

RESULTS ON APPROXIMATE SOLUTIONS FOR SINGULAR DIFFERENCE SYSTEMS WITH MAXIMA*

Xiang Liu^{1,†} and Christopher S. Goodrich²

Abstract In this paper, by introducing a new singular fractional difference comparison theorem, the existence of maximal and minimal quasi-solutions are proved for the singular fractional difference system with “maxima” combined with the method of upper and lower solutions and the monotone iterative technique on the monotone and nonmonotone case. Finally, we give an example to show the validity of the established results.

Keywords Singular fractional difference systems, maxima, extremal solutions, iterative technique, delay equations.

MSC(2010) 39A12, 39A70.

1. Introduction

In 1974, Rosenbrock [40] introduced the concept of singular systems, which have been widely used to describe some problems in practical fields, such as optimal control problems, constrained control problems, some population growth models and so on. Therefore, the theory of singular differential systems [13, 14] has more significance than the theory of ordinary differential equations. In this paper, we consider the following nonlinear singular fractional difference system with maxima

$$\begin{cases} E_a \nabla_{h,*}^\nu y(t) = f\left(t, y(t), \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} y(s)\right), & t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}, \\ y(t) = \psi(t), & t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^a, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where E is a singular $n \times n$ matrix, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $f : (h\mathbb{N})_a \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $\psi : (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^a \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $\tau : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h} \rightarrow (h\mathbb{N})_h$, $a - mh := \min_{t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}} (t - \tau(t))$, m and n_0 are fixed positive constants. Moreover, in (1.1) the operator ${}_a \nabla_{h,*}^\nu$ is the Caputo-type fractional h -difference operator – see Section 2 for details. Due, therefore, to the fractionality of the operator problem (1.1) is nonlocal. Note that in (1.1) and throughout we use the notation

$$(h\mathbb{N})_a := \{a, a + h, a + 2h\},$$

for $h > 0$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

The discrete fractional calculus in recent years has attracted much attention as a new area of research within the larger arena of difference calculus. One reason for this is due to the

[†]The corresponding author.

¹School of Mathematical Sciences, Hebei Key Laboratory of Computational Mathematics and Applications, Hebei Normal University, 050024 Shijiazhuang, China

²School of Mathematics and Statistics, UNSW Sydney, NSW 2052 Sydney, Australia

*The authors were supported by the Youth Top Talent Project of Hebei Education Department (No. BJK2024125) and the National Nature Science Foundation of China (No. 12201175).

Email: xliu@hebtu.edu.cn (X. Liu), c.goodrich@unsw.edu.au (C. S. Goodrich)

inherently nonlocal structure of the fractional difference and sum (no matter the underlying definition used). This nonlocal nature imparts significantly enhanced difficulty in the analysis of such difference operators.

For example, the analysis of initial and boundary value problems is more complicated (see, for example, representative papers by Atici and Elloe [4–6], Dahal and Goodrich [15], Ferreira [17], and Goodrich [18]), and, similarly, the connections between the sign of a fractional difference and the monotone or convex behavior of the underlying function is exquisitely complex (see, for example, representative papers by Abdeljawad and Abdalla [1], Du, Jia, Erbe, and Peterson [16], Goodrich and Lizama [19, 20], Goodrich, Lyons, and Velcsov [22], Goodrich, Lyons, Velcsov, and Scapellato [21], Goodrich and Muellner [23], and Jia, Erbe, and Peterson [30–32]). Consequently, there is mathematical value in continuing to develop our understanding of these types of nonlocal operators. We should also like to note that, increasingly, fractional difference operators have been used in applications such as tumor modeling [3, 7, 8], cryptography [45], and image processing [46].

The method of upper and lower solutions combined with monotone iterative [34] has been widely used to prove the existence of extremal solutions on nonlinear problems. Previous studies have mainly focused on the nonlinear differential systems [9, 26, 27, 35, 42, 43], the fractional differential systems [2, 10–12, 25, 29], and nonlinear singular differential system [28, 33, 41, 44]. However, we notice that this method for nonlinear singular difference system has been studied very rare, due to the memory of the fractional difference operator and the weak singularity of the kernel.

In the current paper, we extend this method to nonlinear singular difference system with “maxima”, we give a variable initial condition rather than fixed initial condition. Further, we give a new singular fractional difference comparison principle, which will be used in our main results. Then, by means of upper and lower solutions and monotone iterative technique, existence of maximal and minimal quasi-solutions are proved. Finally, an example is given to illustrate Theorem 3.1 is attainable. While the first author has studied a problem similar to (1.1) recently [37, 38], in neither of these papers is the inclusion of a singular matrix E considered as in (1.1). In fact, in neither [38] nor [37] is a matrix coefficient considered. Therefore, this distinguishes the study here from earlier works and, moreover, requires a somewhat different approach.

2. Preliminaries

We begin with basic definitions which will be necessary for the following proof. The recent textbook by Goodrich and Peterson [24] can be consulted for a wealth of additional information on the discrete fractional calculus. We begin by recalling the definition of the Caputo h -difference operator – see, for example, [38, pp. 816–818] for additional details.

Definition 2.1. Let $\nu \in (n - 1, n]$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and put $\mu := n - \nu$. Then the **Caputo h -difference operator**, denoted ${}_a\nabla_{h,*}^\nu$ of order ν for a function $u : (h\mathbb{N})_a \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$({}_a\nabla_{h,*}^\nu u)(t) := \frac{h}{\Gamma(\mu)} \sum_{s=\frac{a}{h}}^{\frac{t}{h}-\mu} (t - (s+1)h)_h^{\overline{\mu-1}} (\nabla_h^n u)(sh), \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+\mu h},$$

where ∇_h^n is the n -fold composition of the backwards h -difference defined by

$$(\nabla_h u)(t) := \frac{u(t) - u(t-h)}{h}, \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_a,$$

and $t_h^{(\nu)}$ denotes the h -factorial function defined by

$$t_h^{\bar{\nu}} := h^{\nu} \cdot \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{t}{h} + \nu\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{t}{h}\right)}$$

for any $t, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\frac{t}{h} + 1 \notin \{\dots, -2, -1, 0\}$.

Remark 2.1. Let $\mathbb{Z}^-$ denote the nonpositive integers. Then as is the standard convention we take $t_h^{\bar{\nu}} := 0$ whenever $\frac{t}{h} + \nu \in \mathbb{Z}^-$ and $\frac{t}{h} \notin \mathbb{Z}^-$.

Throughout this paper, we will use the following notation (See [9])

$$(z_i, [x]_{p_i}, [y]_{q_i}) = \begin{cases} \underbrace{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, z_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{p_i+1})}_{p_i+1} \underbrace{(y_{p_i+2}, \dots, y_n)}_{q_i} & \text{for } p_i > i, \\ \underbrace{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{p_i})}_{p_i} \underbrace{(y_{p_i+1}, \dots, y_{i-1}, z_i, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_n)}_{q_i+1} & \text{for } p_i < i, \end{cases}$$

where p_i and q_i are nonnegative integers such that $p_i + q_i = n - 1$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. For the system (1.1), assume that there exist two nonsingular matrices $M, N \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$MEN = \begin{pmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the system (1.1) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} {}_a\nabla_{h,*}^{\nu} x^{(1)}(t) = M_1 f\left(t, y(t), \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} y(s)\right) = g^{(1)}\left(t, x(t), \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x(s)\right), \\ 0 = M_2 f\left(t, y(t), \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} y(s)\right) = g^{(2)}\left(t, x(t), \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x(s)\right), \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}, \\ x(t) = \varphi(t), \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^a, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where $y(t) = Nx(t)$, $x(t) = (x^{(1)}(t), x^{(2)}(t))^T = (x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_n(t))^T = (x_i(t), [x(t)]_{p_i}, [x(t)]_{q_i})^T$, $x^{(1)} \in \mathbb{R}^r$, $x^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-r}$, $M = (M_1, M_2)^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $M_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times n}$, $M_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-r) \times n}$, $g : (h\mathbb{N})_a \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $g(t) = (g^{(1)}(t), g^{(2)}(t))^T = (g_1(t), g_2(t), \dots, g_n(t))^T$, $g^{(1)} \in \mathbb{R}^r$, $g^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-r}$, $\psi(t) = N\varphi(t)$. According to the above notations, the system (2.1) can be written as

$$\begin{cases} {}_a\nabla_{h,*}^{\nu} x_i(t) = g_i\left(t, x_i, [x]_{p_i}, [x]_{q_i}, \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x_i(s), \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x(s)\right]_{p_i}, \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x(s)\right]_{q_i}\right), \\ i = 1, \dots, r, \\ 0 = g_i\left(t, x_i, [x]_{p_i}, [x]_{q_i}, \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x_i(s), \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x(s)\right]_{p_i}, \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x(s)\right]_{q_i}\right), \\ i = r + 1, \dots, n, \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}, \\ x_i(t) = \varphi_i(t), \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^a. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

Lemma 2.1. (See [47]) Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a contraction mapping. Then T has a unique fixed point in X .

In our further investigations, we will need some results on linear singular difference inequalities and systems. Consider the following singular fractional difference system with maxima

$$\begin{cases} {}_a\nabla_{h,*}^\nu x_i(t) + A_i x_i(t) + B_i \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x_i(s) = \sigma_i(t), & i = 1, \dots, r, \\ A_i x_i(t) + B_i \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x_i(s) = \sigma_i(t), & i = r+1, \dots, n, \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}, \\ x_i(t) = \varphi_i(t), & t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^a, \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

where A_i, B_i are positive constants.

Lemma 2.2. *Assume that the function $x_i : (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^{a+n_0h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $\Lambda = \max \left\{ \frac{(n_0h)_h^{\bar{\nu}}}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} (A_i + B_i), \frac{B_i}{A_i} \right\} < 1$. Then the system (2.3) has a unique solution for $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^{a+n_0h}$.*

Proof. Let X be the space of real-valued functions defined on $(h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^{a+n_0h}$. Then we define a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on X by $\|x\| = \max\{|x(t)| : t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^{a+n_0h}\}$ so that the pair $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space. Now we define the map $T : X \rightarrow X$ by $Tx = (T_1x, T_2x)$, where T_1 and T_2 are defined as follows

$$\begin{cases} T_1x_i(t) = x_i(a) + {}_a\nabla_h^{-\nu} \left[-A_i x_i(t) - B_i \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x_i(s) + \sigma_i(t) \right], & i = 1, \dots, r, \\ T_2x_i(t) = -\frac{B_i}{A_i} \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x_i(s) + \frac{1}{A_i} \sigma_i(t), & i = r+1, \dots, n, \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}, \\ x_i(t) = \varphi_i(t), & t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^a. \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

Next, we will show that T is a contraction map. For $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^{a+n_0h}$, and $x_i, y_i \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |T_1x_i - T_1y_i| &= \left| {}_a\nabla_h^{-\nu} \left[-A_i(x_i(t) - y_i(t)) - B_i \left(\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x_i(s) - \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} y_i(s) \right) \right] \right| \\ &\leq {}_a\nabla_h^{-\nu} (A_i + B_i) \|x_i - y_i\| \\ &\leq \frac{(n_0h)_h^{\bar{\nu}}}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} (A_i + B_i) \|x_i - y_i\|, \quad i = 1, \dots, r, \\ |T_2x_i - T_2y_i| &= \left| -\frac{B_i}{A_i} \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x_i(s) + \frac{B_i}{A_i} \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} y_i(s) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{B_i}{A_i} \|x_i - y_i\|, \quad i = r+1, \dots, n, \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx_i, Ty_i) &= d((T_1x_i, T_2x_i), (T_1y_i, T_2y_i)) \\ &\leq \Lambda d(x_i, y_i), \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.1, the operator T has a unique fixed point – that is, the system (2.3) has a unique solution. The proof is complete. $\square$

Lemma 2.3. Assume that the function $m : (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^{a+n_0h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\begin{cases} {}_a\nabla_{h,*}^\nu m_i(t) \leq -A_i m_i(t) - B_i \min_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} m_i(s), & i = 1, \dots, r, \\ 0 \leq -A_i m_i(t) - B_i \min_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} m_i(s), & i = r+1, \dots, n, \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}, \\ m_i(t) \leq 0, & t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^a, \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

where A_i, B_i are positive constants, and $A_i > B_i$. Then the inequality $m_i(t) \leq 0$ for $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^{a+n_0h}$.

Proof. Since the operator ${}_a\nabla_h^{-\nu}$ is positive, it follows that the inequality (2.5) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} m_i(t) - m_i(a) \leq {}_a\nabla_h^{-\nu} \left[-A_i m_i(t) - B_i \min_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} m_i(s) \right], & i = 1, \dots, r, \\ 0 \leq -A_i m_i(t) - B_i \min_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} m_i(s), & i = r+1, \dots, n, \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}, \\ m_i(t) \leq 0, & t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^a. \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

By induction, when $t = a + h$, from the assumption $A_i > B_i$, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} m_i(a+h) \leq {}_a\nabla_h^{-\nu} \left[-A_i \left(m_i(a+h) - \min_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h-\tau(t)}^{a+h}} m_i(s) \right) \right], & i = 1, \dots, r, \\ 0 \leq -A_i \left(m_i(a+h) - \min_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h-\tau(t)}^{a+h}} m_i(s) \right), & i = r+1, \dots, n, \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}, \\ m_i(t) \leq 0, & t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^a. \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

Since $m_i(a+h) - \min_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h-\tau(t)}^{a+h}} m_i(s) \leq 0$, so we have $m(a+h) \leq 0$. Now, we assume that $m_i(t) \leq 0$ for $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^{a+nh}$. We will show that $m_i(a+(n+1)h) \leq 0$. From the inequality (2.5), we have

$$\begin{cases} m_i(a+(n+1)h) \leq {}_a\nabla_h^{-\nu} \left[-A_i \left(m_i(a+(n+1)h) - \min_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+(n+1)h-\tau(t)}^{a+(n+1)h}} m_i(s) \right) \right], & i = 1, \dots, r, \\ 0 \leq -A_i \left(m_i(a+(n+1)h) - \min_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+(n+1)h-\tau(t)}^{a+(n+1)h}} m_i(s) \right), & i = r+1, \dots, n, \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}, \\ m_i(t) \leq 0, & t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^a. \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

Similarly, we have $m_i(a+(n+1)h) \leq 0$. Thus, we conclude that $m_i(t) \leq 0$ for $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^{a+n_0h}$. The proof is complete. $\square$

3. Monotone iterative technique for monotone case

Here we develop the monotone iterative technique for nonlinear singular fractional difference system with “maxima” on the monotone case which yields monotone sequences converging to the extremal solutions of the system (2.2).

Definition 3.1. The function $\alpha_0, \beta_0 : (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^{a+n_0h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a couple of lower and upper quasi-solutions of the system (2.2) if the following inequalities are satisfied:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} a\nabla_{h,*}^\nu \alpha_{0i}(t) \leq g_i\left(t, \alpha_{0i}, [\alpha_0]_{p_i}, [\beta_0]_{q_i}, \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \alpha_{0i}(s), \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \alpha_0(s) \right]_{p_i}, \right. \\ \quad \left. \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \beta_0(s) \right]_{q_i} \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, r, \\ 0 \leq g_i\left(t, \alpha_{0i}, [\alpha_0]_{p_i}, [\beta_0]_{q_i}, \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \alpha_{0i}(s), \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \alpha_0(s) \right]_{p_i}, \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \beta_0(s) \right]_{q_i} \right), \\ \quad i = r+1, \dots, n, \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}, \\ a\nabla_{h,*}^\nu \beta_{0i}(t) \geq g_i\left(t, \beta_{0i}, [\beta_0]_{p_i}, [\alpha_0]_{q_i}, \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \beta_{0i}(s), \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \beta_0(s) \right]_{p_i}, \right. \\ \quad \left. \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \alpha_0(s) \right]_{q_i} \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, r, \\ 0 \geq g_i\left(t, \beta_{0i}, [\beta_0]_{p_i}, [\alpha_0]_{q_i}, \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \beta_{0i}(s), \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \beta_0(s) \right]_{p_i}, \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \alpha_0(s) \right]_{q_i} \right), \\ \quad i = r+1, \dots, n, \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}, \\ \alpha_{0i}(t) \leq \varphi_i(t), \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^a, \\ \beta_{0i}(t) \geq \varphi_i(t), \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^a. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.1)$$

Remark 3.1. When the system (2.2) is a scalar case, i.e., $n = 1$, $p_1 = q_1 = 0$, the couple of lower and upper quasi-solutions of the system (2.2) are said to be lower and upper solutions of the system (2.2).

Remark 3.2. The function of $\alpha_0, \beta_0 : (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^{a+n_0h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be a couple of quasi-solutions of the system (2.2), if the inequalities (3.1) are satisfied as equalities.

We give the following sets for convenience.

$$S(\alpha_0, \beta_0) = \{u : (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^{a+n_0h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \mid \alpha_0(t) \leq u(t) \leq \beta_0(t), \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^{a+n_0h}\}.$$

Theorem 3.1. Assume that the following conditions hold.

(A_{3.1}) The functions $\alpha_0, \beta_0 : (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^{a+n_0h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ are a couple of lower and upper quasi-solutions of the system (2.2) respectively, and $\alpha_0(t) \leq \beta_0(t)$ for $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^{a+n_0h}$.

(A_{3.2}) There exists a function $g : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $g_j(t, x, y) = g_j(t, x_j, [x]_{p_j}, [x]_{q_j}, x_j, [x]_{p_j}, [x]_{q_j})$ is monotone nondecreasing with respect to $[x]_{p_j}, [y]_{p_j}$, and monotone nonincreasing with respect to $[x]_{q_j}, [y]_{q_j}$, and for $x, y \in S(\alpha_0, \beta_0)$, $y(t) \leq x(t)$ the following inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & g_i\left(t, x_i, [x]_{p_i}, [x]_{q_i}, \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x_i(s), \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x(s) \right]_{p_i}, \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x(s) \right]_{q_i} \right) \\ & - g_i\left(t, y_i, [x]_{p_i}, [x]_{q_i}, \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} y_i(s), \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x(s) \right]_{p_i}, \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x(s) \right]_{q_i} \right) \\ & \geq -A_i(x_i(t) - y_i(t)) - B_i\left(\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x_i(s) - \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} y_i(s)\right), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where A_i and B_i are positive constants, and $B_i < A_i \leq \frac{\Gamma(\nu+1)}{2(n_0h)^{\overline{\nu}}}$.

Then there exist sequences $\{\alpha_n(t)\}$, $\{\beta_n(t)\}$ which converge uniformly and monotonically to $\rho(t)$ and $r(t)$, where ρ and r are minimal and maximal quasi-solutions of the system (2.2) respectively. Moreover, if $x(t)$ is a solution of the system (2.2) such that $\alpha_0(t) \leq x(t) \leq \beta_0(t)$, then $\rho(t) \leq x(t) \leq r(t)$ for $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^{a+n_0h}$.

Proof. For functions $\mu, \nu \in S(\alpha_0, \beta_0)$ and consider the following singular fractional difference system with maxima

$$\begin{cases} {}_a\nabla_{h,*}^\nu x_i(t) + A_i x_i(t) + B_i \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x_i(s) = \sigma_i(t, \mu, \nu), \quad i = 1, \dots, r, \\ A_i x_i(t) + B_i \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x_i(s) = \sigma_i(t, \mu, \nu), \quad i = r+1, \dots, n, \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}, \\ x_i(t) = \varphi_i(t), \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^a, \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_i(t, \mu, \nu) = & g_i\left(t, \mu_i, [\mu]_{p_i}, [\nu]_{q_i}, \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \mu_i(s), \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \mu(s)\right]_{p_i}, \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \nu(s)\right]_{q_i}\right) \\ & + A_i \mu_i(t) + B_i \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \mu_i(s). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.2, the system (3.3) has a unique solution.

Define the map $\mathcal{A} : S(\alpha_0, \beta_0) \times S(\alpha_0, \beta_0) \rightarrow S(\alpha_0, \beta_0)$ by $\mathcal{A}(\mu, \nu) = x$, where $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $x_i(t)$ is the unique solution of system (3.3) for the functions $\mu, \nu \in S(\alpha_0, \beta_0)$. We shall now show that $\alpha_0(t) \leq \mathcal{A}(\alpha_0(t), \beta_0(t))$. Define $\alpha_1(t) = \mathcal{A}(\alpha_0(t), \beta_0(t))$, $p(t) = \alpha_0(t) - \alpha_1(t)$ for $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^{a+n_0h}$, $p(t) = (p_1(t), p_2(t), \dots, p_n(t))$. By assumption (A_{3.2}), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_a\nabla_{h,*}^\nu p_i(t) \\ = & {}_a\nabla_{h,*}^\nu \alpha_{0i}(t) - {}_a\nabla_{h,*}^\nu \alpha_{1i}(t) \\ \leq & g_i\left(t, \alpha_{0i}, [\alpha_0]_{p_i}, [\beta_0]_{q_i}, \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \alpha_{0i}(s), \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \alpha_0(s)\right]_{p_i}, \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \beta_0(s)\right]_{q_i}\right) \\ & + A_i \alpha_{1i}(t) + B_i \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \alpha_{1i}(s) - \sigma_i(t, \alpha_0, \beta_0) \\ \leq & -A_i(\alpha_{0i}(t) - \alpha_{1i}(t)) - B_i\left(\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \alpha_{0i}(s) - \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \alpha_{1i}(s)\right) \\ \leq & -A_i p_i(t) - B_i \min_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} p_i(s), \quad i = 1, \dots, r, \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq & g_i\left(t, \alpha_{0i}, [\alpha_0]_{p_i}, [\beta_0]_{q_i}, \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \alpha_{0i}(s), \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \alpha_0(s)\right]_{p_i}, \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \beta_0(s)\right]_{q_i}\right) \\ & + A_i \alpha_{1i}(t) + B_i \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \alpha_{1i}(s) - \sigma_i(t, \alpha_0, \beta_0) \\ = & -A_i(\alpha_{0i}(t) - \alpha_{1i}(t)) - B_i\left(\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \alpha_{0i}(s) - \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \alpha_{1i}(s)\right) \\ \leq & -A_i p_i(t) - B_i \min_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} p_i(s), \quad i = r+1, \dots, n, \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}, \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$p_i(t) \leq 0, \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^a.$$

Consequently, by Lemma 2.3, we have $\alpha_0(t) \leq \alpha_1(t)$ for $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^{a+n_0h}$. Similarly, we can show that $\alpha_1(t) \leq \beta_0(t)$ for $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^{a+n_0h}$.

Let $\mu, \nu \in S(\alpha_0, \beta_0)$ be such that $\mu(t) \leq \nu(t)$ for $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^{a+n_0h}$. From the definition of $\mathcal{A}$ and Lemma 2.3, it follows that the inequality $\mathcal{A}(\mu, \nu) \leq \mathcal{A}(\nu, \mu)$ is valid.

Define the sequences of functions $\{\alpha_n(t)\}$ and $\{\beta_n(t)\}$ by the equalities

$$\alpha_{n+1}(t) = \mathcal{A}(\alpha_n(t), \beta_n(t)), \quad \beta_{n+1}(t) = \mathcal{A}(\beta_n(t), \alpha_n(t)).$$

By induction, we have

$$\alpha_0(t) \leq \alpha_1(t) \leq \dots \leq \alpha_n(t) \leq \beta_n(t) \leq \dots \leq \beta_1(t) \leq \beta_0(t), \quad \text{for } t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^{a+n_0h}.$$

Thus both sequences $\{\alpha_n(t)\}$ and $\{\beta_n(t)\}$ are uniformly convergent on $(h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^{a+n_0h}$. Then there exist functions $\rho(t)$ and $r(t)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n(t) = \rho(t), \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n(t) = r(t).$$

From the uniform convergence and the definition of the functions $\alpha_n(t)$ and $\beta_n(t)$, it follows that

$$\begin{cases} {}_a\nabla_{h,*}^\nu \alpha_{n,i}(t) + A_i \alpha_{n,i}(t) + B_i \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \alpha_{n,i}(s) = \sigma_i(t, \alpha_{n-1}, \beta_{n-1}), & i = 1, \dots, r, \\ A_i \alpha_{n,i}(t) + B_i \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \alpha_{n,i}(s) = \sigma_i(t, \alpha_{n-1}, \beta_{n-1}), & i = r+1, \dots, n, \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}, \\ \alpha_{n,i}(t) = \varphi_i(t), & t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^a, \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} {}_a\nabla_{h,*}^\nu \beta_{n,i}(t) + A_i \beta_{n,i}(t) + B_i \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \beta_{n,i}(s) = \sigma_i(t, \beta_{n-1}, \alpha_{n-1}), & i = 1, \dots, r, \\ A_i \beta_{n,i}(t) + B_i \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \beta_{n,i}(s) = \sigma_i(t, \beta_{n-1}, \alpha_{n-1}), & i = r+1, \dots, n, \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}, \\ \beta_{n,i}(t) = \varphi_i(t), & t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^a. \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

From the systems (3.4) and (3.5) as n approaches infinity we obtain that the functions (ρ, r) is a couple of quasi-solutions of the system (2.2).

In the next step, we will show that (ρ, r) are minimal and maximal quasi-solutions of the system (2.2). Let $(z, u) \in S(\alpha_0, \beta_0)$ be quasi-solutions of the system (2.2). Suppose that for some n , $\alpha_n(t) \leq z(t) \leq \beta_n(t)$, $\alpha_n(t) \leq u(t) \leq \beta_n(t)$, $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^{a+n_0h}$. Put $p(t) = \alpha_{n+1}(t) - z(t)$ so that $\alpha_{n+1}(t) \leq z(t)$ on $(h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^a$. For $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}$, we wish to prove that $\alpha_{n+1}(t) \leq z(t)$ on $(h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}$. From the condition $(A_{3,2})$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} {}_a\nabla_{h,*}^\nu p_i(t) &= {}_a\nabla_{h,*}^\nu \alpha_{n+1,i}(t) - {}_a\nabla_{h,*}^\nu z_i(t) \\ &= -A_i \alpha_{n+1,i}(t) - B_i \max_{s \in [t-h, t]} \alpha_{n+1,i}(s) + \sigma_i\left(t, \alpha_n(t), \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \beta_n(s)\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -g_i\left(t, z_i(t), [z]_{p_i}, [u]_{q_i}, \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} z_i(s), \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} z(s) \right]_{p_i}, \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} u(s) \right]_{q_i} \right) \\
& = -A_i(\alpha_{n+1,i}(t) - \alpha_{n,i}(t)) - B_i\left(\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \alpha_{n+1,i}(s) - \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \alpha_{n,i}(s) \right) \\
& \quad + A_i(z_i(t) - \alpha_n(t)) + B_i\left(\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} z_i(s) - \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \alpha_n(s) \right) \\
& = -A_i(\alpha_{n+1,i}(t) - z_i(t)) - B_i\left(\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \alpha_{n+1,i}(s) - \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} z_i(s) \right) \\
& \leq -A_i p_i(t) - B_i \min_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} p_i(s), \quad i = 1, \dots, r,
\end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 & = -A_i \alpha_{n+1,i}(t) - B_i \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \alpha_{n+1,i}(s) + \sigma_i(t, \alpha_n, \beta_n) \\
& \quad - g_i\left(t, \alpha_{n,i}, [\alpha_n]_{p_i}, [\beta_n]_{q_i}, \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \alpha_{n,i}(s), \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \alpha_n(s) \right]_{p_i}, \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \beta_n(s) \right]_{q_i} \right) \\
& = -A_i(\alpha_{n+1,i}(t) - z_i(t)) - B_i\left(\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \alpha_{n+1,i}(s) - \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} z_i(s) \right) \\
& \leq -A_i p_i(t) - B_i \min_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} p_i(s), \quad i = r+1, \dots, n, \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h},
\end{aligned}$$

and that

$$p_i(t) \leq 0, \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^a.$$

By Lemma 2.3, we have $p(t) \leq 0$, showing that $\alpha_{n+1}(t) \leq z(t)$ for $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}$. This proves that $\alpha_{n+1}(t) \leq z(t)$, $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^{a+n_0h}$. Using a similar argument we can prove that $z(t) \leq \beta_{n+1}(t)$ and $\alpha_{n+1}(t) \leq u(t) \leq \beta_{n+1}(t)$ for $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^{a+n_0h}$. Thus we conclude that $\alpha_n(t) \leq z(t)$, $u(t) \leq \beta_n(t)$ on $(h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^{a+n_0h}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now as $n \rightarrow \infty$ yields $\rho(t) \leq z(t)$, $u(t) \leq r(t)$, $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^{a+n_0h}$, which shows that (ρ, r) are minimal and maximal quasi-solutions of the system (2.2) respectively.

Let $x \in S(\alpha_0, \beta_0)$ be a solution of the system (2.2). The functions (x, x) is a couple of quasi-solutions of the system (2.2), from the above discussion, we have $\rho(t) \leq x(t) \leq r(t)$ for $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^{a+n_0h}$. The proof is complete. $\square$

When $n = 1$ and $p_1 = q_1 = 0$, we could obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1. *Assume that the following conditions hold.*

(A_{3.3}) *The functions $\alpha_0, \beta_0 : (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^{a+n_0h} \rightarrow R$ are lower and upper solutions of the system (2.2) respectively, and $\alpha_0(t) \leq \beta_0(t)$ for $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^{a+n_0h}$.*

(A_{3.4}) *There exists a function $g : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h} \times R \times R \rightarrow R$ such that for $x, y \in S(\alpha_0, \beta_0)$, $y(t) \leq x(t)$ the following inequality holds*

$$\begin{aligned}
& g\left(t, x, \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x(s)\right) - g\left(t, y, \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} y(s)\right) \\
& \geq -A(x(t) - y(t)) - B\left(\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x(s) - \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} y(s) \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where A and B are positive constants, and $B_i < A_i \leq \frac{\Gamma(\nu+1)}{2(n_0h)_h^\nu}$.

Then there exist sequences $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$ which converge uniformly and monotonically to $\rho(t)$ and $r(t)$, where ρ and r are minimal and maximal quasi-solutions of the system (2.2) respectively. Moreover, if $x(t)$ is a solution of the system (2.2) such that $\alpha_0(t) \leq x(t) \leq \beta_0(t)$, then $\rho(t) \leq x(t) \leq r(t)$ for $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^{a+n_0h}$.

4. Monotone iterative technique for nonmonotone case

In this section, we develop the monotone iterative technique for nonlinear singular fractional difference system with maxima on the nonmonotone case.

Definition 4.1. The function $\alpha_0, \beta_0 : (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^{a+n_0h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a couple of lower and upper quasi-solutions of the system (2.2) if the following inequalities are satisfied:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} a \nabla_{h,*}^\nu \alpha_{0i}(t) \leq \inf g_i \left(t, \alpha_{0i}, [\alpha_0]_{p_i}, [\eta]_{q_i}, \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \alpha_{0i}(s), \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \alpha_0(s) \right]_{p_i}, \right. \\ \quad \left. \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \eta(s) \right]_{q_i} \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, r, \\ 0 \leq \inf g_i \left(t, \alpha_{0i}, [\alpha_0]_{p_i}, [\eta]_{q_i}, \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \alpha_{0i}(s), \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \alpha_0(s) \right]_{p_i}, \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \eta(s) \right]_{q_i} \right), \\ \quad i = r+1, \dots, n, \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}, \\ a \nabla_{h,*}^\nu \beta_{0i}(t) \geq \sup g_i \left(t, \beta_{0i}, [\beta_0]_{p_i}, [\eta]_{q_i}, \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \beta_{0i}(s), \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \beta_0(s) \right]_{p_i}, \right. \\ \quad \left. \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \eta(s) \right]_{q_i} \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, r, \\ 0 \geq \sup g_i \left(t, \beta_{0i}, [\beta_0]_{p_i}, [\eta]_{q_i}, \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \beta_{0i}(s), \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \beta_0(s) \right]_{p_i}, \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \eta(s) \right]_{q_i} \right), \\ \quad i = r+1, \dots, n, \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}, \\ \alpha_{0i}(t) \leq \varphi_i(t), \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^a, \\ \beta_{0i}(t) \geq \varphi_i(t), \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^a, \end{array} \right. \quad (4.1)$$

where $\eta \in S(\alpha_0, \beta_0)$.

Theorem 4.1. Assume that the following conditions hold.

(A_{4.1}) The functions $\alpha_0, \beta_0 : (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^{a+n_0h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ are a couple of lower and upper quasi-solutions of the system (2.2) respectively, and $\alpha_0(t) \leq \beta_0(t)$ for $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^{a+n_0h}$.

(A_{4.2}) There exists a function $g : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that for $x, y, \eta \in S(\alpha_0, \beta_0)$, $y(t) \leq x(t)$ the following inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup / \inf g_i \left(t, x_i, [x]_{p_i}, [\eta]_{q_i}, \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x_i(s), \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x(s) \right]_{p_i}, \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \eta(s) \right]_{q_i} \right) \\ & - \sup / \inf g_i \left(t, y_i, [y]_{p_i}, [\eta]_{q_i}, \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} y_i(s), \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} y(s) \right]_{p_i}, \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \eta(s) \right]_{q_i} \right) \\ & \geq -A_i(x_i(t) - y_i(t)) - B_i \left(\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x_i(s) - \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} y_i(s) \right), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where A_i and B_i are positive constants, and $B_i < A_i \leq \frac{\Gamma(\nu+1)}{2(n_0h)_h^\nu}$.

Then there exist sequences $\{\alpha_n(t)\}$, $\{\beta_n(t)\}$ which converge uniformly and monotonically to $\rho(t)$ and $r(t)$, where ρ and r are minimal and maximal quasi-solutions of the system (2.2) respectively. Moreover, if $x(t)$ is a solution of the system (2.2) such that $\alpha_0(t) \leq x(t) \leq \beta_0(t)$, then $\rho(t) \leq x(t) \leq r(t)$ for $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^{a+n_0h}$.

Proof. We consider the following singular fractional difference system with maxima

$$\begin{cases} {}_a\nabla_{h,*}^\nu \alpha_{n,i}(t) + A_i \alpha_{n,i}(t) + B_i \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \alpha_{n,i}(s) \\ = \sigma_i(t, \alpha_{n-1}; \alpha_{n-1}, \beta_{n-1}), \quad i = 1, \dots, r, \\ A_i \alpha_{n,i}(t) + B_i \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \alpha_{n,i}(s) \\ = \sigma_i(t, \alpha_{n-1}; \alpha_{n-1}, \beta_{n-1}), \quad i = r+1, \dots, n, \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}, \\ \alpha_{n,i}(t) = \varphi_i(t), \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^a, \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_i(t, \alpha_{n-1}; \alpha_{n-1}, \beta_{n-1}) \\ &= \inf g_i \left(t, \alpha_{n-1,i}, [\alpha_{n-1}]_{p_i}, [\eta]_{q_i}, \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \alpha_{n-1,i}(s), \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \alpha_{n-1}(s) \right]_{p_i}, \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \eta(s) \right]_{q_i} \right) + A_i \alpha_{n-1,i}(t) + B_i \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \alpha_{n-1,i}(s), \quad \eta \in S(\alpha_{n-1}, \beta_{n-1}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} {}_a\nabla_{h,*}^\nu \beta_{n,i}(t) + A_i \beta_{n,i}(t) + B_i \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \beta_{n,i}(s) \\ = \sigma_i(t, \beta_{n-1}; \beta_{n-1}, \alpha_{n-1}), \quad i = 1, \dots, r, \\ A_i \beta_{n,i}(t) + B_i \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \beta_{n,i}(s) \\ = \sigma_i(t, \beta_{n-1}; \beta_{n-1}, \alpha_{n-1}), \quad i = r+1, \dots, n, \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}, \\ \beta_{n,i}(t) = \varphi_i(t), \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a-mh}^a, \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_i(t, \beta_{n-1}; \alpha_{n-1}, \beta_{n-1}) \\ &= \sup g_i \left(t, \beta_{n-1,i}, [\beta_{n-1}]_{p_i}, [\eta]_{q_i}, \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \beta_{n-1,i}(s), \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \beta_{n-1}(s) \right]_{p_i}, \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left[\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \eta(s) \right]_{q_i} \right) + A_i \beta_{n-1,i}(t) + B_i \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} \beta_{n-1,i}(s), \quad \eta \in S(\alpha_{n-1}, \beta_{n-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

The rest of the proof is similar to that of the Theorem 3.1 and we omit the details here. □

5. Example

Now we will give an example to illustrate that the above suggested method is attainable.

Example 5.1. Consider the following singular fractional difference system with maxima

$$\begin{cases} {}_a\nabla_{h,*}^\nu x_1(t) = \frac{1}{1-x_1(t)} - 2 \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x_1(s) - 1, \\ 0 = x_2^2(t) - \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x_2(s), \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_1^5, \\ x_1(t) = x_2(t) = 0, \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{-1}^0, \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

where $\nu = 0.5$, $h = 1$, $a = 0$, $\tau(t) = 1$ for $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{-1}^5$. It is easy to check that the system (5.1) has a zero solution. $\alpha_0(t) = (-\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4})^T$ is a lower solution and $\beta_0(t) = (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4})^T$ is an upper solution of the system (5.1). We will construct sequences of functions that converge uniformly to $(0, 0)$.

Now we can construct an increasing sequence, which converge to $(0, 0)$. It is easy to see that $A_1 = -2$, $B_1 = 8$, $A_2 = -1$, and $B_2 = \frac{29}{4}$. Choose $k_{01} = \frac{4}{5}$, $k_{02} = \frac{4}{5}$, and consider the following singular fractional difference system

$$\begin{aligned} {}_a\nabla_{h,*}^\nu x_1(t) &= \frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{4}} + 2 \times \frac{1}{4} - 1 + 2 \left(x_1(t) + \frac{1}{4} \right) - 8 \left(\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x_1(s) + \frac{1}{4} \right) \\ &= 2x_1(t) - 8 \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x_1(s) - \frac{6}{5}, \\ 0 &= \left(-\frac{1}{4} \right)^2 - \left(-\frac{1}{4} \right) + \left(x_2(t) + \frac{1}{4} \right) - \frac{29}{4} \left(\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x_2(s) + \frac{1}{4} \right) \\ &= x_2(t) - \frac{29}{4} \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x_2(s) - \frac{5}{4}, \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_1^5, \\ x_1(t) &= -\frac{k_{01}}{4}, \quad x_2(t) = -\frac{k_{02}}{4}, \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{-1}^0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

Then the system (5.2) has an exact solution $\alpha_1(t) = (-\frac{1}{5}, -\frac{1}{5})^T$.

Choose $k_{11} = \frac{29}{36}$, $k_{12} = \frac{101}{125}$ and consider the following singular fractional difference system

$$\begin{aligned} {}_a\nabla_{h,*}^\nu x_1(t) &= \frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{5}} + 2 \times \frac{1}{5} - 1 + 2 \left(x_1(t) + \frac{1}{5} \right) - 8 \left(\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x_1(s) + \frac{1}{5} \right) \\ &= 2x_1(t) - 8 \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x_1(s) - \frac{29}{30}, \\ 0 &= \left(-\frac{1}{5} \right)^2 - \left(-\frac{1}{5} \right) + \left(x_2(t) + \frac{1}{5} \right) - \frac{29}{4} \left(\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x_2(s) + \frac{1}{5} \right) \\ &= x_2(t) - \frac{29}{4} \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x_2(s) - \frac{101}{100}, \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_1^5, \\ x_1(t) &= -\frac{k_{11}}{5}, \quad x_2(t) = -\frac{k_{12}}{5}, \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{-1}^0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

Then the system (5.3) has an exact solution $\alpha_2(t) = (-\frac{29}{180}, -\frac{101}{625})^T$.

Analogously, we will construct a decreasing sequence that uniformly converges to $(0, 0)$. Choose $p_{01} = \frac{8}{9}$, $p_{02} = \frac{44}{50}$, and consider the following singular fractional difference system

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_a\nabla_{h,*}^\nu x_1(t) &= \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{4}} - 2 \times \frac{1}{4} - 1 + 2 \left(x_1(t) - \frac{1}{4} \right) - 8 \left(\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x_1(s) - \frac{1}{4} \right) \\
&= 2x_1(t) - 8 \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x_1(s) + \frac{4}{3}, \\
0 &= \left(\frac{1}{4} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4} + \left(x_2(t) - \frac{1}{4} \right) - \frac{29}{4} \left(\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x_2(s) - \frac{1}{4} \right) \\
&= x_2(t) - \frac{29}{4} \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x_2(s) + \frac{11}{8}, \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_1^5, \\
x_1(t) &= \frac{p_{01}}{4}, \quad x_2(t) = \frac{p_{02}}{4}, \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{-1}^0.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Then the system (5.4) has an exact solution $\beta_1(t) = \left(\frac{2}{9}, \frac{11}{50} \right)^T$.

Choose $p_{11} = \frac{37}{42}$, $p_{12} = \frac{6017}{6875}$, and consider the following singular fractional difference system

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_a\nabla_{h,*}^\nu x_1(t) &= \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2}{9}} - 2 \times \frac{2}{9} - 1 + 2 \left(x_1(t) - \frac{2}{9} \right) - 8 \left(\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x_1(s) - \frac{2}{9} \right) \\
&= 2x_1(t) - 8 \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x_1(s) + \frac{74}{63}, \\
0 &= \left(\frac{11}{50} \right)^2 - \frac{11}{50} + \left(x_2(t) - \frac{11}{50} \right) - \frac{29}{4} \left(\max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x_2(s) - \frac{11}{50} \right) \\
&= x_2(t) - \frac{29}{4} \max_{s \in (h\mathbb{N})_{t-\tau(t)}^t} x_2(s) + \frac{6017}{5000}, \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_1^5, \\
x_1(t) &= \frac{2}{9}p_{11}, \quad x_2(t) = \frac{11}{50}p_{12}, \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{-1}^0.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Then the system (5.5) has an exact solution $\beta_2(t) = \left(\frac{37}{189}, \frac{6017}{31250} \right)^T$.

Obviously, we can see that $\alpha_0(t) < \alpha_1(t) < \alpha_2(t) < x(t) = 0 < \beta_2(t) < \beta_1(t) < \beta_0(t)$ on $(h\mathbb{N})_{-1}^5$. So, this example illustrates that the Theorem 3.1 is feasible.

6. Conclusion

This paper presents a new singular fractional difference comparison theorem, by this comparison theorem, the method of upper and lower solutions, and the monotone iterative technique on the monotone and nonmonotone case, the existence of maximal and minimal quasi-solutions for the singular fractional difference systems with “maxima” have been obtained. Finally, an example is given to show the validity of the established results. This paper have been preprinted in Authorea, see [36].

Declarations

Conflicts of interest. No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

References

- [1] T. Abdeljawad and B. Abdalla, *Monotonicity results for delta and nabla caputo and riemann fractional differences via dual identities*, Filomat, 2017, 31, 3671–3683.
- [2] R. Agarwal, S. Hristova and D. O'Regan, *Iterative technique for the initial value problem for Caputo fractional differential equations with non-instantaneous impluses*, Appl. Math. Comput., 2018, 334, 407–421.
- [3] F. M. Atici, M. Atici, M. Belcher and D. Marshall, *A new approach for modeling with discrete fractional equations*, Fund. Inform., 2017, 151, 313–324.
- [4] F. M. Atici and P. W. Elloe, *Discrete fractional calculus with the nabla operator*, Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ., Special Edition I, 2009, 3, 1–12.
- [5] F. M. Atici and P. W. Elloe, *Initial value problems in discrete fractional calculus*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 2009, 137(3), 981–989.
- [6] F. M. Atici and P. W. Elloe, *Linear systems of fractional nabla difference equations*, Rocky Mountain J. Math., 2011, 41(2), 353–370.
- [7] F. M. Atici, N. Nguyen, K. Dadashova, S. E. Pedersen and G. Koch, *Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics models of tumor growth and anticancer effects in discrete time*, Comput. Math. Biophys., 2020, 8(1), 114–125.
- [8] F. M. Atici and S. Şengül, *Modeling with fractional difference equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2010, 369(1), 1–9.
- [9] D. D. Bainov and S. G. Hristova, *Monotone-iterative techniques of lakshmikantham for a boundary value problem for systems of differential equations with maxima*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 1995, 190(2), 391–401.
- [10] Z. Baitiche, C. Derbazi, M. Benchohra and J. J. Nieto, *Monotone iterative technique for a new class of nonlinear sequential fractional differential equations with nonlinear boundary conditions under the ψ -Caputo operator*, Mathematics, 2022, 10(7), 1–11.
- [11] Z. Baitiche, C. Derbazi, A. Salim and M. Benchohra, *Monotone iterative technique for a sequential δ -Caputo fractional differential equations with nonlinear boundary conditions*, Stud. Univ. Babes-Bolyai Math., 2024, 69(3), 553–565.
- [12] N. Benkhettou, A. Salim, J. E. Lazreg, S. Abbas and M. Benchohra, *Lakshmikantham monotone iterative principle for hybrid Atangana-Baleanu-Caputo fractional differential equations*, An. Univ. Vest Timis. Ser. Mat.-Inform., 2023, 59(1), 79–91.
- [13] S. L. Campbell, *Singular Systems of Differential Equations I*, Pitman Advanced Publishing Program, London, 1980.
- [14] S. L. Campbell, *Singular Systems of Differential Equations II*, Pitman Advanced Publishing Program, London, 1982.
- [15] R. Dahal and C. S. Goodrich, *An application of a nonstandard cone to discrete boundary value problems with unbounded indefinite forcing*, J. Difference Equ. Appl., 2019, 25(6), 882–903.
- [16] F. F. Du, B. G. Jia, L. H. Erbe and A. C. Peterson, *Monotonicity and convexity for nabla fractional (q, h) -differences*, J. Difference Equ. Appl., 2016, 22, 1224–1243.

- [17] R. A. C. Ferreira, *Existence and uniqueness of solution to some discrete fractional boundary value problems of order less than one*, J. Difference Equ. Appl., 2013, 19(5), 712–718.
- [18] C. S. Goodrich, *On discrete sequential fractional boundary value problems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2012, 385(1), 111–124.
- [19] C. S. Goodrich and C. Lizama, *Positivity, monotonicity, and convexity for convolution operators*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 2020, 40(8), 4961–4983.
- [20] C. S. Goodrich and C. Lizama, *A transference principle for nonlocal operators using a convolutional approach: Fractional monotonicity and convexity*, Israel J. Math., 2020, 236(2), 533–589.
- [21] C. S. Goodrich, B. Lyons, A. Scapellato and M. T. Velcsov, *Analytical and numerical convexity results for discrete fractional sequential differences with negative lower bound*, J. Difference Equ. Appl., 2021, 27(3), 1–25.
- [22] C. S. Goodrich, B. Lyons and M. T. Velcsov, *Analytical and numerical monotonicity results for discrete fractional sequential differences with negative lower bound*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal., 2021, 20(1), 339–358.
- [23] C. S. Goodrich and M. Muellner, *An analysis of the sharpness of monotonicity results via homotopy for sequential fractional operators*, Appl. Math. Lett., 2019, 98(0), 446–452.
- [24] C. S. Goodrich and A. C. Peterson, *Discrete Fractional Calculus*, Springer, New York, 2015.
- [25] H. D. Gou and M. Shi, *Monotone iterative technique for multi-term time fractional measure differential equations*, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal., 2024, 27, 1428–1470.
- [26] Z. M. He, P. G. Wang and W. G. Ge, *Periodic boundary value problem for first order impulsive differential equations with supremum*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 2003, 34(1), 133–143.
- [27] S. Hristova and A. Golev, *Monotone-iterative method for the initial value problem with initial time difference for differential equations with “maxima”*, Abstr. Appl. Anal., 2012, 2012, 2096–2105.
- [28] T. Jankowski, *Minimal and maximal solutions to systems of differential equations with a singular matrix*, ANZIAM J., 2003, 45(02), 223–231.
- [29] K. Jeet, N. Sukavanam and D. Bahuguna, *Monotone iterative technique for nonlocal impulsive finite delay differential equations of fractional order*, Differ. Equ. Dyn. Syst., 2022, 30(4), 801–816.
- [30] B. G. Jia, L. H. Erbe and A. C. Peterson, *Convexity for nabla and delta fractional differences*, J. Difference Equ. Appl., 2015, 21(4), 360–373.
- [31] B. G. Jia, L. H. Erbe and A. C. Peterson, *Two monotonicity results for nabla and delta fractional differences*, Arch. Math., 2015, 104(6), 589–597.
- [32] B. G. Jia, L. H. Erbe and A. C. Peterson, *Monotonicity and convexity for nabla fractional q -differences*, Dynam. Systems Appl., 2016, 25, 47–60.
- [33] A. R. A. E. Kamar, G. M. Attia, K. Vajravelu and M. Mosaad, *Generalized quasilinearization for singular system of differential equations*, Appl. Math. Comput., 2000, 114(1), 69–74.
- [34] G. S. Ladde, V. Lakshmikantham and A. S. Vatsala, *Monotone Iterative Technique for Nonlinear Differential Equations*, Pitman Advanced Publishing Program, Boston, 1985.

- [35] J. Liang, *The reaction-diffusion system without quasi-monotone conditions*, Acta Sci. Natur. Univ. Pekinesis, 1987, 1987(3), 1–20.
- [36] X. Liu, C. S. Goodrich and P. G. Wang, *Convergence of approximate solutions for singular difference systems with maxima*, preprint, Authorea, 2021.
- [37] X. Liu, B. G. Jia and P. G. Wang, *Some new results for nonlinear fractional h -difference systems with “maxima”*, Rocky Mountain J. math., 2020, 50(3), 1073–1084.
- [38] X. Liu, A. C. Peterson, B. G. Jia and L. H. Erbe, *A generalized h -fractional gronwall’s inequality and its applications for nonlinear h -fractional difference systems with ‘maxima’*, J. Difference Equ. Appl., 2019, 25, 815–836.
- [39] I. Masubuchi, Y. Kamitane, A. Ohara and N. Suda, *H_∞ control for descriptor systems: A matrix inequalities approach*, Automatica, 1997, 33(4), 669–673.
- [40] H. H. Rosenbrock, *Structural properties of linear dynamical systems*, Int. J. Control, 1974, 20(2), 191–202.
- [41] J. A. Uvah and A. S. Vatsala, *Monotone method for first order singular systems with boundary conditions*, Int. J. Stoch. Anal., 2007, 2(4), 217–224.
- [42] P. G. Wang and P. Li, *Monotone iterative technique for partial dynamic equations of first order on time scales*, Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc., 2008, 2008, Article ID 265609, 7 pp.
- [43] P. G. Wang, S. H. Tian and Y. H. Wu, *Monotone iterative method for first-order functional difference equations with nonlinear boundary value conditions*, Appl. Math. Comput., 2008, 203(1), 266–272.
- [44] P. G. Wang and J. Zhang, *Monotone iterative technique for initial-value problems of nonlinear singular discrete systems*, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 2008, 221(1), 158–164.
- [45] Z. R. Wang, B. Shiri and D. Baleanu, *Discrete fractional watermark technique*, Front. Inform. Technol. Electron. Eng., 2020, 21(6), 880–883.
- [46] G. C. Wu, D. Baleanu and Y. R. Bai, *Discrete fractional masks and their applications to image enhancement*, Applications in Engineering, Life and Social Sciences, Part B, 2019, 8, 261–270.
- [47] E. Zeidler, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications, V. 1: Fixed-Point Theorems*, Springer, New York, 1986.

Received November 2025; Accepted June 2026; Available online July 2026.