), then the operators $B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}$ is just the bilinear θ-type Calderón-Zygmund operators T_θ in [11]; (c) If we take $\theta = t^\delta$ and $\beta = 0$ in (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5) then the operators $B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}$ is just the bilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators T in [6].

The following basic facts about Lebesgue spaces with variable exponent are introduced by [25].

Definition 2.3. Let $p(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \to (0,\infty]$ be a measurable function, for any $p(\cdot)$,

$$1 \le p_- \le p(x) \le p_+ < \infty, \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$p_- = \operatorname*{ess\,inf}_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} p(x) > 1; \qquad p_+ = \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} p(x) < \infty,$$

we denote $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $p(\cdot)$ satisfy the above inequality, and if

$$I_{p(\cdot)}(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^{p(x)} \mathrm{d}x < \infty,$$

then $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It is obvious that it is a Banach space being equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \inf\left\{\lambda > 0 : I_{p(\cdot)}(f/\lambda) \le 1\right\}.$$

The following facts about log-Hölder continuous can be found in [20].

Definition 2.4. A function $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is log-Hölder continuous if there exists a constant $C = C_{\log} > 0$ such that,

$$|g(x) - g(y)| \le \frac{C}{\log(e + 1/|x-y|)}, \qquad |x-y| \le \frac{1}{2}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

If the following two conditions

$$|g(x) - g_\infty| \le \frac{C}{\log(e + |x|)}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$|g(x) - g(0)| \le \frac{C}{\log(e + 1/|x|)}, \qquad |x| \le \frac{1}{2} \tag{2.8}$$

are satisfied. In such cases, the function $g(\cdot)$ is said to have a log-decay at infinity and at the origin, where $g_\infty = \lim\limits_{x\to\infty} g(x)$.

In conclusion, we recall the definition of grand variable exponents Herz-Morrey spaces (see [22]).

Definition 2.5. Let $0 \le \lambda < \infty$ and $\theta > 0$. Suppose that the variable exponents $q(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\alpha(\cdot) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\alpha(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, then the homogeneous grand variable exponents Herz-Morrey spaces $M\dot{K}^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}_{p),\theta,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined by

$$M\dot{K}^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}_{p),\theta,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ f \in L^{q(\cdot)}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \{0\}) : \|f\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}_{p),\theta,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty \right\},$$

where

$$\|f\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}_{p),\theta,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{\epsilon>0} \sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} \|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)} f\chi_k\|^{p(1+\epsilon)}_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}. \tag{2.9}$$

The non-homogeneous grand variable exponents Herz-Morrey spaces is

$$MK^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}_{p),\theta,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ f \in L^{q(\cdot)}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \|f\|_{MK^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}_{p),\theta,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty \right\},$$

where

$$\|f\|_{MK^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}_{p),\theta,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{\epsilon>0} \sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{N}_0} 2^{-k_0\lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)} f\chi_k\|^{p(1+\epsilon)}_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}.$$

Remark 2.2. (a) If we take $\lambda = 0$ in (2.9), then the spaces $M\dot{K}^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}_{p),\theta,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is just the homogeneous grand variable exponents Herz spaces $\dot{K}^{\alpha(\cdot),p),\theta}_{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(b) When $\epsilon = 0$, then $\dot{K}^{\alpha(\cdot),p),\theta}_{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \dot{K}^{\alpha(\cdot),p}_{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$; when $\epsilon = 0$ and $\alpha(\cdot) \equiv \text{const}$, then $\dot{K}^{\alpha(\cdot),p),\theta}_{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \dot{K}^{\alpha,p}_{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

3. Estimate for $B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}$ and $B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,b_1,b_2}$ on $M\dot{K}^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}_{p),\theta,q(\cdot)}$

In this section, the authors mainly consider the θ-type generalized fractional integral operators $B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}$ and their commutators $B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,b_1,b_2}$ generated by $b_1, b_2 \in \mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the $B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}$ are bounded on spaces $M\dot{K}^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}_{p),\theta,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)\big($or $MK^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}_{p),\theta,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)\big)$. The main results of this section are stated as follows:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\theta > 1$, $\alpha(\cdot)$ and $q(\cdot)$ satisfy conditions (2.7) and (2.8). Suppose that $\frac{1}{q(x)} = \frac{1}{r_1(x)} + \frac{1}{r_2(x)}$, $\frac{1}{r_i(x)} = \frac{1}{q_i(x)} - \beta$ and $n\beta - \frac{n}{q_i(\infty)} < \alpha_i(0) \le \alpha_i(\infty) < n - \frac{n}{q_i(0)}$ are established. Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $f_i \in M\dot{K}^{\alpha_i(\cdot),\lambda_i}_{p_i),\theta,q_i(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$\|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1,f_2)\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}_{p),\theta,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_1(\cdot),\lambda_1}_{p_1),\theta,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_2(\cdot),\lambda_2}_{p_2),\theta,q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$, $\alpha(x) = \alpha_1(x) + \alpha_2(x)$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\theta > 1$, $b_1, b_2 \in \mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\alpha(\cdot)$ and $q(\cdot)$ satisfy conditions (2.7) and (2.8). Suppose that $\frac{1}{q(x)} = \frac{1}{r_1(x)} + \frac{1}{r_2(x)}$, $\frac{1}{r_i(x)} = \frac{1}{q_i(x)} - \beta$ and $n\beta - \frac{n}{q_i(\infty)} < \alpha_i(0) \le \alpha_i(\infty) < n - \frac{n}{q_i(0)}$ are established. Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $f_i \in M\dot{K}^{\alpha_i(\cdot),\lambda_i}_{p_i),\theta,q_i(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$\|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,b_1,b_2}(f_1,f_2)\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}_{p),\theta,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_1(\cdot),\lambda_1}_{p_1),\theta,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_2(\cdot),\lambda_2}_{p_2),\theta,q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$, $\alpha(x) = \alpha_1(x) + \alpha_2(x)$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$.

Remark 3.1. The results of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 can be applied to non-homogeneous Herz-Morrey spaces with variable exponents using similar arguments.

Furthermore, to prove the main theorems, we need to recall some basic facts.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $1 < p_i^- \le p_i^+ < \infty$. Suppose that $q_i(\cdot)$ satisfy (2.7), (2.8) and $\frac{1}{q(x)} = \frac{1}{q_1(x)} + \frac{1}{q_2(x)} - 2\beta$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for all $f_i \in L^{q_i(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)(i = 1, 2)$,*

$$\|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1,f_1)\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\|f_1\|_{L^{q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|f_2\|_{L^{q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let $1 < p_i^- \le p_i^+ < \infty$ and $b_i \in \mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Suppose that $q_i(\cdot)$ satisfy (2.7), (2.8) and $\frac{1}{q(x)} = \frac{1}{q_1(x)} + \frac{1}{q_2(x)} - 2\beta$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for all $f_i \in L^{q_i(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)(i = 1, 2)$,*

$$\|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,b_1,b_2}(f_1,f_1)\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\|f_1\|_{L^{q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|f_2\|_{L^{q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Remark 3.2. The proofs for Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 are similar to the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 in [7], respectively. Consequently, they are omitted here for brevity.

The following generalized Hölder's inequality can be found in [4].

Lemma 3.3. *If $p(\cdot), q(\cdot), r(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by $1/r(x) = 1/p(x) + 1/q(x)$, for any $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $g \in L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $fg \in L^{r(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, such that*

$$||fg||_{L^{r(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le ||f||_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}||g||_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Finally, we recall the following characterisation of the spaces $\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ introduced in [10].

Lemma 3.4. *Let $b \in \mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $k > l$ and $q(\cdot) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then*

$$\sup_{B\in\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{\|\chi_B\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \|(b-b_B)\chi_B\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \approx \|b\|_*$$

and

$$\big\|(b-b_{B_l})\chi_{B_k}\big\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C(k-l)\|b\|_*\|\chi_{B_k}\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $f_i \in M\dot{K}^{\alpha_i(\cdot),\lambda_i}_{p_i),\theta,q_i(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and decompose

$$f_1(x) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} f_1(x)\chi_l(x) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} f_1^l(x); f_2(x) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f_2(x)\chi_j(x) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f_2^j(x).$$

By Minkowski's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1,f_2)\big\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}_{p),\theta,q(\cdot)}}(\mathbb{R}^n)\\
\le& \sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
\le& \sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2}\sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
&+ \sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2}\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
&+ \sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2}\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
&+ \sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1}\sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
&+ \sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1}\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
&+ \sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1}\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
&+ \sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=k+2}^{\infty}\sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
&+ \sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=k+2}^{\infty}\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$+\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=k+2}^{\infty}\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}$$
$$=\mathrm{E}_1+\mathrm{E}_2+\mathrm{E}_3+\mathrm{E}_4+\mathrm{E}_5+\mathrm{E}_6+\mathrm{E}_7+\mathrm{E}_8+\mathrm{E}_9.$$

It is necessary to estimate E_1, E_2, E_3, E_5, E_6 and E_9, since E_4, E_7 and E_8 can be obtained by following a similar methodology to that used for E_2, E_3 and E_6, respectively. Then, For E_1, we first set $l,j\le k-2$, $x\in \mathrm{D}_k$, $y_1\in\mathrm{D}_l$ and $y_2\in\mathrm{D}_j$, such that $|x-y_i|\ge C2^k$. Then, for $x\in\mathrm{D}_k$, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l,f_2^j)(x)\right| &\le C2^{-2n}2^{-2nk(1-\beta)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|f_1^l(y_1)||f_2^j(y_2)|\mathrm{d}y_1\mathrm{d}y_2\\ &\le C2^{-2nk(1-\beta)}\|f_1^l\|_{L^1}\|f_2^j\|_{L^1}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.1}$$

From the Minkowski's inequality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2}\sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
\le&\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}2^{k\alpha(0)}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2}\sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2}\left\|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
&+\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}2^{k\alpha(\infty)}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1}\sum_{j=-\infty}^{-1}\left\|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
&+\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}2^{k\alpha(\infty)}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1}\sum_{j=0}^{k-2}\left\|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
&+\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}2^{k\alpha(\infty)}\left(\sum_{l=0}^{k-2}\sum_{j=-\infty}^{-1}\left\|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
&+\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}2^{k\alpha(\infty)}\left(\sum_{l=0}^{k-2}\sum_{j=0}^{k-2}\left\|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(a,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
=&\ \mathrm{E}_{11}+\mathrm{E}_{12}+\mathrm{E}_{13}+\mathrm{E}_{14}+\mathrm{E}_{15}.\end{aligned}$$

For E_{11}, by (3.1) and Lemma 3.3, we assume $a_i=\frac{n}{q_i(0)}-n+\alpha_i(0)$ and consider

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{E}_{11}\le& C\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_1}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2}2^{l\alpha_1(0)}2^{a_1(k-l)}\|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)}\right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}}\\
&\times\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_2}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2}2^{j\alpha_2(0)}2^{a_2(k-j)}\|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}\right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}}\\
=&\mathrm{E}_{11}^1\times\mathrm{E}_{11}^2.\end{aligned}$$

For E_{11}^1, we have established that it satisfies the inequality

$$\mathrm{E}_{11}^1\le C\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_1}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2}2^{l\alpha_1(0)p_1(1+\epsilon)}2^{\frac{a_1(k-l)p_1(1+\epsilon)}{2}}\|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)}^{p_1(1+\epsilon)}\right)\right.$$

$$\times\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-1}2^{\frac{a_1(k-l)p_1'(1+\epsilon)}{2}}\right)^{\frac{p_1(1+\epsilon)}{p_1'(1+\epsilon)}}\Bigg)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}}$$
$$\leq C\|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_1(\cdot),\lambda_1}_{p_1),\theta,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

With an argument similar to that used in the estimate for E_{11}^1, it is easy to get

$$\mathrm{E}_{11}^2\leq C\|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_2(\cdot),\lambda_2}_{p_2),\theta,q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For E_{12}, since $q_i(0)\leq q_i(\infty)$ and $\alpha_i(0)\leq\alpha_i(\infty)$ are given, we assume $b_i=\frac{n}{q_i(0)}-n+\alpha_i(\infty)$ and deduce

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{E}_{12}\leq&C\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda_1}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1}2^{l\alpha_1(0)}2^{b_1(k-l)}\|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)}\right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}}\\&\times\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda_2}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{-1}2^{j\alpha_2(0)}2^{b_2(k-j)}\|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}\right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}}\\=&\mathrm{E}_{12}^1\times\mathrm{E}_{12}^2.\end{aligned}$$

The estimates for E_{12}^1, E_{12}^2 and E_{11}^1 are similar, hence, it is easy to get

$$\mathrm{E}_{12}^1\mathrm{E}_{12}^2\leq C\|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_1(\cdot),\lambda_1}_{p_1),\theta,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_2(\cdot),\lambda_2}_{p_2),\theta,q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For E_{13}, by (3.1), $q_1(0)\leq q_1(\infty)$, $\alpha_1(0)\leq\alpha_1(\infty)$ and $c_i=\frac{n}{q_2(\infty)}-n+\alpha_i(\infty)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{E}_{13}\leq&C\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda_1}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1}2^{l\alpha_1(0)}2^{b_1(k-l)}\|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)}\right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}}\\&\times\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda_2}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-2}2^{j\alpha_2(\infty)}2^{c_2(k-j)}\|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}\right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}}\\=&\mathrm{E}_{13}^1\times\mathrm{E}_{13}^2.\end{aligned}$$

With a way similar to that used in the estimate for E_{11}, it is not difficult to get

$$\mathrm{E}_{13}\leq C\|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_1(\cdot),\lambda_1}_{p_1),\theta,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_2(\cdot),\lambda_2}_{p_2),\theta,q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

From the symmetry, by E_{13}, we get

$$\mathrm{E}_{14}\leq C\|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_1(\cdot),\lambda_1}_{p_1),\theta,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_2(\cdot),\lambda_2}_{p_2),\theta,q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For E_{15}, from (3.1), Lemma 3.3 and $\frac{n}{q_i(\infty)}-n+\alpha_i(\infty)<0$, just like E_{11}, we obtain

$$\mathrm{E}_{15}\leq C\|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_1(\cdot),\lambda_1}_{p_1),\theta,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_2(\cdot),\lambda_2}_{p_2),\theta,q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For E_2, we set $l \le k-2$, $k-1 \le j \le k+1$, $x \in \mathrm{D}_k$, $y_1 \in \mathrm{D}_l$ and $y_2 \in \mathrm{D}_j$, such that $|x-y_1| \ge C2^k$ and $|x-y_2| \ge 0$. For $x \in \mathrm{D}_k$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}\left|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j)(x)\right| \le & C2^{-2nk(1-\beta)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_1^l(y_1)||f_2^j(y_2)|\mathrm{d}y_1\mathrm{d}y_2 \\ \le & C2^{-2nk(1-\beta)} \|f_1^l\|_{L^1}\|f_2^j\|_{L^1},\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

by this and the Minkowski's inequality, write

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2}\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\
\le & \sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}2^{k\alpha(0)}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2}\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1}\left\|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&+\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0}2^{k\alpha(\infty)}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1}\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1}\left\|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&+\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0}2^{k\alpha(\infty)}\left(\sum_{l=0}^{k-2}\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1}\left\|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\
= & \mathrm{E}_{21}+\mathrm{E}_{22}+\mathrm{E}_{23}.\end{aligned}$$

For E_{21}, by (3.2) and Lemma 3.3, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{E}_{21} \le & C\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_1}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2}2^{l\alpha_1(0)}2^{a_1(k-l)}\|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)}\right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\times\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_2}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\left(\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1}2^{k\alpha_2(0)}\|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}\right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\
= & \mathrm{E}_{21}^1\times\mathrm{E}_{21}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Since E_{21}^1 and E_{11}^1 are the same. Thus, we only turn E_{21}^2,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{E}_{21}^2 \le & C\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_2}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}2^{k\alpha_2(0)p_2(1+\epsilon)}\|f_2^k\|_{q_2(\cdot)}^{p_2(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\
\le & C\|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_2(\cdot),\lambda_2}_{p_2),\theta,q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.\end{aligned}$$

For E_{22}, by (3.2) and Lemma 3.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{E}_{22} \le & C\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_1}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1}2^{l\alpha_1(0)}2^{b_1(k-l)}\|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)}\right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\times\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_2}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1}2^{k\alpha_2(\infty)}\|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}\right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\
= & \mathrm{E}_{22}^1\times\mathrm{E}_{22}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Since E_{22}^2 and E_{21}^2 are similar, and $E_{22}^1 = E_{12}^1$, it is easy to get

$$E_{22} \leq C\|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_1(\cdot),\lambda_1}_{p_1),\theta,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_2(\cdot),\lambda_2}_{p_2),\theta,q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For E_{23}, by (3.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}E_{23} \leq & C \sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_1}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=0}^{k-2} 2^{l\alpha_1(\infty)}2^{c_1(k-l)}\|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)}\right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}} \\ & \times \sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_2}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1} 2^{j\alpha_2(\infty)}\|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}\right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\ = & E_{23}^1 \times E_{23}^2,\end{aligned}$$

we can find $E_{23}^1 = E_{15}^1$ and $E_{23}^2 = E_{22}^2$. Therefore, we obtain an estimate of E_{23}.

For E_3, we set $l \leq k-2$, $j \geq k+2$, $x \in D_k$, $y_1 \in D_l$ and $y_2 \in D_j$, such that $|x-y_1| \geq C2^k$ and $|x-y_2| \geq C2^j$. For $x \in D_k$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j)(x)\right| \leq & C\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f_1^l(y_1)||f_2^j(y_2)|}{\left(2^{k(1-\beta)} + 2^{j(1-\beta)}\right)^{2n}} dy_1 dy_2 \\ \leq & C2^{-nk(1-\beta)}2^{-nj(1-\beta)}\|f_1^l\|_{L^1}\|f_2^j\|_{L^1},\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

write

$$\begin{aligned}& \sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2}\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\ \leq & \sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} 2^{k\alpha(0)}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2}\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}\left\|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\ & + \sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha(\infty)}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1}\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}\left\|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\ & + \sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha(\infty)}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{k-2}\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}\left\|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l, f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\ = & E_{31} + E_{32} + E_{33}.\end{aligned}$$

For E_{31}, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}E_{31} \leq & C \sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_1}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2} 2^{l\alpha_1(0)}2^{a_1(k-l)}\|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)}\right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}} \\ & \times \sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_2}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\left(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha_2(0)}\right.\right. \\ & \left.\left. \times 2^{(k-j)\left(\frac{n}{q_2(\infty)}-n\beta\right)}\|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}\right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}}\end{aligned}$$

$$=\mathrm{E}_{31}^{1}\mathrm{E}_{31}^{2}.$$

It is easy to see that $\mathrm{E}_{31}^{1}=\mathrm{E}_{11}^{1}$, hence, we only estimate E_{31}^{2}. Write

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{E}_{31}^{2}\leq &C\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda_2}\left(\epsilon^{\theta}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\left(\sum_{j=k+2}^{-1}2^{j\alpha_2(0)}2^{d_2(k-j)}\|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}\right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}}\\ &+\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda_2}\left(\epsilon^{\theta}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}2^{j\alpha_2(\infty)}2^{d_2(k-j)}\|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}\right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}}\\ =&\mathrm{D}_1+\mathrm{D}_2,\end{aligned}$$

where $d_i=\frac{n}{q_i(\infty)}-n\beta+\alpha_i(0)$. With an argument similar to that used in E_{11}^{1}, it is easy to get E_{31}^{2}. For E_{32}, by (3.3), $q_1(0)\leq q_1(\infty)$ and $e_i=\frac{n}{q_i(\infty)}-n\beta+\alpha_i(\infty)$, write

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{E}_{32}\leq &C\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda_1}\left(\epsilon^{\theta}\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1}2^{l\alpha_1(0)}2^{b_1(k-l)}\|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)}\right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}}\\ &\times\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda_2}\left(\epsilon^{\theta}\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}2^{j\alpha_2(\infty)}2^{e_2(k-j)}\|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}\right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}}\\ =&\mathrm{E}_{32}^{1}\mathrm{E}_{32}^{2}.\end{aligned}$$

It is obvious to find that $\mathrm{E}_{32}^{1}=\mathrm{E}_{12}^{1}$ and the estimate of E_{32}^{2} is similar to D_2. Hence, we have

$$\mathrm{E}_{32}\leq C\|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_1(\cdot),\lambda_1}_{p_1),\theta,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_2(\cdot),\lambda_2}_{p_2),\theta,q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For E_{33}, just like E_{32}, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{E}_{33}\leq &C\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda_1}\left(\epsilon^{\theta}\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=0}^{k-2}2^{l\alpha_1(\infty)}2^{c_1(k-l)}\|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)}\right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}}\\ &\times\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda_2}\left(\epsilon^{\theta}\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}2^{j\alpha_2(\infty)}2^{e_2(k-j)}\|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}\right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}}\\ =&\mathrm{E}_{33}^{1}\mathrm{E}_{33}^{2},\end{aligned}$$

we have $\mathrm{E}_{33}^{1}=\mathrm{E}_{23}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{33}^{2}=\mathrm{E}_{32}^{2}$, thus E_{33} is estimated.

For E_5, by Minkowski's inequality, write

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^{\theta}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1}\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\ \leq&\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^{\theta}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}2^{k\alpha(0)}\left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1}\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1}\left\|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\ &+\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^{\theta}\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}2^{k\alpha(\infty)}\left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1}\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1}\left\|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\end{aligned}$$

$=\mathrm{E}_{51}+\mathrm{E}_{52}$.

Applying Lemma 3.1, we obtain the following result

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{E}_{51}\leq &C\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda_1}\left(\epsilon^{\theta}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1}2^{k\alpha_1(0)}\|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)}\right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}}\\&\times\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda_2}\left(\epsilon^{\theta}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\left(\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1}2^{k\alpha_2(0)}\|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}\right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}}\\=&C\|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_1(\cdot),\lambda_1}_{p_1),\theta,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_2(\cdot),\lambda_2}_{p_2),\theta,q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)},\end{aligned}$$

as with the estimation of E_{51}, the estimation of E_{52} follows a similar procedure and is therefore easy to obtain.

For E_6, we set $k-1\leq l\leq k+1$, $j\geq k+2$, $x\in \mathrm{D}_k$, $y_1\in\mathrm{D}_l$ and $y_2\in\mathrm{D}_j$, such that $|x-y_1|\geq 0$ and $|x-y_2|\geq C2^j$. For $x\in\mathrm{D}_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l,f_2^j)(x)\right|\leq &C2^{-2nj(1-\beta)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|f_1^l(y_1)||f_2^j(y_2)|\mathrm{d}y_1\mathrm{d}y_2\\\leq &C2^{-nk(1-\beta)}2^{-nj(1-\beta)}\|f_1^l\|_{L^1}\|f_2^j\|_{L^1},\end{aligned}\tag{3.4}$$

from this and the Minkowski's inequality, it then follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^{\theta}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1}\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\\leq&\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^{\theta}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}2^{k\alpha(0)}\left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1}\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}\left\|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\&+\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^{\theta}\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}2^{k\alpha(\infty)}\left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1}\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}\left\|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\=&\mathrm{E}_{61}+\mathrm{E}_{62}.\end{aligned}$$

For E_{61}, we conclude the following inequality holds

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{E}_{61}\leq &C\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda_1}\left(\epsilon^{\theta}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1}2^{k\alpha_1(0)}\|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)}\right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}}\\&\times\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda_2}\left(\epsilon^{\theta}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\left(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}2^{k\alpha_2(0)}\right.\right.\\&\left.\left.\times 2^{(k-j)\left(\frac{n}{q_2(\infty)}-n\beta\right)}\|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}\right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}}\\=&\mathrm{E}_{61}^1\mathrm{E}_{61}^2.\end{aligned}$$

E_{61}^1 is similar to E_{21}^2, and since $\mathrm{E}_{61}^2=\mathrm{E}_{31}^2$, it is easy to get

$$\mathrm{E}_{61}\leq C\|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_1(\cdot),\lambda_1}_{p_1),\theta,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_2(\cdot),\lambda_2}_{p_2),\theta,q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For E_{62}, by (3.4) and Lemma 2.4, we consider

$$\begin{aligned}E_{62} \leq & C\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_1}\left(\epsilon^{\theta}\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1}2^{k\alpha_1(\infty)}\|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)}\right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}}\\ &\times\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_2}\left(\epsilon^{\theta}\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}2^{j\alpha_2(\infty)}2^{e_2(k-j)}\|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}\right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}}\\ =& E_{62}^1E_{62}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Since the estimates of E_{62}^1 and E_{62}^2 are similar E_{22}^2 and E_{31}^2, respectively, it is easy to see that

$$E_{62} \leq C\|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_1(\cdot),\lambda_1}_{p_1),\theta,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_2(\cdot),\lambda_2}_{p_2),\theta,q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For E_9, we set $l, j \geq k+2$, $x \in D_k$, $y_1 \in D_l$ and $y_2 \in D_j$, such that $|x-y_1| \geq C2^l$ and $|x-y_2| \geq C2^j$. For $x \in D_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l,f_2^j)(x)\right| &\leq C\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{|f_1^l(y_1)||f_2^j(y_2)|}{\left(2^{l(1-\beta)}+2^{j(1-\beta)}\right)^{2n}}\mathrm{d}y_1\mathrm{d}y_2\\ &\leq C2^{-nl(1-\beta)}\|f_1^l\|_{L^1}2^{-nj(1-\beta)}\|f_2^j\|_{L^1}, \qquad (3.5)\end{aligned}$$

by Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^{\theta}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=k+2}^{\infty}\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\ \leq&\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^{\theta}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}2^{k\alpha(0)}\left(\sum_{l=k+2}^{\infty}\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}\left\|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\ &+\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^{\theta}\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}2^{k\alpha(\infty)}\left(\sum_{l=k+2}^{\infty}\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}\left\|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\ =&E_{91}+E_{92}.\end{aligned}$$

For E_{91}, by (3.5) and Lemma 3.3, we obtain the following result

$$\begin{aligned}E_{91} \leq & C\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_1}\left(\epsilon^{\theta}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\left(\sum_{l=k+2}^{\infty}2^{k\alpha_1(0)}2^{(k-l)\left(\frac{n}{q_1(\infty)}-n\beta\right)}\right.\right.\\ &\left.\left.\times\|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)}\right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}}\\ &\times\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_2}\left(\epsilon^{\theta}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\left(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}2^{k\alpha_2(0)}2^{(k-j)\left(\frac{n}{q_2(\infty)}-n\beta\right)}\right.\right.\\ &\left.\left.\times\|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}\right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}}\end{aligned}$$

$$=\mathrm{E}_{91}^1\mathrm{E}_{91}^2.$$

It is easy to find that E_{91}^1 and E_{91}^2 are similar to E_{32}^2, hence, here we omit the details of the proof.

For E_{92}, it is easy to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}2^{k\alpha(\infty)}\left(\sum_{l=k+2}^\infty\sum_{j=k+2}^\infty\left\|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
\le& C\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_1}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=k+2}^\infty 2^{l\alpha_1(\infty)}2^{e_1(k-l)}\|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)}\right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}}\\
&\times\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_2}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{j=k+2}^\infty 2^{j\alpha_2(\infty)}2^{e_2(k-j)}\|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}\right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}}\\
=&\mathrm{E}_{92}^1\mathrm{E}_{92}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the estimates for E_{92}^1 and E_{92}^2 are similar to E_{32}^2. So, it is not difficult to obtain that

$$\mathrm{E}_{92}\le C\|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_1(\cdot),\lambda_1}_{p_1),\theta,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_2(\cdot),\lambda_2}_{p_2),\theta,q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Which, combining the estimates for E_1, E_2, $\cdots$, E_9, yields the desired result. Hence, the proof of Theorem 3.1 is finished. □

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Let $\|b_1\|_*=\|b_2\|_*=1$, $f_i\in M\dot{K}^{\alpha_i(\cdot),\lambda_i}_{p_i),\theta,q_i(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and decompose

$$f_1(x)=\sum_{l=-\infty}^\infty f(x)\chi_l(x)=\sum_{l=-\infty}^\infty f_1^l(x),\qquad f_2(x)=\sum_{j=-\infty}^\infty f(x)\chi_j(x)=\sum_{l=-\infty}^\infty f_2^j(x).$$

Since the decomposition method for $\|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,b_1,b_2}(f_1,f_2)\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}_{p),\theta,q(\cdot)}}$ is the same as that for $\|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,}(f_1,f_2)\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}_{p),\theta,q(\cdot)}}$, it is omitted here. For the sake of brevity, we set $B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,b_1,b_2}=B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}$ in the proof. It is necessary to estimate F_1, F_2, F_3, F_5, F_6 and F_9, since F_4, F_7 and F_8 can be obtained by following a similar methodology to that used for F_2, F_3 and F_6, respectively. For F_1, we set $l,j\le k-2$, $x\in\mathrm{D}_k$, $y_1\in\mathrm{D}_l$ and $y_2\in\mathrm{D}_j$, such that $|x-y_i|\ge C2^k$. For $x\in\mathrm{D}_k$, we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}(f_1^l,f_2^j)(x)\right|\\
\le& C2^{-2nk(1-\beta)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|b_1(x)-b_1(y_1)||f_1^l(y_1)|\mathrm{d}y_1\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|b_2(x)-b_2(y_2)||f_2^j(y_2)|\mathrm{d}y_2\\
\le& C2^{-2nk(1-\beta)}\Big(|b_1(x)-b_{B_l}|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|f_1^l(y_1)|\mathrm{d}y_1+\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|b_{B_l}-b_1(y_1)||f_1^l(y_1)|\mathrm{d}y_1\Big)\\
&\times\Big(|b_2(x)-b_{B_j}|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|f_2^j(y_2)|\mathrm{d}y_2+\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|b_{B_j}-b_2(y_2)||f_2^j(y_2)|\mathrm{d}y_2\Big)\\
\le& C2^{-2nk(1-\beta)}\|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)}\Big(|b_1(\cdot)-b_{B_l}|\|\chi_l\|_{q_1'(\cdot)}+\left\||b_{B_l}-b_1|\chi_l\right\|_{q_1'(\cdot)}\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\times \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}\Big(|b_2(\cdot)-b_{B_j}|\|\chi_j\|_{q_2'(\cdot)}+\big\||b_{B_j}-b_2|\chi_j\big\|_{q_2'(\cdot)}\Big). \tag{3.6}$$

From Minkowski's inequality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2}\sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
\le&\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2}\sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
&+\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1}\sum_{j=-\infty}^{-1}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
&+\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1}\sum_{j=0}^{k-2}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
&+\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=0}^{k-2}\sum_{j=-\infty}^{-1}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
&+\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=0}^{k-2}\sum_{j=0}^{k-2}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
=&\mathrm{F}_{11}+\mathrm{F}_{12}+\mathrm{F}_{13}+\mathrm{F}_{14}+\mathrm{F}_{15}.
\end{aligned}$$

For F_{11}, by (3.1), (3.6), Hölder's inequality, Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5, we consider

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2}\sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
\le&C\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_1}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2}(k-l)2^{l\alpha_1(0)+a_1(k-l)}\|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)}\right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}}\\
&\times\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_2}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2}(k-j)2^{j\alpha_2(0)+a_2(k-j)}\|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}\right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}}\\
=&\mathrm{F}_{11}^1\times\mathrm{F}_{11}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

For F_{11}^1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{F}_{11}^1\le&C\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_1}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-1}2^{l\alpha_1(0)p_1(1+\epsilon)}2^{\frac{a_1(k-l)p_1(1+\epsilon)}{2}}\|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)}^{p_1(1+\epsilon)}\right)\right.\\
&\left.\times\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-1}(k-l)^{p_1'(1+\epsilon)}2^{\frac{a_1(k-l)p_1'(1+\epsilon)}{2}}\right)^{\frac{p_1(1+\epsilon)}{p_1'(1+\epsilon)}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}}\\
\le&C\|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_1(\cdot),\lambda_1}_{p_1),\theta,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

With an argument similar to that used in the estimate for F_{11}^1, it is easy to get

$$\mathrm{F}_{11}^2 \leq C\|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_2(\cdot),\lambda_2}_{p_2),\theta,q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For F_{12}, by (3.1), (3.6), Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1}\sum_{j=-\infty}^{-1}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
\leq & C\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_1}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1}(k-l)2^{l\alpha_1(0)+b_1(k-l)}\|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)}\right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}}\\
&\times \sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_2}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{-1}(k-j)2^{j\alpha_2(0)+b_2(k-j)}\|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}\right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}}\\
= & \mathrm{F}_{12}^1\times \mathrm{F}_{12}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

The estimates for F_{12}^1, F_{12}^2 and F_{11}^1 are similar, hence, it is easy to get

$$\mathrm{F}_{12} \leq C\|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_1(\cdot),\lambda_1}_{p_1),\theta,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_2(\cdot),\lambda_2}_{p_2),\theta,q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For F_{13}, by $q_2(0)\leq q_2(\infty)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1}\sum_{j=0}^{k-2}\|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\|_{q(\cdot)}\\
\leq & C\sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1}(k-l)2^{(k-l)\left(\frac{n}{q_1(0)}-n\right)}\|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)}\sum_{j=0}^{k-2}(k-j)2^{(k-j)\left(\frac{n}{q_2(\infty)}-n\right)}\|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}.
\end{aligned}$$

With a way similar to that used in the estimate for F_{12}, it is not difficult to get

$$\mathrm{F}_{13} \leq C\|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_1(\cdot),\lambda_1}_{p_1),\theta,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_2(\cdot),\lambda_2}_{p_2),\theta,q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

From the symmetry, by F_{13} we get

$$\mathrm{F}_{14} \leq C\|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_1(\cdot),\lambda_1}_{p_1),\theta,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_2(\cdot),\lambda_2}_{p_2),\theta,q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For F_{15}, from (3.6), Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 and $\frac{n}{q_i(\infty)}-n+\alpha_i(\infty)<0$, just like F_{11}, we obtain

$$\mathrm{F}_{15} \leq C\|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_1(\cdot),\lambda_1}_{p_1),\theta,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_2(\cdot),\lambda_2}_{p_2),\theta,q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For F_2, we set $l\leq k-2$, $k-1\leq j\leq k+1$, $x\in \mathrm{D}_k$, $y_1\in \mathrm{D}_l$ and $y_2\in \mathrm{D}_j$, such that $|x-y_1|\geq C2^k$ and $|x-y_1|\geq 0$. For $x\in \mathrm{D}_k$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}(f_1^l,f_2^j)(x)\right|\\
\leq & C2^{-2nk(1-\beta)}\|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)}\left(|b_1(\cdot)-b_{B_l}|\|\chi_l\|_{q_1'(\cdot)}+\left\||b_{B_l}-b_1|\chi_l\right\|_{q_1'(\cdot)}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\times \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}\Big(|b_2(\cdot)-b_{B_j}|\|\chi_j\|_{q_2'(\cdot)}+\Big\||b_{B_j}-b_2|\chi_j\Big\|_{q_2'(\cdot)}\Big), \tag{3.7}$$

by this and Minkowski's inequality, write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2}\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1}\Big\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\Big\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
\le&\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2}\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1}\Big\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\Big\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
&+\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1}\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1}\Big\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\Big\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
&+\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=0}^{k-2}\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1}\Big\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\Big\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
=&\mathrm{F}_{21}+\mathrm{F}_{22}+\mathrm{F}_{23}.
\end{aligned}$$

For F_{21}, by (3.2), (3.7), Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2}\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1}\Big\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\Big\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
\le&C\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda_1}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\left(\sum_{l=\infty}^{-1}(k-l)2^{l\alpha_1(0)+a_1(k-l)}\|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)}\right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}}\\
&\times\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda_2}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\left(\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1}2^{k\alpha_2(0)}\|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}\right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}}\\
=&\mathrm{F}_{21}^1\times\mathrm{F}_{21}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since F_{21}^1 and F_{11}^1 are the same. Thus, we only turn F_{21}^2,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{F}_{21}^2\le&C\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda_2}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}2^{k\alpha_2(0)p_2(1+\epsilon)}\|f_2^k\|_{q_2(\cdot)}^{p_2(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}}\\
\le&C\|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_2(\cdot),\lambda_2}_{p_2),\theta,q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

For F_{22}, by (3.2), (3.7), Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{F}_{22}\le&C\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda_1}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1}(k-l)2^{l\alpha_1(0)+b_1(k-l)}\|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)}\right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}}\\
&\times\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda_2}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1}2^{k\alpha_2(\infty)}\|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}\right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}}\\
=&\mathrm{F}_{22}^1\times\mathrm{F}_{22}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since F_{22}^2 and F_{22}^1 are similar, and $F_{22}^1 = F_{12}^1$, it is easy to get

$$F_{22} \leq C\|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_1(\cdot),\lambda_1}_{p_1),\theta,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_2(\cdot),\lambda_2}_{p_2),\theta,q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For F_{23}, by (3.2), (3.7), Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, we obtain the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{23} \leq & C\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_1}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=0}^{k-2}(k-l)2^{l\alpha_1(\infty)}2^{c_1(k-l)}\|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)}\right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}}\\
&\times\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_2}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1}2^{k\alpha_2(\infty)}2\|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}\right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}}\\
=& F_{23}^1\times F_{23}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

we can find $F_{23}^1 = F_{15}^1$ and $F_{23}^2 = F_{22}^2$. Therefore, we obtain an estimate of F_{23}.

For F_3, we set $l \leq k-2$, $j \geq k+2$, $x \in D_k$, $y_1 \in D_l$ and $y_2 \in D_j$, such that $|x-y_1| \geq C2^k$ and $|x-y_1| \geq C2^j$. For $x \in D_k$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}(f_l,f_j)(x)\right|\\
\leq & C2^{-nk(1-\beta)}\|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)}\Big(|b_1(\cdot)-b_{B_l}|\|\chi_l\|_{q_1'(\cdot)}+\big\||b_{B_l}-b_1|\chi_l\big\|_{q_1'(\cdot)}\Big)\\
&\times 2^{-nj(1-\beta)}\|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}\Big(|b_2(\cdot)-b_{B_k}|\|\chi_j\|_{q_2'(\cdot)}+\big\||b_{B_k}-b_2|\chi_j\big\|_{q_2'(\cdot)}\Big),
\end{aligned}\tag{3.8}$$

write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2}\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
\leq&\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2}\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
&+\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1}\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
&+\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=0}^{k-2}\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
=&F_{31}+F_{32}+F_{33}.
\end{aligned}$$

For F_{31}, by (3.3), (3.8), Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, we consider

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}2^{k\alpha(0)}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2}\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}\left\|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
\leq& C\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_1}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-2}(k-l)2^{l\alpha_1(0)+a_1(k-l)}\|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)}\right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}}\\
&\times\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_2}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\left(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}(j-k)2^{k\alpha_2(0)}\right.\right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\times 2^{(k-j)\left(\frac{n}{q_2(\infty)}-n\beta\right)}\|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}\Bigg)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)}\Bigg)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}}$$

$$= \mathrm{F}_{31}^1 \mathrm{F}_{31}^2.$$

It is easy to see that $\mathrm{F}_{31}^1 = \mathrm{F}_{11}^1$, hence, we only estimate F_{31}^2. Write

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{F}_{31}^2 \leq & C \sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_2}\Bigg(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\Bigg(\sum_{j=k+2}^{-1}(j-k)2^{j\alpha_2(0)}2^{d_2(k-j)} \\ & \times \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}\Bigg)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)}\Bigg)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\ & + C \sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_2}\Bigg(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\Bigg(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(j-k)2^{j\alpha_2(\infty)}2^{d_2(k-j)} \\ & \times \|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}\Bigg)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)}\Bigg)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\ = & \mathrm{D}_3 + \mathrm{D}_4.\end{aligned}$$

For D_3, by the Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{D}_3 \leq & C \sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_2}\Bigg(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\Bigg(\sum_{j=k+2}^{-1} 2^{j\alpha_2(0)p_2(1+\epsilon)}2^{\frac{d_2(k-j)p_2(1+\epsilon)}{2}}\|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}^{p_2(1+\epsilon)} \\ & \times \Bigg(\sum_{j=k+2}^{-1}(j-k)^{p_2'(1+\epsilon)}2^{j\alpha_2(0)p_2'(1+\epsilon)}2^{\frac{d_2(k-j)p_2'(1+\epsilon)}{2}}\Bigg)^{\frac{p_2(1+\epsilon)}{p_2'(1+\epsilon)}}\Bigg)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\ \leq & C\|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_2(\cdot),\lambda_2}_{p_2),\theta,q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.\end{aligned}$$

Just like the D_3, we also have

$$\mathrm{D}_4 \leq C\|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_2(\cdot),\lambda_2}_{p_2),\theta,q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For F_{32}, by (3.3), (3.8), Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, write

$$\begin{aligned}& \sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\Bigg(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\Bigg(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1}\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\Bigg)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\Bigg)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}} \\ \leq & C \sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_1}\Bigg(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\Bigg(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1}(k-l)2^{l\alpha_1(0)}2^{b_1(k-l)}\|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)}\Bigg)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)}\Bigg)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}} \\ & \times \sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_2}\Bigg(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\Bigg(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}(j-k)2^{j\alpha_2(\infty)+e_2(k-j)}\|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}\Bigg)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)}\Bigg)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}} \\ = & \mathrm{F}_{32}^1\mathrm{F}_{32}^2.\end{aligned}$$

It is obvious to find that $\mathrm{F}_{32}^1 = \mathrm{F}_{12}^1$ and the estimate of F_{32}^2 is similar to D_3. Hence, we have

$$\mathrm{F}_{32} \leq C\|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_1(\cdot),\lambda_1}_{p_1),\theta,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_2(\cdot),\lambda_2}_{p_2),\theta,q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For F_{33}, just like F_{32}, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^{\theta}\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=0}^{k-2}\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
\leq&C\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda_1}\left(\epsilon^{\theta}\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=0}^{k-2}(k-l)2^{l\alpha_1(\infty)}2^{c_1(k-l)}\|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)}\right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}}\\
&\times\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda_2}\left(\epsilon^{\theta}\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}(j-k)2^{j\alpha_2(\infty)+e_2(k-j)}\|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}\right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}}\\
=&\mathrm{F}_{33}^1\mathrm{F}_{33}^2,\end{aligned}$$

we have $\mathrm{F}_{33}^1=\mathrm{F}_{23}^1$ and $\mathrm{F}_{33}^2=\mathrm{F}_{32}^2$, thus, F_{33} is estimated.

For F_5, by Minkowski's inequality, write

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^{\theta}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1}\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
\leq&\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^{\theta}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1}\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
&+\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^{\theta}\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1}\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
=&\mathrm{F}_{51}+\mathrm{F}_{52}.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{F}_{51}\leq&C\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda_1}\left(\epsilon^{\theta}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1}2^{k\alpha_1(0)}\|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)}\right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}}\\
&\times\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda_2}\left(\epsilon^{\theta}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\left(\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1}2^{k\alpha_2(0)}\|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}\right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}}\\
=&C\|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_1(\cdot),\lambda_1}_{p_1),\theta,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_2(\cdot),\lambda_2}_{p_2),\theta,q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)},\end{aligned}$$

as with the estimation of F_{51}, the estimation of F_{52} follows a similar procedure and is therefore easy to obtain.

For F_6, we set $k-1\leq l\leq k+1$, $j\geq k+2$, $x\in \mathrm{D}_k$, $y_1\in \mathrm{D}_l$ and $y_2\in \mathrm{D}_j$, such that $|x-y_1|\geq 0$ and $|x-y_1|\geq C2^j$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,b_1,b_2}(f_1^l,f_2^j)(x)\right|\\
\leq&C2^{-nk(1-\beta)}2^{-nj(1-\beta)}\|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)}\Big(|b_1(\cdot)-b_{B_l}|\|\chi_l\|_{q_1'(\cdot)}+\big\||b_{B_l}-b_1|\chi_l\big\|_{q_1'(\cdot)}\Big)\\
&\times\|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}\Big(|b_2(\cdot)-b_{B_k}|\|\chi_j\|_{q_2'(\cdot)}+\big\||b_{B_k}-b_2|\chi_j\big\|_{q_2'(\cdot)}\Big),\end{aligned}\tag{3.9}$$

from this and Minkowski's inequality, it then follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1}\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
\le&\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1}\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
&+\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1}\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
=&\mathrm{F}_{61}+\mathrm{F}_{62}.
\end{aligned}$$

For F_{61}, by (3.4), (3.9) and Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, we conclude that F_{61} satisfies the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1}\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
\le& C\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_1}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1}2^{k\alpha_1(0)}\|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)}\right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}}\\
&\times\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_2}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\left(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}(j-k)2^{k\alpha_2(0)+(k-j)\left(\frac{n}{q_2(\infty)}-n\beta\right)}\right.\right.\\
&\left.\left.\times\|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}\right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}}\\
=&\mathrm{F}_{61}^1\mathrm{F}_{61}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

F_{61}^1 is similar to F_{21}^2 and $\mathrm{F}_{61}^2=\mathrm{F}_{31}^2$, we obtain

$$\mathrm{F}_{61}\le C\|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_1(\cdot),\lambda_1}_{p_1),\theta,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_2(\cdot),\lambda_2}_{p_2),\theta,q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For F_{62}, by (3.9), we consider

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1}\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\
\le& C\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_1}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=k-1}^{k+1}2^{k\alpha_1(\infty)}\|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)}\right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}}\\
&\times\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda_2}\left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}(j-k)2^{j\alpha_2(\infty)+e_2(k-j)}\|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}\right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}}\\
=&\mathrm{F}_{62}^1\mathrm{F}_{62}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the estimates of F_{62}^1 and F_{62}^2 are similar F_{23}^2 and F_{23}^1, respectively, we conclude

$$\mathrm{F}_{62}\le C\|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_1(\cdot),\lambda_1}_{p_1),\theta,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_2(\cdot),\lambda_2}_{p_2),\theta,q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For F_9, we set $l, j \geq k+2$, $x \in D_k$, $y_1 \in D_l$ and $y_2 \in D_j$, such that $|x-y_1| \geq C2^l$ and $|x-y_2| \geq C2^j$. For $x \in D_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}(f_1^l, f_2^j)(x)\right|\\ \leq& C2^{-nl(1-\beta)}2^{-nj(1-\beta)}\|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)}\Big(|b_1(\cdot)-b_{B_k}|\|\chi_l\|_{q_1'(\cdot)}+\big\||b_{B_k}-b_1|\chi_l\big\|_{q_1'(\cdot)}\Big)\\ &\times\|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}\Big(|b_2(\cdot)-b_{B_k}|\|\chi_j\|_{q_2'(\cdot)}+\big\||b_{B_k}-b_2|\chi_j\big\|_{q_2'(\cdot)}\Big),\end{aligned}\tag{3.10}$$

by Minkowski's inequality, write

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=k+2}^{\infty}\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\ \leq&\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\left(\sum_{l=k+2}^{\infty}\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\ &+\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=k+2}^{\infty}\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\ =&F_{91}+F_{92}.\end{aligned}$$

For F_{91}, by (3.5), (3.10), Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}F_{91}\leq& C\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda_1}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\left(\sum_{l=k+2}^{\infty}(l-k)2^{k\alpha_1(0)+(k-l)\left(\frac{n}{q_1(\infty)}-n\beta\right)}\right.\right.\\ &\left.\left.\times\|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)}\right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}}\\ &\times\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda_2}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}\left(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}(j-k)2^{k\alpha_2(0)+(k-j)\left(\frac{n}{q_2(\infty)}-n\beta\right)}\right.\right.\\ &\left.\left.\times\|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}\right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}}\\ =&F_{91}^1F_{91}^2.\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to find that F_{91}^1 is similar to F_{32}^2, hence, here we omit the details of the proof.

For F_{92}, it is easy to get

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=k+2}^{\infty}\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}\left\|2^{k\alpha(\cdot)}B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,\widetilde{b}}(f_1^l,f_2^j)\chi_k\right\|_{q(\cdot)}\right)^{p(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon)}}\\ \leq& C\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda_1}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{l=k+2}^{\infty}(l-k)2^{l\alpha_1(\infty)+e_1(k-l)}\|f_1^l\|_{q_1(\cdot)}\right)^{p_1(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1(1+\epsilon)}}\\ &\times\sup_{\epsilon>0}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda_2}\left(\epsilon^\theta\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\left(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}(j-k)2^{j\alpha_2(\infty)+e_2(k-j)}\|f_2^j\|_{q_2(\cdot)}\right)^{p_2(1+\epsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_2(1+\epsilon)}}\end{aligned}$$

$=\mathrm{F}_{92}^1\mathrm{F}_{92}^2$.

Since the estimates for F_{92}^1 and F_{92}^2 are similar to F_{32}^2. So, we have

$$\mathrm{F}_{92} \le C\|f_1\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_1(\cdot),\lambda_1}_{p_1),\theta,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|f_2\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha_2(\cdot),\lambda_2}_{p_2),\theta,q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Which, combining the estimates for F_1, F_2, $\cdots$, F_9, yields the desired result. Hence, the proof of Theorem 3.2 is finished. □

4. Estimate for $B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}$ and $B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,b_1,b_2}$ on $\dot{K}^{\alpha(\cdot),p),\theta}_{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

In this section, the authors mainly consider the θ-type generalized fractional integral operators $B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}$ and their commutators $B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,b_1,b_2}$ generated by $b_1, b_2 \in \mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the $B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}$ are bounded on grand variable exponents Herz spaces $\dot{K}^{\alpha(\cdot),p),\theta}_{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)\big($or $K^{\alpha(\cdot),p),\theta}_{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)\big)$. The main results of this section are stated as follows:

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\theta > 1$, $b_1, b_2 \in \mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\alpha(\cdot)$ and $q(\cdot)$ satisfy conditions* (2.7) *and* (2.8). *Suppose that $\frac{1}{q(x)} = \frac{1}{r_1(x)} + \frac{1}{r_2(x)}$, $\frac{1}{r_i(x)} = \frac{1}{q_i(x)} - \beta$ and $n\beta - \frac{n}{q_i(\infty)} < \alpha_i(0) \le \alpha_i(\infty) < n - \frac{n}{q_i(0)}$ are established. Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $f_i \in \dot{K}^{\alpha(\cdot),p),\theta}_{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$\|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta}(f_1,f_2)\|_{\dot{K}^{\alpha(\cdot),p),\theta}_{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\|f_1\|_{\dot{K}^{\alpha(\cdot),p),\theta}_{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|f_2\|_{\dot{K}^{\alpha(\cdot),p),\theta}_{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where $\alpha(x) = \alpha_1(x) + \alpha_2(x)$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\theta > 1$, $b_1, b_2 \in \mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\alpha(\cdot)$ and $q(\cdot)$ satisfy conditions* (2.7) *and* (2.8). *Suppose that $\frac{1}{q(x)} = \frac{1}{r_1(x)} + \frac{1}{r_2(x)}$, $\frac{1}{r_i(x)} = \frac{1}{q_i(x)} - \beta$ and $n\beta - \frac{n}{q_i(\infty)} < \alpha_i(0) \le \alpha_i(\infty) < n - \frac{n}{q_i(0)}$ are established. Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $f_i \in \dot{K}^{\alpha(\cdot),p),\theta}_{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$\|B\widetilde{T}_{\beta,\theta,b_1,b_2}(f_1,f_2)\|_{\dot{K}^{\alpha(\cdot),p),\theta}_{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\|b_1\|_*\|b_2\|_*\|f_1\|_{\dot{K}^{\alpha(\cdot),p),\theta}_{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|f_2\|_{\dot{K}^{\alpha(\cdot),p),\theta}_{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where $\alpha(x) = \alpha_1(x) + \alpha_2(x)$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$.

Remark 4.1. (a) Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 can be shown to be true in the same way as Theorems 3.1 and 3.2. Consequently, a detailed presentation of the respective proofs is not included here; (b) Given that the proof for the non-homogeneous case can be treated by a similar method, the results presented of theorems 4.1 and 4.2 are applicable to the non-homogeneous grand variable exponents Herz spaces.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions, which improved the quality of this paper.

References

[1] I. Aarab and M. A. Tagmouti, *Harmonic oscillator perturbed by a decreasing scalar potential*, J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl., 2020, 11(1), 141–157.

[2] E. Berezhnoi and A. Karapetyants, *Grand and small norms in Lebesgue spaces*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci., 2024, 47(2), 725–741.

[3] X. J. Chen, G. H. Lu and W. W. Tao, *Fractional type Marcinkiewicz integral and its commutator on grand variable Herz-Morrey spaces*, J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl., 2024, 15(3), 1–19.

[4] D. Cruz-Uribe, A. Fiorenza, J. M. Martell and C. Pérez, *The boundedness of classical operators on variable L^p spaces*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math., 2006, 31(1), 239–264.

[5] X. Fu, D. C. Yang and W. Yuan, *Generalized fractional integrals and their commutators over non-homogeneous metric measure spaces*, Taiwan. J. Math., 2014, 18, 509–557.

[6] G. E. Hu, Y. Meng and D. C. Yang, *Weighted norm inequalities for multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators on non-homogeneous metric measure spaces*, Forum Math., 2014, 26(5), 1289–1322.

[7] A. W. Huang and J. S. Xu, *Multilinear singular integrals and commutators in variable exponent Lebesgue spaces*, Appl. Math. J. Chinese Univ. Ser., 2010, 25(1), 69–77.

[8] T. Iwaniec and C. Sbordone, *On the integrability of the Jacobian under minimal hypotheses*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 1992, 119(2), 129–143.

[9] M. Izuki, *Herz and amalgam spaces with variable exponent, the Haar wavelets and greediness of the wavelet system*, East J. Approx., 2009, 15(1), 87–109.

[10] M. Izuki, *Boundedness of commutators on Herz spaces with variable exponent*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo., 2010, 59(2), 199–213.

[11] G. H. Lu, *Bilinear θ-type Calderón-Zygmund operator and its commutator on non-homogeneous weighted Morrey spaces*, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM, 2021, 115(1), 1–15.

[12] G. H. Lu and L. Rui, *θ-type generalized fractional integral and its commutator on some non-homogeneous variable exponent spaces*, AIMS Math., 2021, 6(9), 9619–9632.

[13] G. H. Lu and S. P. Tao, *Bilinear θ-type generalized fractional integral operator and its commutator on some non-homogeneous spaces*, Bull. Sci. Math., 2022, 174, 1–32.

[14] G. H. Lu and S. P. Tao, *Two-weighted estimate for generalized fractional integral and its commutator on generalized fractional Morrey spaces*, J. Math. Study., 2023, 56(4), 345–356.

[15] G. H. Lu and S. P. Tao, *Bilinear θ-type generalized fractional integral and its commutator on nonhomogeneous metric measure spaces*, Rocky Mountain J. Math., 2023, 53(3), 839–857.

[16] G. H. Lu and S. P. Tao, *Two classes of bilinear fractional integral operators and their commutators on generalized fractional Morrey spaces*, J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl., 2021, 12(4), 1–24.

[17] G. H. Lu, S. P. Tao and R. H. Liu, *θ-type Calderón-Zygmund operator and its commutator on (grand) generalized weighted variable exponent Morrey space over RD-spaces*, J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl., 2023, 14(4), 1–22.

[18] G. H. Lu, S. P. Tao and M. M. Wang, *Estimates for bilinear generalized fractional integral operator and its commutator on generalized Morrey spaces over RD-spaces*, Ann. Funct. Anal., 2024, 15(1), 1–47.

[19] G. H. Lu, M. M. Wang and S. P. Tao, *Estimates for bilinear θ-type generalized fractional integral and its commutator on new non-homogeneous generalized Morrey spaces*, Anal. Geom. Metr. Spaces, 2023, 11(1), 1–24.

[20] H. Nafis, H. Rafeiro and M. A. Zaighum, *A note on the boundedness of sublinear operators on grand variable Herz spaces*, J. Inequal. Appl., 2020, 2020(1), 1–13.

[21] H. Nafis, H. Rafeiro and M. A. Zaighum, *Boundedness of multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators on grand variable Herz spaces*, J. Funct. Spaces, 2022, 2022(1), 1–11.

[22] B. Sultan, F. Azmi, M. Sultan, M. Mehmood and N. Mlaiki, *Boundedness of Riesz potential operator on grand Herz-Morrey spaces*, Axioms, 2022, 11(583), 1–14.

[23] B. Sultan, M. Sultan and F. Gübüz, BMO *estimate for the higher order commutators of Marcinkiewicz integral operator on grand variable Herz-Morrey spaces*, Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. A1. Math. Stat., 2023, 72(4), 1000–1018.

[24] M. Sultan, B. Sultan and A. Hussain, *Grand Herz-Morrey spaces with variable exponent*, Math. Notes., 2023, 114(5–6), 957–977.

[25] L. W. Wang, *Parametrized Littlewood-Paley operators on grand variable Herz spaces*, Ann. Funct. Anal., 2022, 13(4), 1–26.

[26] S. Yang, J. W. Sun and B. D. Li, *Maximal and Calderón-Zygmund operators in grand variable Lebesgue spaces*, Banach J. Math. Anal., 2023, 17(3), 1–28.

Received November 2024; Accepted February 2025; Available online April 2025.